Photographic astrometry of comet Halley

G. M. Ballabh, G. C. Kilambi, N. B. Sanwal, S. Sreedhar Rao, R. Swaminathan and C. Raghavender Rao

Centre of Advanced Study in Astronomy, Osmania University, Hyderabud 500 007

Received 1989 October 11; accepted 1989 November 1

Abstract. Apparent geocentric positions of comet Halley have been computed using the photographic plates obtained with the 20-cm astrograph of the Nizamiah and Japal-Rangapur Observatories during the 1985-86 apparition. Our results agree very well with the revised predicted values published by the international Halley watch.

Key Words: comet Halley—astrometry

1. Introduction

Astrometry forms an important part of cometary observations as it provides information about the orbit of the comet around the sun and various perturbations experienced by it in its journey. During its recent 1985–86 apparition using the 20 cm astrograph of the Nizamiah and Japal-Rangapur Observatories, we photographed comet Halley before and after its perihelion passage, Between 1985 October and 1986 April, about 60 plates were exposed for different times in its orbit, and have been used to estimate the orbital elements.

2. Observations and reductions

Telescopes with focal ratios between f/15 and f/20 are normally used for photographic astrometry. Our 20-cm astrograph has a focal ratio of f/17 and a plate scale of one arcmin per mm. Kodak IIa-D plates of size 16×16 cm were used without any filters. The exposure times varied from 5 min to 1 hr, An atlas of these photographs has been published by Sanwal *et al.* (1988).

Plates with good image quality were selected for the determination of Halley's positions. For this purpose about 10 standard stars were identified on each plate with the help of SAO charts. The positions of these stars were taken from the SAO catalogue.

The relative positions of the standard stars and the comet on each plate were measured using the Gaertner measuring machine with direct and reverse readings. A few plates were also measured on the Carl-Zeiss X-Y coordinate measuring machine at the Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore. Both these machines give a positional accuracy of one micron on the plate. The mean length and breadth of the star trails were taken as the position of the star on the plate at the time of mid-exposure. Thus the computed cometary positions correspond to mid-exposure time in each case.

The measured X-Y positions of the comet and standard stars were reduced by Turner's least square method (Smart 1960, Marsden & Roemer 1982) and also by Comrie's (1929) three star dependences method in order to obtain the apparent geocentric equatorial positions of the comet. The reductions were verified on each plate by treating the positions of one or more reference stars as unknown. The differences between the computed and the catalogued positions of these stars were always less than 0.5 arcsec.

3. Comparison with the predicted positions

International Halley watch (IHW) had published through IHW newsletters, the predicted ephemeride of comet Halley prepared by Dr Yeomans on the basis of orbit 16 (EP16) and later, on the basis of improved orbit 33 (EP 33). EP16 covers the period from 1984 October to 1986 December while EP33 covers the period from 1986 January to June. As the more accurate EP33 does not cover the entire period of our observations we have compared the computed values of the positions with both the predicted ephemerides. Apparent positions at the times of mid-exposure along with the interpolated EP16 and EP33 values are given in table 1. Our values are in good agreement with the improved predicted values based on orbit 33 parameters. The rms error with respect to EP33 is 0.12 arcmin in R.A. and 0.21 arcmin in Dec.

Table 1. Apparent geocentric positions of comet Halley

| Date | Julian date Mid-exposure | R⁻.A. 1950.0 | Diff. (2-1) & (3-1) | Dec. 1950.0 | Diff (2-1) & (3-1) |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2446000.0+ | h m | m | 0 / | , |
| 14.11.1985 | 384.24653 | 1:03 59.968 2:— 3:03 59.920 | — .048 | 21 57.85 | 0,25 |
| 06.12.1985 | 406.20312 | 1:00 11.589 2:— 3:00 11.488 | <u>~</u> .101 | 09 04.75 | 2.46 |
| 17.12.1985 | 417.15278 | 1: 23 02.600 2: — 3: 23 02.621 | .021 | 02 19.31 02 17.01 | 2.30 |
| 25.12.1985 | 425.12468 | 1:22 32.476 2:— 3:22 32.397 | — .079 | 00 46.94 00 47.72 | 0.78 |
| | | | | | (Continued) |

Table 1—Continued

| Date | Julian date Mid-exposure | R.A. 1950.0 | Diff. (2-1) & (3-1) | Dec. 1950.0 | Diff. (2-1) & (3-1) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | 2446000.0+ | h m | m | o , | , |
| 27.12.1985 | 427.11988 | 1:22 26.512 2: — | | 01 23.68 | |
| • | | 3: 22 26.441 | 071 | —01 23.96 | 0.28 |
| 02.01.1986 | 433.11319 | 1: 22 11.202 2: 22 11.210 3: 22 11.127 | .008 —.075 | 02 56.14 02 56.13 02 56.51 | 0.01 —0.37 |
| 09.01.1986 | 440.08889 | 1:21 56.633 2:21.56.639 3:21 56.559 | .006 —.074 | -04 23.40 - 04 23.36 -04 23.73 | 0.04 —0.33 |
| 16.01.1986 | 447.06771 | 1: 21 43.863 2: 21 43.869 3: 21 43.790 | .006 —.073 | 05 40.06 05 40.04 05 40.42 | 0.02 0.36 |
| 17.01.1986 | 448.06354 | 1: 21 42.111 2: 21 42.116 3: 21 42.036 | .005 —.075 | 05 50.70 05 50.68 05 51.07 | 0.02 0.37 |
| 18.01.1986 | 449.06424 | 1: 21 40.354 2: 21 40.362 3: 21 40.283 | .008 —.071 | 06 01.39 06 01.39 06 01.78 | 0.00 0.39 |
| 08.03.1986 | 498.48785 | 1:20 11.754 2:20 11.778 3:20 11.676 | .014 —.078 | —19 30.54 —19 30.09 —19 30.86 | 0.45 0.32 |
| 13.03.1986 | 503.48451 | 1: 20 00.540 2: 20 00.532 3: 20 00.429 | 008 111 | -22 01.44 -22 00.89 -22 01.69 | |
| 20.03.1986 | 510.46111 | 1:19 38.663 2:19 38.645 3:19 38.495 | —.018 —.168 | 26 40.70 26 40.69 26 41.74 | 0.01 1.04 |
| 24.03.1986 | 514.49514 | 1:19 19.567 2:19 19.567 3:19 19.424 | .000 143 | -30 18.18 -30 18.17 -30 18.89 | 0.01 0.71 |
| 23.04.1986 | 544.20729 | 1:11 30.574 2:11 30.572 3:11 30.405 | —.002 —.169 | -26 43.12 -26 43.12 -26 42.38 | 0.00 0.74 |
| 28.04.1986 | 549.13819 | 1:11 03 427 2:11 03.425 3:11 03.411 | —.002 —.016 | 20 34.58 20 34.59 20 33.87 | 0.01 0.71 |
| 1: Computed values | | 2: EP33 values | 3: EP16 values | 3 | |

Table 2. Orbital elements of comet Halley

| Element | Computed value | EP33 values |
|----------|------------------|--------------------|
| a | 0.591 AU | 0.5871013 AU |
| ė | 0.916 | 0.9672750 |
| i | 162°.259 | 162°.23921 |
| Ω | 58°.300 | 58°.14341 |
| ω | 112°.593 | 111°.84652 |
| Ť | 1986 Feb. 10.085 | 1986 Feb. 9, 45862 |

The computed values of the positions of comet Halley were used to determine the orbital elements without taking planetary perturbations into account. The values of the orbital elements thus computed are compared with those of orbit 33 elements in table 2.

Acknowledgements

We thank Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, for financial support during the course of this investigation. We also thank the Director, Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore, for permitting us to use the Carl-Zeiss X-Y measuring machine.

References

Comrie, L. J. (1929) J. Br. Astr. Assoc. 39, 203

IHW Handbook, January (1981)

IHW Newsletter, January (1986)

Marsden, B. G. & Roemer, E. (1982) in *Comets* (ed.: L. L. Wilkening) Univ. Arizona Press, p. 713

Sanwal, N. B. et al. (1988) Photographic atlas of comet Halley 1985-86, Contr. No. 20, Nizamiah and Japal-Rangapur Obs., Hyderabad.

Smart, W. M. (1960), A text book on spherical astronomy, Dover, p. 297.