© 2025. The Author(s). Published by the American Astronomical Society.





Ca II K Polar Network Index of the Sun: A Proxy for Historical Polar Magnetic Field

Dibya Kirti Mishra^{1,2}, Bibhuti Kumar Jha³, Theodosios Chatzistergos⁴, Ilaria Ermolli⁵, Dipankar Banerjee^{6,7,8},

Lisa A. Upton³, and M. Saleem Khan²

¹ Aryabhatta Research Institute of Observational Sciences, Nainital-263002, Uttarakhand, India

Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly-243006, Uttar Pradesh, India

³ Southwest Research Institute, Boulder, CO 80302, USA; maitraibibhu@gmail.com

⁴ Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati 33, 00078 Monte Porzio Catone, Italy

⁶ Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Koramangala, Bangalore 560034, India

⁷ Center of Excellence in Space Sciences India, IISER Kolkata, Mohanpur 741246, West Bengal, India

⁸ Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram, 695 547, Kerala, India Reasting 2024 November 18, revised 2025 January 21, accepted 2025 Fabruary 3, published 2025 March 20

Received 2024 November 18; revised 2025 January 21; accepted 2025 February 3; published 2025 March 20

Abstract

The Sun's polar magnetic field is pivotal in understanding solar dynamo processes and forecasting future solar cycles. However, direct measurements of the polar field have only been available since the 1970s. The chromospheric Ca II K polar network index (PNI; the fractional area of the chromospheric network regions above a certain latitude) has recently emerged as a reliable proxy for polar magnetic fields. In this study, we derive PNI estimates from newly calibrated, rotation-corrected Ca II K observations from the Kodaikanal Solar Observatory (1904–2007) and modern data from the Rome Precision Solar Photometric Telescope (2000–2022). We use both of those CaII K archives to identify polar network regions with an automatic adaptive threshold segmentation technique and calculate the PNI. The PNI obtained from both the archives shows a significant correlation with the measured polar field from the Wilcox Solar Observatory (Pearson correlation coefficient r > 0.93) and the derived polar field based on an Advective Flux Transport Model (r > 0.91). The PNI series also shows a significant correlation with faculae counts derived from Mount Wilson Observatory observations (r > 0.87) for both Kodaikanal Solar Observatory and Rome Precision Solar Photometric Telescope data. Finally, we use the PNI series from both archives to reconstruct the polar magnetic field over a 119 yr long period, which includes the last 11 solar cycles (Cycles 14-24). We also obtain a relationship between the amplitude of solar cycles (in 13 month smoothed sunspot number) and the strength of the reconstructed polar field at the preceding solar cycle minimum to validate the prediction of the ongoing solar cycle, Cycle 25.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Magnetic fields (994); Solar cycle (1487); Solar chromosphere (1479)

1. Introduction

The polar magnetic field serves as a critical component within the dynamo models, subsequently giving rise to the toroidal field and the manifestation of sunspots (see, e.g., P. Charbonneau 2020). This polar field exhibits an antiphase relationship with the solar cycle in which it attains maximal values near the sunspot cycle minima. Notably, this field holds pivotal importance in forecasting the amplitude of the forthcoming solar cycles (K. H. Schatten et al. 1978), with a remarkable correlation observed between sunspot maximum and polar field strength at the minimum preceding the solar cycle (J. Jiang et al. 2007; Y. M. Wang & N. R. Sheeley 2009; L. L. Kitchatinov & S. V. Olemskoy 2011; A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. 2013; K. Petrovay 2020; P. Kumar et al. 2021, 2022; L. A. Upton & D. H. Hathaway 2023). Recent efforts, as highlighted by P. Bhowmik & D. Nandy (2018), J. Janssens (2021), and B. K. Jha & L. A. Upton (2024), have utilized polar field information for predicting the amplitude of the ongoing Solar Cycle 25 and the timing of the polar field reversal. During solar minimum, the polar magnetic field of each hemisphere exhibits a predominantly unipolar configuration. This is typically measured as the average field strength in a specified

latitude range. The latitudinal observation range, however, differs among different studies. For example, the Wilcox Solar Observatory (WSO) provides a time series of the polar field that corresponds to approximately \pm (55°–90°); L. Svalgaard et al. (1978) and X. Sun et al. (2015) used $\pm (60^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$, whereas L. Upton & D. H. Hathaway (2014a) used three bands in their study, i.e., $\pm (55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$, $\pm (70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$, and $\pm (85^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$. This choice of latitude range is again different for the reproduction of the polar field from polar faculae, which is typically considered within $\pm (70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ (e.g., A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. 2012; B. Hovis-Afflerbach & W. D. Pesnell 2022). The average strength of the polar field measured by the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; P. H. Scherrer et al. 2012) line-of-sight magnetograms (P. Janardhan et al. 2018) was ≈ 5 G peaking near solar minimum following Cycle 24 (year 2017); however, small-scale facular features with strengths in the kilogauss (kG) range have also been observed in the polar regions (G. J. D. Petrie 2015).

Measuring the polar magnetic field of the Sun from the Sun-Earth line presents significant challenges due to the foreshortening and relatively weaker polar field. Despite that, the initial attempt to measure the polar magnetic field was pioneered by H. W. Babcock & H. D. Babcock (1955) using solar magnetographs, but the systematic measurements of the polar field only started in 1976 by WSO (L. Svalgaard et al. 1978; J. T. Hoeksema 1984; T. R. Sanderson et al. 2003). Although magnetograms over earlier periods exist, e.g., from

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Kitt Peak (W. C. Livingston et al. 1976, covering 1974–2003) and Mount Wilson Observatory (MWO; R. K. Ulrich et al. 2002, covering 1967–2013), their instruments underwent multiple changes, making their data not optimal for studying the long-term evolution of the polar magnetic field.

The lack of polar field measurements before 1976 necessitates the search for other proxies for the polar fields, such as photospheric polar faculae (hereafter polar faculae: V. I. Makarov et al. 2001; G. J. D. Petrie et al. 2015; B. Hovis-Afflerbach & W. D. Pesnell 2022; A. Elek et al. 2024), which extend the record of the polar field estimates back to the year 1906. In addition to polar faculae, polar filaments have been investigated as a polar field proxy in some recent studies (A. Diercke & C. Denker 2019; Y. Xu et al. 2021; T. Chatzistergos et al. 2023). Among all the proxies, polar faculae are the most widely used for estimating polar field strength due to their continuous observations and the consistent availability of polar faculae count. For instance, polar faculae counts have been used to estimate the polar fields employing historical white-light observation from the MWO (1906-2007; N. R. Sheeley 1991, 2008; A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. 2012, 2013). However, all existing records of polar faculae suffer from potential bias due to the manual identification of polar faculae, which is most prominent when counted across observatories. For example, K. Li et al. (2002) have found that the polar faculae counts vary between MWO and the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan for Cycle 20 and Cycle 21.

Potentially, a better proxy than polar faculae for the polar fields can be derived from solar chromospheric observations in the Ca II K line. That is because of the strong relationship between Ca II K brightness and solar surface magnetic field strength (see T. Chatzistergos et al. 2019, 2022, and references therein). Furthermore, chromospheric network regions can easily be detected in CaII K observations, also making the network appear in polar regions (hereafter polar network). Recent work with Ca II H data from the Solar Optical Telescope on board the Hinode spacecraft, having a high spatial resolution of 0."32-0."65, has also highlighted the potential of polar network bright points as a valuable indicator for estimating polar magnetic fields (N. Narang et al. 2019). These polar bright points have been observed with magnetic fields exceeding 1 kG (A. Tritschler & W. Schmidt 2002), and they have been noted to correlate well with photospheric bright faculae (A. J. Kaithakkal et al. 2013; N. Narang et al. 2019). In an earlier study, V. I. Makarov et al. (1989) reported a linear relationship between polar faculae and CaII K bright points (for the period of 1940–1957), along with sunspot areas in each hemisphere, from analysis of polar faculae data from the Pulkovo Observatory and Ca II K bright points in Kodaikanal Solar Observatory (KoSO) observations.

More recently, M. Priyal et al. (2014a) suggested the use of polar network index (PNI), which is calculated as the number of Ca II K polar bright network pixels counted in the latitude range of 70° – 90° . M. Priyal et al. (2014a) analyzed Ca II K data from the KoSO (1909–1990; M. Priyal et al. 2014b) and used a fixed threshold to identify the polar bright network regions in the mentioned latitude range. By constructing the PNI series for KoSO data, they established a strong correlation coefficient (0.95) between the PNI and the polar field as measured by WSO in the overlapping period of 1976–1990. However, later on, it was discovered that certain portions of the KoSO Ca II K data analyzed in that study suffer from various inconsistencies, which may significantly impact the estimation

of the polar field. For example, B. K. Jha (2022) and B. K. Jha et al. (2024) have discovered that a significant fraction of KoSO Ca II K observation has incorrect timestamps for various reasons, which has led to the incorrect orientation of the image in previous studies. Considering that the accuracy of the pole definition relies entirely on the correct orientation of the solar disk in the image, such errors in orienting the images could have a significant impact on the PNI calculation. Moreover, the recent implementation of calibration techniques by T. Chatzistergos et al. (2018, 2019a, 2019b, 2020) has significantly improved the quality of calibrated data and has opened the possibility to detect polar network bright points more accurately.

In this article, we use the newly calibrated and accurate rotation-corrected KoSO CaII K observations from 1904 to 2007 together with modern Rome Precision Solar Photometric Telescope (Rome-PSPT) Ca II K observations from 2000 to 2022 to derive an accurate composite Ca II K PNI series covering 1904-2022. Based on this, we also recovered the polar magnetic field over the same period, covering 11 solar cycles (Cycles 14–24). The data generated by this study will be valuable assets for the long-term study of the Sun, as it will help constrain the reconstruction of polar fields generated by solar dynamo or surface flux transport (SFT) models. In Section 2, we discuss the data utilized in this work; in Section 3, we outline our methodology for the detection of the Ca II K network and the estimation of the polar magnetic field; subsequently, in Section 4, we present our findings and the comparison of our estimated polar field with the existing polar field measurements. Finally, we summarize our conclusions in Section 5.

2. Data

The KoSO possesses an extensive archive of CaII K spectroheliograms, having been acquired with a nominal bandpass of 0.05 nm centered at 393.367 nm, with records dating back to 1904 and spanning until 2007 (M. Priyal et al. 2014b; S. Chatterjee et al. 2016; T. Chatzistergos et al. 2020; B. K. Jha 2022). Originally captured on photographic plates/ films, these data have undergone digitization using a 4096×4096 pixel CCD sensor with a 16-bit depth and resulting images with a pixel scale of $\approx 0^{"}_{..}9$. This digitized data set is now accessible to the scientific community via the KoSO data repository.9 Various calibration techniques have been implemented on these Ca II K observations (e.g., M. Priyal et al. 2014a; S. Chatterjee et al. 2016); however, significant advancements have been made through the work of T. Chatzistergos et al. (2019b), resulting in an enhanced series of Ca II K data. Additionally, B. K. Jha (2022) and B. K. Jha et al. (2024) developed a precise method for orienting KoSO images, addressing inaccuracies in timestamps for specific periods. For our present study, we utilize recently calibrated (T. Chatzistergos et al. 2020) and correctly oriented KoSO observations (B. K. Jha 2022; B. K. Jha et al. 2024) spanning the period 1904-2007. A representative example of calibrated and correctly oriented KoSO observation is shown in Figure 1(a).

Complementing the KoSO data set, we incorporate Ca II K observations from the Rome-PSPT (hereafter PSPT-R),¹⁰ which uses a CCD camera and an interference filter with a

⁹ The digitized data can be accessed through https://kso.iiap.res.in/data.

¹⁰ Available at https://www.oa-roma.inaf.it/pspt-daily-images-archive/.



Figure 1. (a) An illustrative example of the rotation-corrected Ca II K image (contrast $\in [-0.5, 0.5]$) of KoSO observed on 1966 February 3 11:36 IST (06:06 UT). The blue circle shows the boundary at 0.98 R_{\odot} , which signifies the extent of the selected solar disk for the analysis. (b) The intensity distribution histogram (C) across the entire solar disk (olive green) for the image showcased in (a). The skewness toward the right-hand side indicates the presence of bright features such as plages and network regions on the solar disk. (c) An exemplar histogram distribution of selected pixels (red curve) falling within the range ($\overline{C} \pm k * \sigma$) for k = 1.55. (d) The variation of mean contrast (\overline{C}) corresponding to different values of k, enabling the selection of the minimum value of \overline{C} (\overline{C}_{min}) for a specific k value, alongside the corresponding minimum standard deviation (σ_{min}).

bandwidth of 0.25 nm centered at 393.37 nm and spanning from 1996 to the present (I. Ermolli et al. 2022). We used PSPT-R data for the period of 2000–2022 having a pixel scale of $2^{"}_{..0}$ pixel⁻¹ (T. Chatzistergos et al. 2020) to extend our analysis during periods when KoSO Ca II K data are unavailable. The PSPT-R images we use here were processed the same way as KoSO data (T. Chatzistergos et al. 2018, 2019a, 2020).

In addition to Ca II K data, we also utilized white-light polar faculae counts from MWO (1907–2007; A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. 2013) for the purpose of comparison.

On the other hand, for the direct polar field measurement, we use WSO polar field data,¹¹ which started taking polar field measurements from 1976 to the present (L. Svalgaard et al. 1978). The WSO measurements have been the most long-lived and consistent estimates of the polar field strength; however, they are based on a single-pixel reading, which samples different latitudes over the course of a year. Therefore, the visibility of the poles varies throughout the year due to changes in the tilt of the solar rotation axis toward the Earth/observer (B_0) . The B_0 angle, which varies between ± 7.25 throughout the year, makes homogeneous observation of the solar poles from the Sun-Earth line unfeasible. We have also used the polar field derived from the Advective Flux Transport Model (AFT; L. Upton & D. H. Hathaway 2014a, 2014b; B. K. Jha & L. A. Upton 2024) along with WSO measurement. AFT assimilates line-of-sight magnetograms from the Michelson Doppler Imager (P. H. Scherrer et al. 1995) and HMI instruments to produce the full 360° map (synchronic map) of the Sun. These maps are used to derive the polar field above 55° similar to WSO, for the period of 1996 onward. For details about the AFT, data assimilation, and calculation of the polar

field, see the original papers mentioned in the previous sentence. It is important to note that the derivation of the polar field using the AFT is calibrated to the HMI observations. This results in measurements that are approximately 1.8 times greater in amplitude than those obtained using the WSO. The increased resolution also results in less latitudinal variability over the year. These combined effects result in a significant difference in the polar field strengths derived from WSO and AFT.

Since the optimal viewing of the northern and southern poles occurs only during August–September ($B_0 \approx +7.25$) and February–March ($B_0 \approx -7.25$), respectively (see, e.g., G. J. D. Petrie 2015; J. Janssens 2021), in this work, we have considered only these months when calculating the yearly average faculae counts, as well as the polar field from WSO and AFT. To be consistent with the Ca II K data, we only use the KoSO and PSPT-R observations from these four months, with total images of 16,009 and 1980, respectively.

3. Methodology

To identify the bright network regions, we employ the adaptive threshold technique described by E. Nesme-Ribes et al. (1996, hereafter the NR method), which was initially utilized for the detection of the quiet Sun regions and faculae in Meudon spectroheliograms. We chose the NR method based on the results by I. Ermolli et al. (2007, 2009), T. Chatzistergos (2017), and T. Chatzistergos et al. (2019a), who showed that this method performs better than others from the literature without introducing activity-dependent inconsistencies. In particular, this method is preferred over constant threshold methods where a fixed threshold is applied uniformly over the solar disk (J. Singh et al. 2012; M. Priyal et al. 2014a); adaptive threshold methods where the threshold is dynamically adjusted based on the mean contrast (\overline{C}) and standard deviation (σ)

¹¹ Available at http://wso.stanford.edu/Polar.html.

(S. Chatterjee et al. 2016); and multiple level tracking, where multiple thresholds are sequentially applied until bright magnetic features are identified (B. Bovelet & E. Wiehr 2001). It was found, in fact, that the adaptive threshold technique, such as S. Chatterjee et al. (2016), is susceptible to variations induced by solar activity, adversely impacting the detection of network regions, whereas the constant threshold method, such as M. Priyal et al. (2014a), is not ideal for ground-based archival data sets due to inherent nonuniformity in data quality over the long observation period.

3.1. Detection of Polar Network

The first step in identifying the polar network involves determining the quiet Sun intensity utilizing the NR method. Here, we restrict our background estimation and later network identification within 0.98 R of the solar disk (as represented by the blue line in Figure 1(a) to limit the uncertainties associated with detecting the polar network near the limb. In Figure 1(b), the distribution of contrast values (C) on the solar disk (within 0.98 R; olive green) is illustrated. To get the background quiet Sun, we extract the mean (\overline{C}) and standard deviation (σ) from the distribution to select all the pixels within the range of $\overline{C} \pm k\sigma$, assuming that contrast values of the quiet Sun have a Gaussian distribution. This allows us to refine the identification of the background quiet Sun by removing pixels belonging to bright regions from further analysis. The mean (\overline{C}) and standard deviation (σ) are then calculated again from the distribution of these selected pixels (red; Figure 1(c)). One such distribution of selected pixels within $\vec{C} \pm k\sigma$ for $\vec{k} = 1.55$ is shown in Figure 1(c). In Figure 1(d), the mean \overline{C} is plotted as a function of $k, \forall k \in [0.65, 3.0]$, where k is incremented in the step of 0.05. The minimum value \overline{C} (\overline{C}_{\min}), called minimum mean contrast, and corresponding (σ_{\min}), the minimum standard deviation, is the representative of background quiet Sun intensity distribution.

Once we get the \overline{C}_{\min} and σ_{\min} we calculate the threshold as

$$T = \overline{C}_{\min} + m_{n} * \sigma_{\min} \tag{1}$$

to identify the network regions in Ca II K observations. Here, m_n is a constant having a value of 3.2 for both KoSO and PSPT-R Ca II K observations. The value of m_n is first estimated based on the visual inspection of the identified network regions but then set based on the study of the correlation between our PNI estimates and the WSO polar field (see Section 4.3). In particular, we considered the value of m_n that returns the maximum value of the correlation coefficient (CC). A representative example of the identified network regions with this NR method is shown in Figure 2(a) for the KoSO Ca II K observation taken on 1966 February 3 11:36 IST (06:06 UT) and in Figure 2(b) for the PSPT-R Ca II K observation taken on 2007 March 15 09:22:52 UT.

3.2. Polar Network Index

To calculate the PNI, we only focus on the network identified close to the northern and southern poles of the Sun within the latitude range of $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ during August–September (for the northern hemisphere) and February–March (for the southern hemisphere) ensuring the optimal viewing of the northern and southern poles, respectively. Such representative examples of the optimal view of the South Pole are shown

in the inset box of Figures 2(a) and (b) for KoSO and PSPT-R, respectively. The PNI is computed by summing the total number of pixels within these polar network masks within the latitude range of $\pm (55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ and expressing them in terms of a millionth of the area of the solar disk, i.e.,

$$PNI = \frac{\text{Number of Network Pixels}}{\pi R^2} \times 10^6, \qquad (2)$$

where *R* is the radius of the solar disk in pixels. We normalized the sum of pixels within polar network masks by the area of the solar disk to consider the varying solar disk sizes during the months of consideration, which, to the best of our knowledge, was not considered by M. Priyal et al. (2014a) for their PNI estimation. We calculate the PNI for all the Ca II K observations from KoSO (1904–2007) and PSPT-R (2000–2022) during the aforementioned months of the year.

4. Results

4.1. PNI Time Series

A two-month averaged PNI time series is constructed for the northern and southern hemispheres by considering the data from August to September and February to March for the respective hemispheres. In Figures 3(a) and (b), we plot the average PNI as a function of the year along with the standard statistical error (SSE) in the mean, for KoSO (1904-2007) and PSPT-R (2000–2022), respectively. In Figure 3(a), we note a systematic decrease and larger fluctuations in PNI for KoSO data after 1980, which is due to the degradation of data quality in KoSO in the later half of the 20th century, as identified by I. Ermolli et al. (2009a), T. Chatzistergos et al. (2023), and D. K. Mishra et al. (2024). This effect is even more severe for the northern hemisphere by the end of the 20th century, when we observe a very low value of PNI in this hemisphere. We note that on top of the systematic degradation in image quality after the 1980s, the number of observations is significantly lower in the months of August-September, likely due to the rainy season and monsoon in Kodaikanal. On the other hand, the PNI calculated from PSPT-R shows more consistent variation in the later part of this period. Overall, the PNI calculated from PSPT-R observations is at comparable levels, albeit potentially slightly lower, than the PNI calculated from KoSO data. This is expected based on the nominal bandpass of the images in PSPT-R being broader than that of KoSO (see T. Chatzistergos et al. 2019b); this difference agrees with other results achieved by comparing bright features identified in KoSO and PSPT-R images. Additionally, we note that the temporal variation of PNI (as with other measures of the polar field strength) exhibits an antiphase relationship with the international smoothed sunspot number v2.0¹² (SILSO World Data Center 1907-2022; F. Clette et al. 2015; F. Clette et al. 2023) that can be seen in Figures 3(a) and (b). While the PNI for cycles 14–18 are fairly symmetric in amplitudes, the cycles since then have notable hemispheric asymmetries.

PNI values are inherently positive; hence, to use them as the proxies of the polar field, we assign them with the sign in both hemispheres as follows. For the KoSO PNI before 1976 and the PSPT-R PNI, we assign and reverse its sign based on the timing of the minimum of the two-month-averaged PNI series

¹² Sunspot data from the World Data Center SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels (https://www.sidc.be/SILSO/datafiles).



Figure 2. (a) and (b) The red contours depict the detected features, including plages and network regions, within the Ca II K image (contrast $\in [-0.5, 0.5]$) of KoSO and PSPT-R observed on 1966 February 3 11:36 IST (06:06 UT) and 2007 March 15 09:22:52 UT, respectively. This marking follows the application of the threshold derived with Equation (1) (see Section 3.1). The rectangular green box marks approximately the selected region for -55° to -90° in the south pole (yellow overlaid grid) for the calculation of PNI. The inset (contrast $\in [-0.1, 0.1]$) shows the exact region of interest for the PNI estimation covering the south pole of the solar disk, spanning from a latitude of -55° to -90° . Red contours highlight the presence of bright polar network regions within this specified region.



Figure 3. Top: the variation of PNI from KoSO (a) and PSPT-R (b) Ca II K data for both northern and southern hemispheres with error bars corresponding to SSEs in the latitude range of $55^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$. The shaded area shows the variation in the total sunspot number (ISSN) scaled to bring into the range of PNI values. Bottom: the PNI series with signed polarity (see Section 4.1 for details on how we assigned the polarity) from KoSO (c) and PSPT-R (d) Ca II K data is shown for both the northern and southern hemispheres. An example of a polarity reversal for the northern and southern hemispheres is shown by dashed green and dashed–dotted orange lines, respectively, in (a) and (c).

in both hemispheres (refer to the green and yellow vertical lines in Figures 3(a) and (c)). However, due to the data quality issue for KoSO after 1976, we used the timing of polarity reversal from the WSO polar field measurement to assign the polarity to the KoSO PNI after 1976. See Table 1 for the comparison of the times of polarity reversal for both $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ and $\pm(70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ based on the WSO polar field and based on the minimum of the PNI series. In Figures 3(c) and (d), we show the signed PNI series of KoSO (PNI_{KoSO}, hereafter) and PSPT-R (hereafter PNI_{PSPT-R}) as a function of time.



Figure 4. Comparison between PNI_{KoSO} with polarity signs in both the northern (filled circle markers solid red line) and southern hemispheres (filled circle markers dashed blue line) to MWO white-light faculae counts in corresponding hemispheres (diamond markers dashed–dotted brown line; north and diamond markers dotted navy blue line; south) during the overlapping period from 1907 to 2007 for the latitude ranges of $\pm (55^\circ - 90^\circ)$ (a) and $\pm (70^\circ - 90^\circ)$ (c). Scatterplot between PNI_{KoSO} and faculae counts (b) and (d), which is denoted by red and blue points for the northern and southern hemispheres with corresponding SSE error bars. Also shown is a linear fit to the data (black line), noting the fit parameter at the top part of the panel as well as the Pearson (*r*) and Spearman (ρ) CCs.

 Table 1

 Year of Polarity Reversal for PNI and B_P^{WSO}

Solar Cycle	PNI North		$B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ North	PNI South		$B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ South
	$55^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$	$70^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$		$55^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$	$70^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$	
14 ^a				1907	1907	
15 ^a	1917	1917		1917	1918	
16 ^a	1928	1928		1927	1928	
17 ^a	1938	1938		1937	1937	
18 ^a	1947	1947		1950	1949	
19 ^a	1958	1958		1960	1958	
20 ^a	1971	1971		1970	1970	
21 ^a	1981	1980	1980	1980	1981	1981
22 ^a	1991	1991	1990	1991	1991	1992
23 ^b	2000	2000	2000	2002	2001	2002
24 ^b	2014	2015	2013	2014	2014	2014

Notes.

^a KoSO.

^b PSPT-R.

4.2. Comparison with Polar Faculae Counts

In Figures 4(a) and (c), we compare the signed PNI_{KoSO} (1907–2007) with white-light faculae counts from MWO for latitude ranges of $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ and $\pm(70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$, where the average faculae counts are taken from A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. (2013) and scaled by the factors of 187.62 and 50.99 (calibrated for the period 1907–1980), respectively, to bring them to the scale of PNI_{KoSO} for better comparison. We emphasize here that the faculae counts considered in A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. (2013) were for the intervals of February 15–March 15 for the south pole and August 15–September 15 for the north pole, whereas in this work, PNI_{KoSO} is considered from August 1 to September 30 for the north and February 1 to March 31 for the

we observe r = 0.90(p = 0.05) and $\rho = 0.93(p = 0.05)$ for the latitude range of $\pm (70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ in Figure 4(d). The high CC value suggests a strong correlation between them, signifying the importance of PNI as a proxy for the reconstruction of the historical polar field. The inclusion of the $\pm (70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ latitude range in this specific analysis is due to the fact that polar faculae are predominantly measured within this heliographic latitude range (F. Dyson 1923; A. Muñoz-Jaramillo et al. 2012; B. Hovis-Afflerbach & W. D. Pesnell 2022) for the purpose of measuring the polar magnetic field. However, for this work, we primarily use the $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ latitude range for PNI calculation to align with the WSO polar field measurement latitude range. Consequently, for comparison with polar faculae, we utilize both the $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ and $\pm(70^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ latitude ranges to examine the variation in the correlation between PNI and polar faculae. In addition to PNIKoSO, similar high values of CCs (r > 0.87 and $\rho > 0.87$) are also found for PNI_{PSPT-R} and MWO faculae counts calculated over the overlapping period of 2000-2007. In this section and Section 4.1, we addressed the underestimated counts of PNI_{KoSO} for the northern hemisphere,

south. Figure 4 error bars indicate the SSE in the mean

calculated over the period of consideration for faculae counts and PNI_{KoSO}. Results for the southern hemisphere are, in general, in better agreement than those derived from the northern hemisphere, except for early observations in 1907–1915 and 1930–1935 covering Solar Cycles 14 and 16, respectively. As mentioned above, after 1980, there was a considerable degradation in KoSO Ca II K images, which is very apparent in PNI_{KoSO} as well. Furthermore, in Figure 4(b) we show a scatterplot between PNI_{KoSO} and MWO faculae counts for the latitude range from 55° to 90° in the period of 1907–1980 and also calculate Pearson, r = 0.87 (significance p = 0.05), and Spearman, $\rho = 0.89$ (p = 0.05), CCs between them. Similarly,



Figure 5. Similar to Figure 3, except that PNI_{KoSO} has been reconstructed using MWO polar faculae for the period 1981–2007 (shaded orange region).

Table 2
Correlation Coefficients between PNI and Polar Field Series Considered in
This Study

	$B_{\rm P}^{\rm V}$	VSO	$B_{ m P}^{ m AFT}$	
PNI/B_P	r	ρ	r	ρ
PNI _{KoSO}	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.94
PNI _{PSPT-R}	0.93	0.89	0.91	0.85

Note. PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} are the PNI of KoSO and PSPT-R, respectively. *r* and ρ are the Pearson and Spearman CCs, respectively.

which were caused by the degradation in data quality of KoSO Ca II K images. To mitigate this issue, we reconstructed PNI_{KoSO} for the period from 1981 to 2007 using a scaling factor of 187.62, as derived above for MWO polar faculae counts. This approach allowed us to produce an enhanced version of the PNI, combining both PNI_{KoSO} and MWO polar faculae, as illustrated in Figure 5. We will use these reconstructed PNIs for the analysis in the following sections.

4.3. Reconstruction of the Polar Field

Now, we discuss the main goal of the work, which is to reconstruct the polar field (B_P) over the last century from PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R}. We start with calculating the Pearson (r) and Spearman (ρ) CCs for PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} with both the WSO polar magnetic field (B_P^{MSO}) and the polar field derived from the AFT model (B_P^{AFT}) , which is summarized in Table 2. Based on these CCs, we undertake the reconstruction using a linear model $B_P \propto$ PNI, i.e., $B_P = b(\text{PNI})$. We also calculate the coefficient of determination $(R^2\text{-score})$ for the optimal fitting parameters calculated using the least-squares fitting, and we find that the $R^2\text{-scores}$ for PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} with WSO polar field (B_P^{WSO}) are 0.92 and 0.86, respectively, indicating a strong linear relationship between these two physical quantities. Furthermore, we also test our model for PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} with B_P^{AFT} , which yields the R^2 -scores of 0.83 and 0.82, respectively.



Figure 6. Scatterplots between PNI and B_P . In particular, we show the pairs (a) B_P^{WSO} and PNI_{KoSO} for the period of 1977–2000, (b) B_P^{WSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} for the period of 2001–2022, (c) B_P^{AFT} and PNI_{KoSO} for the period of 1996–2007, and (d) B_P^{AFT} and PNI_{PSPT-R} for the period of 2001–2022, along with their corresponding errors in measured PNI and polar field. Results for the northern hemisphere are shown with red diamond (PSPT-R) and red circle (KoSO) symbols, while those for the southern hemisphere are displayed with blue diamond (PSPT-R) and blue circle (KoSO) symbols. The best-fit lines are shown with the solid black line, whereas shaded regions represent the 95% confidence interval. In the case of PNI_{PSPT-R} (<2) and B_P^{AFT} (<0.02), error bars are very small; hence, they barely appear in the plot. Also listed are the Pearson (*r*) and Spearman (ρ) CCs.

First, we use the B_P^{WSO} to get *b*, the calibration constant for PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R}. We restricted ourselves to the period from 1977 to 2000 in the case of PNI_{KoSO} due to the concern about less reliable data beyond this timeframe. In Figure 6(a), we show a scatterplot between B_P^{WSO} with PNI_{KoSO} and fit our



Figure 7. (a) The polar magnetic fields obtained from PNI_{KoSO} (solid red and dashed blue for the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively) and PNI_{PSPT-R} (solid orange and dashed navy for the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively) spanning the time interval from 1904 to 2022 are shown, alongside B_p^{WSO} for the overlapping timeframe (1976–2022) in the northern (dashed–dotted dark green) and southern (dotted purple) hemispheres. (b) The polar fields obtained from PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} using calibration value (with B_p^{AFT} ; 1996–2007 and 2001–2022, respectively) are compared with B_p^{AFT} (1996–2023) of the northern (dashed–dotted green) and southern (dotted purple) hemispheres.

linear model, which yields the calibration constant (4.2 \pm 0.2) × 10⁻⁴ (95% confidence interval), represented by the solid black line in Figure 6(a). Similarly, in Figure 6(b), we plot $B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ with PNI_{PSPT-R} for the period of 2001–2022, which gives the (2.9 \pm 0.2) × 10⁻⁴. We limit the analysis to the period 2001–2022 because the PSPT-R data are more homogeneous over this period (I. Ermolli et al. 2022). Following that, we have used $B_{\rm P}^{\rm AFT}$ to calculate the *b* for both PNI_{KoSO} (1996–2007) and PNI_{PSPT-R} (2001–2022), which results in (2.1 \pm 0.2) × 10⁻³ and (1.1 \pm 0.1) × 10⁻³, respectively. We also observe a significant correlation (r > 0.91 and $\rho > 0.85$) between PNI and $B_{\rm P}^{\rm AFT}$ for both PSPT-R and KoSO data.

We reconstruct polar fields using PNIKOSO (reconstructed for 1981–2007) and PNI_{PSPT-R} based on the calculated value of b for each case of B_P (WSO and AFT) individually for the northern and southern hemispheres. In Figures 7(a) and (b), we plot the constructed $B_{\rm P}$ as a function of time based on $B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ and $B_{\rm P}^{\rm AFT}$, respectively, for their complete period of observations. Furthermore, the polar fields derived from PSPT-R for both hemispheres are in excellent agreement with $B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ underscoring the robustness of this methodology in deriving polar fields from the two CaII K PNI series. During the overlapping period, the polar field estimated from KoSO and $B_{\rm P}^{\rm WSO}$ also shows a good agreement for both hemispheres. The error bars for the polar fields, shown in Figure 7(a), are derived by applying standard formulae for the propagation of relative uncertainties $\frac{\sigma_y^2}{y^2} = \frac{\sigma_b^2}{b^2} + \frac{\sigma_x^2}{x^2}$ where *x* and *y* are the equivalent to B_P and PNI, respectively, and σ represents the corresponding uncertainty in them, at the same time b and σ_b are the calibration constant and fitting error corresponding to it.

4.4. Composite PNI Series

We construct a composite PNI series by integrating PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} data. Specifically, we include all PNI_{PSPT-R} data from 2000 onward and all PNI_{KoSO} data prior to 1980. Our composite PNI series, displayed in Figure 8, is further refined to achieve uniformity across the entire 119 yr period by calibrating PNI_{KoSO} and PNI_{PSPT-R} data over the overlapping period from 2000 to 2007. Due to the unreliability of KoSO data for the interval of 1980-2000, we use rescaled polar faculae counts from MWO data to reconstruct PNIKOSO (1980–2000, see the gray shaded region in Figure 8), adjusting by the 187.62 factor derived from the 1904 to 1980 data comparison in Section 4.2. From this reconstructed PNI_{KoSO}, we perform a linear fit (y = bx) to PNI_{PSPT-R} data for the same period, determining a calibration factor of 0.67. This factor is then used to scale PNI_{PSPT-R} data to align with PNI_{KoSO} , resulting in the rescaled PNI_{PSPT-R} values shown in the pink shaded region of Figure 8. Consequently, we establish a longterm PNI composite series spanning 1904-2022, which will be made public to the community.

4.5. Polar Field and Amplitude of Solar Cycle

The sunspot number series introduced by R. Wolf in 1849 (R. Wolf 1856) and currently compiled by WDC-SILSO, as the international sunspot number (ISNv2; F. Clette et al. 2023), is the most widely recognized proxy of the solar cycle. The strength and timing of the solar cycle are typically defined based on these numbers. It has been noted that the polar field at the time of solar minima is one of the best proxies for predicting the following solar cycle (L. A. Upton & D. H. Hathaway 2023). Therefore, we used the newly constructed polar field data over the last 11 solar cycles (Cycle 14 to Cycle 24) to estimate the



Figure 8. The composite PNI series (1904–2022) merges results from Ca II K data from KoSO and PSPT-R. The gray and light pink shaded regions represent the reconstructed PNI_{KoSO} , derived from faculae counts from MWO and scaled PNI_{PSPT-R} using PNI_{KoSO} for the periods 1980–2000 and 2001–2022, respectively.



Figure 9. The correlation analysis illustrates the relationship between A_{Max} of Cycle-(n+1) [ISSN] and the $B_{\text{P}}^{\text{Min}}$ at the minima of Cycle-n, represented in navy blue excluding Solar Cycle 16 (pink point). A linear regression model (y = bx + c), denoted by the red line, has been applied. The estimated strength of Solar Cycle 25 is presented with a dark green point.

strength of Solar Cycle 25, which seems to have entered into the maximum phase in 2024 (B. K. Jha & L. A. Upton 2024). As we are very close to the maximum of Cycle 25, such a prediction serves mostly as a performance test of our newly reconstructed series of polar magnetic fields.

In this study, we utilize the 13 month smoothed sunspot number v2.0 (ISSIv2.0) to determine the timing of cycle minima and the amplitude (A_{Max}) of each solar cycle. Additionally, we use B_{P} data obtained from both PNI_{KoSO} and $\text{PNI}_{\text{PSPT-R}}$ to estimate the polar field at solar minimum $(B_{\text{P}}^{\text{Min}})$ for 10 solar cycles (15–24). Here, $B_{\text{P}}^{\text{Min}}$ refers to the combined average of polar field values for the northern and southern hemispheres at the minimum preceding the A_{Max} of a specific solar cycle.

We conduct a correlation analysis between B_P^{Min} and A_{Max} , yielding a CC of r = 0.90 (p = 0.05) and $\rho = 0.92$ (p = 0.05). This analysis excludes Cycle 16 based on the best possible correlation, marked pink in Figure 9. This observation was also

seen in past works utilizing polar networks (M. Priyal et al. 2014a) and polar faculae (J.-V. Rodrìguez et al. 2024), but the reason for Solar Cycle 16 being an outlier still remains unknown. Some of the potential reasons for this can be issues with the sunspot number series or the Ca II K data employed here. We note that a recent recalibration of the sunspot number series over cycle 16 by S. Bhattacharya et al. (2024) did not reveal any potential issues with the sunspot number series over that period. Further work is required to understand this issue.

A linear fit (y = bx + c) is performed in Figure 9, yielding a slope and intercept of $b = 98.1 \pm 18.2$ and $c = 41.2 \pm 30.0$, respectively. Using this calibration value and the polar field estimated from PNI_{PSPT-R} at the previous solar cycle minimum, we estimate the strength of Solar Cycle 25 to be 121.6 ± 33.1 , which is also shown in Figure 9 with dark green marking. Our estimate for the amplitude of Solar Cycle 25 is slightly lower than the current trends (about 130–140) and previous predictions (S. K. Bisoi et al. 2020; L. A. Upton & D. H. Hathaway 2023; J. Javaraiah 2024). However, within the uncertainty of our estimate, it is consistent with the others.

5. Summary and Conclusion

We utilized century-long CaII K data from KoSO (1904-2007) and Ca II K data from PSPT-R (2000-2022) to investigate the polar network regions. From the detected polar network, we calculated the PNI within the latitude range of $\pm(55^{\circ}-90^{\circ})$ for both the northern and southern hemispheres, thereby constructing PNI series for the period 1904-2022 from both these data sets. We get polarity-signed PNI values based on the minimum PNI time series averaged in two months. We found that the CaII K PNI show a strong correlation with MWO polar faculae (Figure 4), validating the potential of the polar network as a proxy of the polar field. In addition, we utilized these polar faculae counts to fill the data gap for PNI_{KoSO} due to their degrading quality after 1980. Also, we combined KoSO CaII K data with PSPT-R CaII K observations to extend the PNI series to cover the period from 1904 to 2022.

The composite PNI series, derived from combining KoSO and PSPT-R observations, enabled us to reconstruct the historical polar magnetic field from 1904 to 2022. The reconstructed polar field based on PNI_{PSPT-R} showed excellent agreement with both the WSO and AFT polar fields (see Figure 7), underscoring the efficacy of using the polar network as a proxy for polar field reconstruction. The reconstructed PNI series data for the entire period are available to the community

through an open and public repository (GitHub and Zenodo), which can be accessed at doi:10.5281/zenodo.14676548 (D. Mishra et al. 2025). Furthermore, we used the polar field derived from the PNI to estimate the relation between the amplitude of the solar cycle and the strength of the polar field at the preceding cycle minima. Furthermore, we used this relation to compare the amplitude of the current cycle with other predictions. This reconstructed B_P over the last ≈ 11 solar cycles from historical data is a valuable asset for constraining the solar dynamo and SFT models, which were limited due to the unavailability of historical polar field data. To further complete the PNI series, particularly for the period from 1980 to 2000, where data quality is a concern, future efforts should focus on integrating additional Ca II K data sources to construct a more comprehensive composite PNI series.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to the observers at the Kodaikanal Solar Observatory and the individuals involved in the digitization process for their efforts in providing extensive solar data spanning over a century to the scientific community. Kodaikanal Solar Observatory is a facility of the Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore, India. Ca II K raw data are now available for public use at http://kso.iiap.res.in through a service developed at IUCAA under the Data Driven Initiatives project funded by the National Knowledge Network. We acknowledge WDC-SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels, for the sunspot data. Additionally, we extend our sincere gratitude to Rome/PSPT https://www.oa-roma. inaf.it/pspt-daily-images-archive/ for providing the easily accessible data that we have utilized in our current work. The funding support for D.K.M.'s research is from the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR). India. under file No. 09/0948(11923)/2022-EMR-I. T.C. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 101097844-project WIN-SUN). This study has made use of SAO/NASA Astrophysics Data System's bibliographic services.

Data Availability Statement

PNI composite series combining KoSO and Rome/PSPT Ca II K data and polar field derived from these are available at GitHub and Zenodo repositories through doi:10.5281/ zenodo.14676548 (D. Mishra et al. 2025).

ORCID iDs

Dibya Kirti Mishra https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1377-0653 Bibhuti Kumar Jha https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3191-4625 Theodosios Chatzistergos https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0335-9831

Ilaria Ermolli (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2596-9523 Dipankar Banerjee (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4653-6823 Lisa A. Upton (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0621-4803

References

- Babcock, H. W., & Babcock, H. D. 1955, ApJ, 121, 349
- Bhattacharya, S., Lefèvre, L., Chatzistergos, T., Hayakawa, H., & Jansen, M. 2024, SoPh, 299, 45
- Bhowmik, P., & Nandy, D. 2018, NatCo, 9, 5209
- Bisoi, S. K., Janardhan, P., & Ananthakrishnan, S. 2020, JGRA, 125, e27508

- Bovelet, B., & Wiehr, E. 2001, SoPh, 201, 13
- Charbonneau, P. 2020, LRSP, 17, 4
- Chatterjee, S., Banerjee, D., & Ravindra, B. 2016, ApJ, 827, 87
- Chatzistergos, T. 2017, PhD thesis, MPS and Georg August Univ. of Göttingen
- Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Banerjee, D., et al. 2023, A&A, 680, A 15

Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Krivova, N. A., & Solanki, S. K. 2019a, A&A, 625, A69

- Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Krivova, N. A., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A88
- Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Solanki, S. K., & Krivova, N. A. 2018, A&A, 609, A92
- Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Solanki, S. K., et al. 2019b, SoPh, 294, 145
- Chatzistergos, T., Ermolli, I., Solanki, S. K., et al. 2019, A&A, 626, A114
- Chatzistergos, T., Krivova, N. A., & Ermolli, I. 2022, FrASS, 9, 336
- Clette, F., Lefèvre, L., Chatzistergos, T., et al. 2023, SoPh, 298, 44
- Clette, F., Svalgaard, L., Vaquero, J. M., & Cliver, E. W. 2015, in Revisiting the Sunspot Number, ed. A. Balogh et al. (New York: Springer), 35 Diercke, A., & Denker, C. 2019, SoPh, 294, 152
- Dyson, F. 1923, MNRAS, 84, 96
- Elek, A., Korsós, M. B., Dikpati, M., et al. 2024, ApJ, 964, 112
- Ermolli, I., Criscuoli, S., Centrone, M., Giorgi, F., & Penza, V. 2007, A&A, 465, 305
- Ermolli, I., Giorgi, F., & Chatzistergos, T. 2022, FrASS, 9, 352
- Ermolli, I., Solanki, S. K., Tlatov, A. G., et al. 2009, ApJ, 698, 1000
- Hoeksema, J. T. 1984, PhD thesis, Stanford Univ.
- Hovis-Afflerbach, B., & Pesnell, W. D. 2022, SoPh, 297, 48
- Janardhan, P., Fujiki, K., Ingale, M., Bisoi, S. K., & Rout, D. 2018, A&A, 618, A148
- Janssens, J. 2021, JSWSC, 11, 3
- Javaraiah, J. 2024, AdSpR, 74, 1518
- Jha, B. K. 2022, PhD thesis, Pondicherry Univ.
- Jha, B. K., Chatzistergos, T., Banerjee, D., et al. 2024, SoPh, 299, 166
- Jha, B. K., & Upton, L. A. 2024, ApJL, 962, L15
- Jiang, J., Chatterjee, P., & Choudhuri, A. R. 2007, MNRAS, 381, 1527
- Kaithakkal, A. J., Suematsu, Y., Kubo, M., Shiota, D., & Tsuneta, S. 2013, ApJ, 776, 122
- Kitchatinov, L. L., & Olemskoy, S. V. 2011, AstL, 37, 656
- Kumar, P., Biswas, A., & Karak, B. B. 2022, MNRAS, 513, L112
- Kumar, P., Nagy, M., Lemerle, A., Karak, B. B., & Petrovay, K. 2021, ApJ, 909, 87
- Li, K., Irie, M., Wang, J., et al. 2002, PASJ, 54, 787
- Livingston, W. C., Harvey, J., Pierce, A. K., et al. 1976, ApOpt, 15, 33
- Makarov, V. I., Makarova, V. V., & Sivaraman, K. R. 1989, SoPh, 119, 45
- Makarov, V. I., Tlatov, A. G., Callebaut, D. K., Obridko, V. N., & Shelting, B. D. 2001, SoPh, 198, 409
- Mishra, D., Jha, B., Chatzistergos, T., et al. 2025, DIBYA31051996/kosopni: v1.0.0, doi:10.5281/zenodo.14676549
- Mishra, D. K., Routh, S., Jha, B. K., et al. 2024, ApJ, 961, 40
- Muñoz-Jaramillo, A., Dasi-Espuig, M., Balmaceda, L. A., & DeLuca, E. E. 2013, ApJL, 767, L25
- Muñoz-Jaramillo, A., Sheeley, N. R., Zhang, J., & DeLuca, E. E. 2012, ApJ, 753, 146
- Narang, N., Banerjee, D., Chandrashekhar, K., & Pant, V. 2019, SoPh, 294, 40

Nesme-Ribes, E., Meunier, N., & Collin, B. 1996, A&A, 308, 213

- Petrie, G. J. D. 2015, LRSP, 12, 5
- Petrie, G. J. D., Petrovay, K., & Schatten, K. 2015, in Space Sciences Series of ISSI, Vol. 53, The Solar Activity Cycle, ed. K. Petrovay & R. von Steiger (New York: Springer), 325
- Petrovay, K. 2020, LRSP, 17, 2
- Priyal, M., Banerjee, D., Karak, B. B., et al. 2014a, ApJL, 793, L4
- Priyal, M., Singh, J., Ravindra, B., Priya, T. G., & Amareswari, K. 2014b, SoPh, 289, 137
- Rodrìguez, J.-V., Sánchez Carrasco, V. M., Rodrìguez-Rodrìguez, I., Pérez Aparicio, A. J., & Vaquero, J. M. 2024, SoPh, 299, 117
- Sanderson, T. R., Appourchaux, T., Hoeksema, J. T., & Harvey, K. L. 2003, JGRA, 108, 1035
- Schatten, K. H., Scherrer, P. H., Svalgaard, L., & Wilcox, J. M. 1978, GeoRL, 5, 411
- Scherrer, P. H., Bogart, R. S., Bush, R. I., et al. 1995, SoPh, 162, 129
- Scherrer, P. H., Schou, J., Bush, R. I., et al. 2012, SoPh, 275, 207
- Sheeley, N. R., Jr. 1991, ApJ, 374, 386
- Sheeley, N. R., Jr. 2008, ApJ, 680, 1553
- SILSO World Data Center 1907-2022, Int. Sunspot Number Monthly Bulletin and Online Catalogue, http://www.sidc.be/silso/
- Singh, J., Belur, R., Raju, S., et al. 2012, RAA, 12, 472
- Sun, X., Hoeksema, J. T., Liu, Y., & Zhao, J. 2015, ApJ, 798, 114

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 982:78 (11pp), 2025 April 1

- Svalgaard, L., Duvall, T. L., J., & Scherrer, P. H. 1978, SoPh, 58, 225 Tritschler, A., & Schmidt, W. 2002, in ESA SP-506, Vol. 2, Solar Variability: From Core to Outer Frontiers, ed. A. Wilson (Noordwijk: ESA), 785
- Ulrich, R. K., Evans, S., Boyden, J. E., & Webster, L. 2002, ApJS, 139, 259 Upton, L., & Hathaway, D. H. 2014a, ApJ, 780, 5

- Upton, L., & Hathaway, D. H. 2014b, ApJ, 792, 142
- Upton, L. A., & Hathaway, D. H. 2023, JGRA, 128, e2023JA031681
- Wang, Y. M., & Sheeley, N. R. 2009, ApJL, 694, L11
- Wolf, R. 1856, MiZur, 1, 3
- Xu, Y., Banerjee, D., Chatterjee, S., et al. 2021, ApJ, 909, 86