# A comprehensive study of the rich open star cluster NGC 2099 based on deep BVI CCD observations<sup>\*</sup>

Nilakshi<sup>1</sup> and R. Sagar<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Observatory, Manora Peak, Nainital 263129, India

 $^{2}\,$  Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore 560034, India

Received 12 July 2001 / Accepted 15 October 2001

**Abstract.** The CCD observations of the rich open star cluster NGC 2099 and its surrounding field region have been carried out up to a limiting magnitude of  $V \sim 22$  mag in B, V and I passbands for the first time. A total of ~12 000 stars have been observed in the area of about  $24' \times 34'$  in the cluster region, as well as ~2180 stars in the ~12' × 12' area of the field region located ~45' away from the cluster center. The cluster parameters determined by fitting the convective core overshoot isochrones in the V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams are  $E(B - V) = 0.30 \pm 0.04$  mag, distance = 1360 ± 100 pc, age = 400 Myr and metallicity Z = 0.008. A well-defined cluster main sequence spread over about 8 mag in range is observed for the first time. Its intrinsic spread amounting to ~0.06 mag in colour is almost the same over the entire brightness and can be understood in terms of the presence of physical/optical binaries. The core and cluster radii determined from the radial stellar density profiles are 185'' and 1000'' respectively. Only about 22% of cluster members are present in the core region. The effects of mass segregation, most probably due to dynamical evolution, have been observed in the cluster. The mass function slope of the entire cluster is ~-0.67  $\pm$  0.12. It becomes closer to the Salpeter value of -1.35, if flattening in the cluster mass function due to presence of both binaries and a much more extended corona is considered.

Key words. open clusters and associations: individual: NGC 2099 - stars: HR diagram - evolution - formation

# 1. Introduction

A preliminary study of the spatial structure of 38 rich open clusters carried out by Nilakshi et al. (2002) indicates that, in addition to the nucleus, a large fraction of them have an extended corona consisting of significant low mass ( $M \leq 1 M_{\odot}$ ) members which are very important for studies like cluster dynamics and mass function (MF). We therefore plan to carry out extensive study of clusters showing the presence of a corona using wide field deep CCD photometric observations. The present paper is the second in that series, the first paper under this programme being a CCD photometric study of the cluster NGC 7654 (Pandey et al. 2001).

The northern rich galactic open star cluster NGC 2099 (M 37) is located in the Galactic anti-center direction in Auriga ( $\alpha_{2000} = 5^{\rm h}52^{\rm m}17$ <sup>s</sup>.6,  $\delta_{2000} = 32^{\circ}33'40'', l = 177$ °.65,  $b = 3^{\circ}09$ ). Melotte (1915) describe it as a loose

Send offprint requests to: R. Sagar,

e-mail: sagar@upso.ernet.in

cluster having a regular well-defined outline. The cluster has been studied photometrically and kinematically (both proper motion and radial velocity) many times (see Table 1). Zhao et al. (1985) use the proper motion data given by Joy (1916), Jefferys (1962) and Upgren (1966) to determine the cluster membership of stars using improved methods of maximum-likelihood developed by Sanders (1972). However, accuracy of the proper motion data is not good enough to provide a clear separation of cluster members from the field stars and also they are only for V < 15 mag stars. Photoelectric and photographic UBV magnitudes are available mainly within about a 10' radius from the cluster center for  $V \leq 18$  mag stars (see Table 1). The color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs), mostly based on photographic data which are not accurate, show a rather broad MS but a well populated clump of red giants. The colour excess E(B-V), apparent distance modulus (m - M) and log (age) values determined by various investigators (see Table 1) for the cluster range from 0.27to 0.31; 11.5 to 11.8 and 8.3 to 8.7 respectively. In the cluster region, Robin (1982) provides UBV electronographic magnitudes of mostly uncrowded stars up to a limiting magnitude in  $V \sim 20$  with an accuracy of 0.055 mag in V,

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Full Table 4 is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via

http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/381/65

**Table 1.** Existing kinematical and photometric studies of the NGC 2099. N is the number of stars observed and  $V_{\rm L}$  is the limiting magnitude of observations.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Proper motio	on studies						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N	Accuracy	$V_{\rm L}$	Base line and Source						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		("/century)								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	243	0.13		50 yr, Jefferys (1962)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	292	0.3	13.5	11 yr, Joy (1916)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	489	0.09	14.0	60 yr, Upgren (1966)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	223	0.3	13.0	35 yr, White (1933)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Radial veloci	ity studies						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N	Accuracy	$V_{\rm L}$	Source						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$({\rm km/s^{-1}})$								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9		12	Glushkova & Rastorguev (1991)						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	55	0.17	12	Mermilliod et al. (1996)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Bro	ad band Phote	ometric studies						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	N	filter	$V_{\rm L}$	Source						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Photoel	ectric								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	UBV	15.0	Hoag et al. (1961)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46	UBV	18.8	Brosterhus (1963)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	UBV	17.7	West (1967)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	VRI		Lee (1970)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	UBV	11.7	Jennens & Helfer (1975)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	DDO		Janes (1979)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18	VRI	11.7	Coleman (1982)						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Photographic \\ \hline $2116$ V $15.7$ van Zeipel & Lindgren (1921) \\ 141 $UBV$ $15.4$ Hoag et.al. (1961) \\ 2089 $BV$ $17.3$ Brosterhus (1963) \\ 1797 $UBV$ $17.7$ West (1967) \\ \hline $Electronographic$ \\ \hline $295$ $UBV$ $20.0$ Robin (1982) \\ \hline $Cluster parameters$ \\ \hline $Age$ $distance$ $E(B-V)$ Source \\ \hline $(Myr)$ $(pc)$ $(mag)$ \\ \hline $200$ $1450$ $0.27$ $West (1967) \\ 200$ $1360$ $0.31$ $Lyngå (1987) \\ 500$ $1320$ $0.29$ $Mermilliod et al. (1996) \\ $450$ $1300$ $0.29$ $Kiss et al. (2001) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	20	UBV	11.7	Mermilliod et al. (1996)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Photog	raphic								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2116	V	15.7	van Zeipel & Lindgren (1921)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	141	UBV	15.4	Hoag et.al. (1961)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2089	BV	17.3	Brosterhus (1963)						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Electronographic \\ \hline 295 & UBV & 20.0 & Robin (1982) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Cluster parameters \\ \hline \\ Age & distance & E(B-V) & Source \\ \hline \\ (Myr) & (pc) & (mag) \\ \hline \\ 200 & 1450 & 0.27 & West (1967) \\ 200 & 1360 & 0.31 & Lyngå (1987) \\ \hline \\ 500 & 1320 & 0.29 & Mermilliod et al. (1996) \\ \hline \\ 450 & 1300 & 0.29 & Kiss et al. (2001) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	1797	UBV	17.7	West (1967)						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $295$ & $UBV$ & $20.0$ & Robin (1982) \\ \hline $Cluster parameters$ \\ \hline $Age$ & $distance$ & $E(B-V)$ & $Source$ \\ \hline $(Myr)$ & $(pc)$ & $(mag)$ \\ \hline $200$ & $1450$ & $0.27$ & $West$ (1967)$ \\ $200$ & $1360$ & $0.31$ & $Lyngå$ (1987)$ \\ \hline $500$ & $1320$ & $0.29$ & $Mermilliod et al.$ (1996)$ \\ $450$ & $1300$ & $0.29$ & $Kiss et al.$ (2001)$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Electron	nographic								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	295	UBV	20.0	Robin (1982)						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Cluster par	rameters						
(Myr)         (pc)         (mag)           200         1450         0.27         West (1967)           200         1360         0.31         Lyngå (1987)           500         1320         0.29         Mermilliod et al. (1996)           450         1300         0.29         Kiss et al. (2001)	Age	distance	E(B - V)	Source						
200         1450         0.27         West (1967)           200         1360         0.31         Lyngå (1987)           500         1320         0.29         Mermilliod et al. (1996)           450         1300         0.29         Kiss et al. (2001)	(Myr)	(pc)	(mag)							
200         1360         0.31         Lyngå (1987)           500         1320         0.29         Mermilliod et al. (1996)           450         1300         0.29         Kiss et al. (2001)	200	1450	0.27	West (1967)						
500         1320         0.29         Mermilliod et al. (1996)           450         1300         0.29         Kiss et al. (2001)	200	1360	0.31	Lyngå (1987)						
450 1300 0.29 Kiss et al. (2001)	500	1320	0.29	Mermilliod et al. (1996)						
	450	1300	0.29	Kiss et al. (2001)						

0.075 mag in B and 0.10 mag in U. The first variable star survey of M 37 carried out by Kiss et al. (2001) using CCD observations discovered 7 new variables in the cluster region. Mermilliod et al. (1996) presented radial velocity measurements for 55 red giants while Glushkova & Rastorguev (1991) published such measurements for 9 red giants. CCD photometric observations of the NGC 2099 are required for accurate determination of cluster parameters and to study the spatial structure and mass segregation effect of this populous cluster. The details of the present CCD observations are given in the next section while results etc. are given in the remaining part of the paper.

### 2. Observations and data reduction

We carried out B, V Johnson and I Cousins broad band photometric observations between November and December 1999. A CCD camera of size 2048 × 2048 pixels<sup>2</sup> attached to f/13 Cassegrain focus of 104-cm Sampurnanand telescope of the State Observatory, Nainital was used. To increase the S/N ratio, we observed all the frames in a 2 × 2 binning mode. In order to cover a wide field, we observed the cluster in 6 different parts and constructed the mosaic (see Fig. 1) which is



Fig. 1. Identification maps for NGC 2099 cluster and field regions. In the cluster region, the six overlapping areas imaged with CCD (see Table 2) are marked. The (X, Y) co-ordinates are in pixel units and one pixel corresponds to 0".74 on the sky. South and east directions are marked. The size of a star depends upon its brightness with largest and smallest sizes correspond to V = 10 and 17 mag respectively.

equivalent to an area of  $\sim 24' \times 34'$ . The FWHMs of the star images were  $\sim 2''.5$ . A field region  $\sim 45'$  away from the cluster center was also observed in the BVI filters to correct for field star contamination. The identification map of the field region is also shown in Fig. 1. A number of bias and dawn or dusk flat frames were also taken. To calibrate the observations, eight Landolt (1992) standard stars covering a range in brightness (12.5 < V < 15.6) as well as in colour (0.6 < (V - I) < 1.4) were observed. The log of observations is given in Table 2. In order to provide accurate photometric measurements for faint stars, several deep exposure frames in each filter were taken.

Images were processed with the help of ESO MIDAS software packages using the standard procedures. Although the observed cluster and field regions are not exceptionally crowded, the magnitude estimation has been

**Table 2.** Log of CCD observations for the cluster NGC 2099, Landolt (1992) standard and surrounding field regions.

Date	Filter	Exposure time (s)
Cl	luster are	ea 1
4/5 Nov. 1999	B	30, 100, 300 $\times6$
	V	$20, 30, 300 \times 3$
	Ι	20, 50, $180 \times 2$
Cl	luster are	ea 2
5/6 Nov. 1999	B	30, 100, 300 $\times6$
	V	$30, 300 \times 3$
	I	20, $180 \times 3$
Cl	luster are	ea 3
4/5 Nov. 1999	B	30, 100, 300 × 6
	V	$30,60,300 \times 3$
	I	$30,  50,  180 \times 3$
Cl	luster are	ea 4
5/6 Nov. 1999	B	30, 100, 300 × 6
	V	$30, 100, 300 \times 3$
	Ι	$30,  50,  180 \times 3$
Cl	luster are	ea 5
30/31 Nov. 1999	B	30, 100, 300 × 6
	V	$30, 100, 300 \times 3$
	Ι	20, 60, $180 \times 3$
Cl	luster are	ea 6
30/31 Nov. 1999	B	$30, 100, 300 \times 6$
	V	30, 100, 300 × 3
	Ι	20, 60, $180 \times 3$
Stand	lard field	l SA 92
30/31 Nov. 1999	B	$180 \times 2$
	V	$120 \times 3$
	Ι	$30 \times 2$
F	Field regi	ion
3/4 Dec. 1999	B	40, $300 \times 4$
	V	40, $300 \times 3$
	Ι	$30, 180 \times 3$

carried out using DAOPHOT profile-fitting software, as described by Stetson (1987, 1992), so that it can be determined reliably to faint levels. The stellar PSF used by DAOPHOT is evaluated from the sum of several uncontaminated stars present in each frame. Further processing and conversion of these raw instrumental magnitudes into the standard photometric system has been done using the procedure outlined by Stetson (1992). The ALLSTAR image parameter  $\chi > 2.5$  and errors > 0.2 mag were used to reject poor measurements. In those cases where brighter stars are saturated in the deep exposure frames, their magnitudes have only been taken from the short exposure frames. Most of the stars brighter than  $V \sim 11$  mag could not be measured because they are generally saturated even on the shortest exposure frames. Wherever more than one measurement is available in a passband for a star, the final magnitude is an average of the individual measurements weighted by the ALLSTAR output errors and its error is

**Table 3.** Internal photometric errors as a function of brightness in the cluster region. The standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) is per observation in magnitudes.

Magnitude range	$\sigma_B$	$\sigma_V$	$\sigma_I$
$\leq 14$	0.007	0.011	0.021
14 - 15	0.010	0.015	0.024
15 - 16	0.014	0.020	0.040
16 - 17	0.021	0.035	0.046
17 - 18	0.032	0.053	0.060
18 - 19	0.046	0.070	0.101
19 - 20	0.080	0.112	0.168

the error of the average. When only one measurement is available, the error is taken to be the output of ALLSTAR.

The photometric calibration equations are:

 $V = v_0 + (0.029 \pm 0.008) \times (V - I) - (3.88 \pm 0.02)$  (1)

$$(B - V) = (0.955 \pm 0.016) \times (b - v)_0 - (0.31 \pm 0.01) \quad (2)$$

$$(V - I) = (0.992 \pm 0.013) \times (v - i)_0 + (0.56 \pm 0.03) \quad (3)$$

where B, V, I are standard magnitudes taken from Landolt (1992) and  $b_0, v_0, i_0$  are atmospheric extinction-corrected instrumental CCD aperture magnitudes. The values of atmospheric extinction coefficients used in the photometric calibration are 0.06, 0.15 and 0.25 mag/airmass in the I, V and B passbands respectively. The values are for 30/31 November 1999. The Eqs. (1) to (3) are used to standarize the CCD instrumental magnitudes of both cluster and field regions. To establish the local standards, we selected several isolated stars in all the observed regions and used the DAOGROW programme for the construction of an aperture growth curve required for determining the difference between aperture and profile-fitting magnitudes. These differences and differences in exposure times and atmospheric extinctions are used in evaluating zero-points for the reference frames. The zero-points are uncertain by  $\sim 0.02$  mag in B, V and I. Other factors contributing to the photometric uncertainty are described by Moitinho (2001). Amongst them, the internal errors estimated from the scatter in the individual measures of different exposures in a region mainly affect the photometric precision. They are given in Table 3 as a function of brightness for the cluster region. The errors become large  $(\geq 0.1 \text{ mag})$  for stars fainter than V = 20 mag, so the measurements should be considered unreliable below this magnitude.

The (X, Y) pixel coordinates as well as the V, (B - V)and (V - I) magnitudes of a sample of stars observed in NGC 2099 and field regions are listed in Table 4 along with the errors. The format of the Table 4 is presented here while the entire data is available only in electronic form at the CDS in Strasbourg and the WEBDA open cluster database website at http://obswww.unige.ch/webda/ (Mermilliod 1995). It can also be obtained from the authors. In order to avoid introducing a new numbering system, we adopt the numbers from the database given by

**Table 4.** Sample of BVI photometric data of the stars in the NGC 2099 cluster and surrounding field regions. Stars observed earlier have numbering from the cluster database given by van Zeipel & Lindgren (1921) while those observed for the first time have a new number starting with 10 001. X, Y are the CCD pixel coordinates shown in Fig. 1 for the cluster and field regions.  $\sigma_B, \sigma_V$  and  $\sigma_I$  are the errors in the B, V and I bands respectively. Proper motion and photometric cluster memberships are denoted by KM and PM respectively in the last column.

Star	X	Y	V	(B - V)	(V - I)	$\sigma_I$	$\sigma_V$	$\sigma_B$	Membership
	(pixel)	(pixel)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	
				Cluste	er region				
6	1058.29	959.64	13.64	0.43	0.60	0.01	0.01	0.01	$_{\rm PM}$
13	1003.89	996.83	13.68	0.59	0.84	0.03	0.02	0.01	$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}$
122	1051.44	1150.22	13.06	0.29	0.52	0.01	0.01	0.01	$_{\rm PM}$
126	1049.70	1213.59	14.13	0.48	0.69	0.01	0.01	0.01	$_{\rm PM}$
577	1618.83	848.67	13.34	1.23	1.41	0.01	0.01	0.01	$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}$
10001	1978.27	2686.89	21.74		2.15	0.10	0.12		
Field region									
1	52.92	0.63	17.38	0.68	1.23	0.06	0.03	0.06	
2	172.02	1.46	21.51		1.62	0.21	0.12		



**Fig. 2.** The V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams for all stars observed in the cluster and field regions with  $\sigma_{(B-V)}, \sigma_{(V-I)} < 0.05$  mag. The regions delimiting the MS stars of the cluster and field populations are marked.

**Table 5.** Comparison of the present CCD data with the existing photometries in the cluster database. The difference  $\Delta$  (present – literature) is in magnitude. Mean and  $\sigma$  are based on N stars in a V magnitude bin.

V range	$\Delta V$		$\Delta(B-V)$				
	$\mathrm{Mean}\pm\sigma$	N	Mean $\pm \sigma$	N			
H	Ioag et al. (1961)	phote	pelectric data				
10 - 12	$-0.03 \pm 0.04$	5	$-0.03\pm0.03$	4			
12 - 13	$-0.01\pm0.02$	4	$-0.06\pm0.05$	4			
13 - 14	$-0.02\pm0.02$	6	$-0.05\pm0.01$	6			
14 - 15	$-0.05\pm0.04$	7	$-0.06\pm0.07$	8			
E	Brosterhus (1963)	phote	pelectric data				
10 - 12	$-0.05\pm0.03$	4	$-0.08\pm0.03$	4			
12 - 14	$-0.06\pm0.07$	6	$-0.02\pm0.04$	6			
14 - 17	$-0.07\pm0.07$	10	$-0.04\pm0.05$	9			
	West (1967) pł	notoele	ectric data				
11 - 12	$-0.04\pm0.01$	3	$-0.01\pm0.05$	2			
12 - 13	$-0.06\pm0.07$	5	$-0.04\pm0.02$	5			
13 - 14	$-0.01\pm0.08$	5	$-0.07\pm0.04$	6			
14 - 15	$-0.02\pm0.05$	6	$-0.02\pm0.03$	6			
15 - 16	$-0.00\pm0.01$	3	$-0.04\pm0.03$	4			
16 - 18	$-0.09\pm0.01$	2	$-0.04\pm0.00$	2			
Jenr	nens & Helfer (19	75) pł	notoelectric data				
11 - 12	$-0.08 \pm 0.01$	2	$-0.05\pm0.00$	2			
	Coleman (1982)	photo	electric data				
11 - 12	$-0.04 \pm 0.01$	4	$-0.05\pm0.03$	4			
Ν	fermilliod (1996)	phote	pelectric data				
10 - 12	$-0.01 \pm 0.06$	9	$-0.06\pm0.06$	9			
Н	loag et al. (1961)	phote	ographic data				
11 - 12	$0.10 \pm 0.14$	13	$-0.05 \pm 0.08$	13			
12 - 13	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	15	$-0.10\pm0.09$	17			
13 - 14	$0.04\pm0.10$	33	$-0.07\pm0.07$	33			
14 - 15	$-0.05\pm0.09$	28	$-0.04\pm0.04$	26			
15 - 16	$-0.07\pm0.06$	15	$-0.06\pm0.04$	15			
E	Brosterhus (1963)	photo	ographic data				
10 - 12	$-0.03 \pm 0.04$	55	$-0.03 \pm 0.05$	45			
12 - 13	$-0.03\pm0.04$	99	$-0.07\pm0.05$	99			
13-14	$-0.03\pm0.04$	197	$-0.05\pm0.05$	193			
14 - 15	$-0.03\pm0.05$	252	$-0.05\pm0.05$	248			
15 - 16	$-0.05\pm0.05$	373	$-0.05\pm0.04$	364			
16 - 17	$-0.07\pm0.00$	569	$-0.05\pm0.05$	543			
	West (1967) pł	notogra	aphic data				
11 - 12	$-0.06\pm0.07$	36	$-0.06 \pm 0.08$	34			
12 - 13	$0.06\pm0.06$	68	$-0.06\pm0.06$	75			
13-14	$0.05\pm0.07$	123	$-0.04\pm0.07$	133			
14 - 15	$0.03 \pm 0.07$	157	$-0.01\pm0.09$	164			
15 - 16	$0.01\pm0.08$	193	$-0.05\pm0.09$	200			
16 - 18	$-0.06\pm0.10$	360	$-0.03\pm0.11$	269			
]	Robin (1982) elec	etrono	graphic data				
13 - 15	$0.13 \pm 0.13$	27	$0.01 \pm 0.16$	27			
15 - 16	$0.12\pm0.08$	27	$-0.16\pm0.15$	26			
16 - 17	$0.05\pm0.08$	24	$-0.25\pm0.14$	27			
17 - 18	$-0.11\pm0.10$	30	$-0.01\pm0.16$	25			
18 - 19	$-0.14\pm0.12$	42	$0.17 \pm 0.23$	40			

van Zeipel & Lindgren (1921). Stars not observed earlier have a number starting with 10001. There are about 11200 such stars in the cluster region and about 2180 stars in the field region.



**Fig. 3.** Comparison of the present CCD data with the photographic (Brosterhus 1963 –  $\circ$ , Hoag et al. 1961 –  $\times$ , West 1967 – *triangle*); photoelectric (Brosterhus 1963 –  $\circ$ , Hoag et al. 1961 –  $\times$ , West 1967 – filled triangles, Coleman 1982 –  $\bullet$ , Jennens & Helfer 1975 square, Mermilliod et al. 1996 – \*) and Robin (1982) –  $\times$  electronographic data.

### 2.1. CMDs based on the present observations

The apparent V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams of NGC 2099 cluster and field regions generated from the present data are displayed in Fig. 2. These include all stars with  $\sigma_{B-V}, \sigma_{V-I} < 0.05$  mag. The deep CMDs extend down to V = 21 mag in both the cluster and field regions. A well-defined cluster main-sequence (MS) clearly separated from the bulk of field stars is visible in both CMDs of the cluster region. The cluster sequences fainter than V = 20 mag have a large scatter and perhaps are not clearly defined. The field population dominates the fainter as well as the bluer part of the CMDs (see Fig. 2).

# 2.2. Comparison with the earlier photometries

The present photometry is compared with available BVelectronographic, photographic and photoelectric photometries. The differences  $\Delta$  (present – literature) as a function of present V magnitude are shown in Fig. 3 and statistical results are given in Table 5. They indicate that in comparison to the present CCD data the photoelectric measurements are generally fainter and redder while both electronographic and photographic data

**Table 6.** The completeness factor (CF) of the present VI photometric data in the core and coronal regions of the NGC 2099. The  $N_{\rm f}, N_{\rm a}$  and  $N_{\rm r}$  are number of CCD frames generated, stars added and stars recovered respectively.

Range	$N_{\rm f}$		Core		(	Corona	1	(	Corona 2		
in		$N_{\rm a}$	$N_{\rm r}$	$\mathbf{CF}$	$N_{\rm a}$	$N_{\rm r}$	CF	$N_{\rm a}$	$N_{ m r}$	$\mathbf{CF}$	
$V \max$											
12 - 16	6	15	13	0.87	174	174	1.00	583	583	1.00	
16 - 17	8	16	14	0.88	125	125	1.00	446	445	1.00	
17 - 18	10	28	24	0.86	192	191	1.00	660	660	1.00	
18 - 19	12	54	45	0.83	272	262	0.96	925	888	0.96	
19 - 20	14	101	76	0.75	527	480	0.91	1425	1318	0.93	
$I \max$											
12 - 16	6	8	8	1.00	86	85	1.00	284	282	1.00	
16 - 17	8	7	$\overline{7}$	1.00	88	87	1.00	299	298	1.00	
17 - 18	10	16	15	0.94	125	121	0.97	446	436	0.98	
18 - 19	12	28	26	0.93	192	180	0.94	660	632	0.96	
19 - 20	14	54	48	0.89	272	241	0.89	925	857	0.93	

systematically show varying differences with brightness. As expected, the scatter increases with decreasing brightness. The errors are most likely to lie in photographic and electronographic observations since a CCD is an intrinsically linear and better detector.

#### 2.3. Completeness of the CCD data

The completeness factor (CF) of the photometric data is determined in V and I frames using the add-star routine of DAOPHOT. We randomly added only ~15% of actually detected stars as artificial stars of known magnitudes and positions into the original V and I deep frames (see Table 6). The frames we re-reduced by applying the same procedure as used for the original frames. The values of CF as a function of both brightness and radius are given in Table 6. We found that the data completeness is almost 100% in the field region.

### 3. Radius and spatial structure of the cluster

To study the spatial structure and determine the cluster radius, we plot the radial variation of the stellar surface density  $(\rho)$ . For this, the cluster center is determined first. Its (X, Y) pixel coordinates (1030, 1060) are derived iteratively by calculating average X and Y positions of stars located within 150 pixels of an eye-estimated center, until the values converged. This corresponds to  $\alpha_{2000} = 05^{\rm h}52^{\rm m}17.6, \delta_{2000} = +32^{\circ}32'40''$ . An error of a few tens of arcsec is expected in locating the cluster center. The cluster region is divided into a number of concentric circles with respect to the cluster center. The number density of stars in the *i*th annulus is given by  $\rho_i = \frac{N_i}{A_i}$ ; where  $N_i$  is the number of stars (generally >50) in area  $A_i$ of the *i*th annulus. In the outer regions, the area correction for the portion of the annulus not observed by us is applied. The radial density profiles (RDPs) thus obtained for proper motion cluster members and different magnitude intervals of MS and all stars are shown in Fig. 4. The error bars are derived assuming that the number of stars in a



**Fig. 4.** The radial variation of the projected stellar density of proper motion members as well as for different magnitude levels of MS and all stars of the cluster region. Dotted horizontal line indicates the level of field star contamination.

zone follows Poisson statistics. All curves show almost the similar behaviour. We find a core radius =185'' and cluster radius =1000'' for NGC 2099 which match well with the values derived by Nilakshi et al. (2002). However, the value of 10' determined by White (1933) as a cluster radius is less than the present cluster radius but larger than the

core radius. Based on the structure of  $\rho(r)$ , we divide the cluster region into three parts (i) core (radius = 185"), (ii) corona 1 (185" < radius  $\leq 480$ ") and (iii) corona 2 (480" < radius  $\leq 1000$ ") for further study. Stars lying at radius >1000" from the cluster center are considered field stars.

#### 4. Cluster members and field stars

We determine the density of the field sequence stars (see Fig. 2) in the V, (V-I) digrams as a function of brightness after applying the correction for data incompleteness for both cluster and field regions and list them in the lower part of the Table 7. This indicates that the distribution of the field stars around the cluster is uniform and it can be used for reliable statistical cluster membership determination. Using this field star density, the members in the core, corona 1 and 2 regions of the cluster are found to be 23, 46 and 31% respectively. Thus a large fraction (>75%) of members are located in the coronal region of the cluster. Considering the importance of these members for the studies like cluster MF, we use both the kinematical and photometric data, described below, for separating the cluster members from the field stars.

#### 4.1. Proper motion and radial velocity data

The frequency distribution of the probability (p) of proper motion cluster membership determined by Zhao et al. (1985) for individual stars shows that there are two groups of stars separated clearly from each other. One group peaks around a low value of p while other around a high value of p. A total of 418 stars having  $p \ge 50\%$  are considered as cluster members. Of these, ten stars found to be non-member on the basis of radial velocity measurements by Mermilliod et al. (1996) are excluded. In the remaining, BVI CCD observations exist for 322 stars. For another 21 stars photoelectric UBV data are present. As these stars are very important for the stellar evolutionary study, we include them in our further study by transferring various observations on the present BV photometric system using the results of photometric comparisons carried out in Sect. 2.2. However, the photographic BV measurements available for the remaining 65 proper motion cluster members are excluded, as they have large errors.

Van Zeipel & Lindgren (1921) star 9, the brightest in the cluster, with V = 9.208, (B - V) = 1.626 and (U - B) = 1.350, is located in the cluster center. Its cluster membership has been debated in the past. Based on its spectral types, F8 assigned by Lindblad (1954) and M1 by Blanco & Nassan (1957), West (1967) considered it as a field star. However, Upgren (1966) classified the star as a binary of spectral type A5V + K0III. Proper motion data obtained by Upgren (1966) indicates a high value of pfor the star. Radial velocity measurements by Mermilliod et al. (1996) also confirm its cluster membership. We have therefore considered this star as a cluster member.

**Table 7.** The frequency distribution of stars in the V, (V - I) diagrams of NGC 2099 and field regions are compared. The number of stars in cluster and field regions are normalised to the cluster area.  $N_{\rm BC}$ ,  $N_{\rm MC}$  and  $N_{\rm RC}$  denote number of stars in the cluster region located blueward, near and redward of MS respectively. The corresponding numbers for the field region are  $N_{\rm BF}$ ,  $N_{\rm MF}$  and  $N_{\rm RF}$  respectively. The star density in three subregions of NGC 2099 and field region under the field sequence envelope shown in Fig. 2 are also listed.

	V	7	$N_{\rm BC}$	$N_{\rm BF}$	$N_{\rm MC}$	$N_{\rm MF}$	$N_{\rm RC}$	$N_{\rm RF}$	
	12-	-13	3	11	139	0	34	5	
	13-	-14	28	21	192	21	78	63	
	14-	-15	41	53	233	95	96	84	
	15 -	16	121	116	381	185	97	121	
	16-	-17	400	380	456	227	107	111	
	17 -	-18	887	923	407	179	114	95	
	18-	-19	1272	1276	332	200	118	121	
	19-	-20	1630	1856	312	158	157	127	
	20-	-21	1779	1951	423	279	160	142	
			Ι	Density	of field	l stars/a	$\operatorname{rcmin}^2$		
	V		Core	Cor	ona 1	Coron	a 2 Fi	eld regio	n
16	5-17	0.4	$2 \pm 0.12$	0.39 =	$\pm 0.05$	$0.35 \pm 0$	0.03 0	$.33 \pm 0.0$	5
17	-18	1.2	$8 \pm 0.21$	1.06 =	$\pm 0.08$	$0.98 \pm 0$	0.05 0	$.96 \pm 0.0$	8
18	3-19	1.0	$0 \pm 0.18$	1.52 =	$\pm 0.09$	$1.49 \pm 0$	0.06 1	$.35 \pm 0.0$	9
10	-20	19	$6 \pm 0.26$	1 91 -	+0.11	$1.92 \pm 0$	06 2	$01 \pm 0.1$	1

#### 4.2. Photometric membership

Stars not falling on the cluster sequence are classified as non-members by the photometric criterion, as the probability of their cluster membership is small. We therefore draw the boundaries around the MS in the V, (B-V) and V, (V-I) diagrams (see Fig. 2) taking into account brightening due to unresolved physical/optical binary stars and scatter due to photometric errors and stellar peculiarities etc. A star is considered as a probable cluster member if it lies within the envelopes around the MS of both CMDs. Such stars are identified in Table 4. The amount of fieldstar contamination still present in the sample is quantified below.

## 4.3. Field-star contamination

Frequency distribution of stars in different parts of the V, (V - I) diagram in the cluster and field regions normalized for the difference in their areas is listed in the upper part of Table 7. The number of MS stars in the cluster region is generally greater than that in the adjacent field region and that the differences are statistically significant. However, the differences in the numbers of stars located blueward and redward of the MS in the two regions are generally not statistically significant.

### 5. Cluster parameters

In order to define the morphological features of the cluster in CMDs accurately and also for reliable determination of cluster parameters, stars located in the central region (radius  $\leq 480''$ ) of the cluster are considered in the following subsections, as it enhances the ratio of cluster to field stars in the sample.

# 5.1. Morphological features in the CMDs

The V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams for the cluster members are plotted in Fig. 5. As expected, cluster sequences are better defined in comparison with the photographic CMDs (see West 1967; Mermilliod et al. 1996). The CMDs show morphologies typical of intermediate age open star clusters. Evolutionary effects like the presence of a red giant branch and MS turn-off point are clearly visible in the upper part of the cluster MS. In CMDs, about 25 proper motion cluster members are located well away from the cluster sequence. The possibility that they are field stars cannot be ruled out as the median p value of 343 proper motion cluster members is 92%. This indicates that about 27 field stars can exist in the sample. However, precise kinematical data are required for confirmation.

Both cluster MS and red giant clumps are well defined in both CMDs. The BVI CCD measurements of most of the bright red giants could not be obtained as they are saturated even on our shortest exposures. However, we have used their available photoelectric values from the database. The number of red giants present in the V, (V - I) diagram are therefore fewer in comparison to the V, (B - V) diagram. However, the cluster red giant branch is well defined by proper motion members. Study of these stars provides valuable information to understand the advanced stages of stellar evolution in stars of masses 1 to 3  $M_{\odot}$ . It is therefore essential to study them using high resolution spectroscopic measurements. A well-populated cluster MS down to V = 21 mag is clearly seen for the first time. The stars seem to be distributed uniformly along the MS. In both CMDs, a proper motion member (van Zeipel & Lindgren 1921, star 180) of spectral type A0 and V = 10.70, (U - B) = -0.22, (B - V) = 0.12 and (V-I) = 0.28 mag is brighter as well as bluer than the MS turn-off point, indicating that it can be a blue straggler. It lies just 2'8 away from the cluster center and has been classified as a blue straggler in the cluster database. Its further photometric and spectroscopic studies can help in understanding the stellar evolutionary status of the blue stragglers.

#### 5.2. Fitting of isochrones to the CMDs

For the determination of cluster parameters, we fit the theoretical stellar evolutionary isochrones given by Girardi et al. (2000) for (Z = 0.008 and Z = 0.02) in the CMDs, as the metallicity value for the cluster is not known spectroscopically and slightly less than solar metallicity has been indicated by Mermilliod et al. (1996) from the colours of red giants. We fit the isochrones by eye to the MS turn-off

point and red giant clumps in both the V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams for the same age and distance. We found that isochrones of Z = 0.008 fit better rather than those of solar metallicity and yield values of colour excesses as E(B-V) = 0.30 mag and E(V-I) = 0.43 mag. For the study of interstellar extinction in the direction of the cluster, we also use spectral types available for 120 proper motion members in the cluster database and the solar metallicity calibration given by Schmidt-Kaler (1982). A large fraction (over 80%) of them are A type MS stars. Most of the remaining members are F and G red giants. To calculate E(U-B), E(B-V) and E(V-I) values, we use BVI CCD/photoelectric data along with the photoelectric/photographic U data and Walker's (1985) calibration between  $(B-V)_0$  and  $(V-I)_0$ . The mean values derived in this way are  $E(B-V) = 0.27 \pm 0.04$  mag,  $\frac{E(U-B)}{E(B-V)} = 1.05 \pm 0.3$  and  $\frac{E(V-I)}{E(B-V)} = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ . The values of colour excess ratios seem to be not too different from the corresponding normal values of 0.72 and 1.3 (cf. Mathis 1990) indicating that the law of interstellar extinction in the direction of the cluster is normal. A study of spatial variation of E(B-V) indicates that the interstellar extinction across the cluster face is uniform. Considering the non-solar metallicity of the cluster, we adopt the value of  $E(B - V) = 0.30 \pm 0.04$  mag for NGC 2099. This value agrees within errors with the earlier determinations (see Table 1).

The best fit isochrone (see Fig. 5) gives  $\log(age) = 8.6$ (400 Myr) and apparent distance modulus  $(V - M_v) =$  $11.6 \pm 0.15$  mag. The uncertainty in the value is estimated from the errors in total to selective absorption ratio  $R[\equiv \frac{A_v}{E(B-V)}], E(B-V)$  and in fitting the ZAMS. The distance modulus yields a distance of  $1360 \pm 100$  pc to NGC 2099 by adopting a normal value of R = 3.1. The present value should be considered reliable because the theoretical isochrones have been fit over a wide range  $(\sim 7 \text{ mag})$  of the cluster sequence. It agrees well with earlier determinations by Mermilliod et al. (1996) and West (1967). The value is also consistent with the cluster parallax of  $1.19 \pm 2.18$  milliarcsec determined from the Hipparcos catalogue by Baumgardt et al. (2000) based on only one star. Present age determination of the cluster is in very good agreement with the value obtained by Mermilliod et al. (1996) but is older than the 220 Myr age estimated by West (1967). The relatively older but similar ages determined by us and by Mermilliod et al. (1996) are a result of using theoretical isochrones which include the effects of mass loss and convective core overshooting.

## 5.3. Intrinsic colour width of the cluster MS

The cluster has a broad but well-defined MS in a magnitude range of  $12 \leq V \leq 20$ . To quantify broadening, the observed dispersion ( $\sigma_0$ ) present in Fig. 5 is determined as a function of brightness by binning MS cluster members in 1 mag intervals of V. Although, the total photometric error present in our measurements is at



**Fig. 5.** The V, (B - V) and V, (V - I) diagrams for the kinematic (×) and photometric (•) members of the cluster NGC 2099. The kinematic members with photoelectric BV data taken from the literature are shown by open circles. Theoretical isochrones by Girardi et al. (2000) for age = 400 Myr and Z = 0.008 metallicity fitted to the turn-off point and the red giants for the marked values of apparent distance modulus and colour excess are shown. The dotted curve shows the extent that binaries of equal mass can brighten the isochrones. The fitting of the dotted curve indicates that most probably, scatter in the cluster MS is caused by the presence of unresolved physical/optical binaries.

**Table 8.** The MFs of different regions of the cluster NGC 2099. The number of probable cluster members  $(N_i)$  in a magnitude bin have been obtained after correcting for both data incompleteness and field star contamination. The values of MF slopes are given in the last row. The Salpeter (1955) MF slope on this scale is -1.35.

Range	Mass	Mean	Core		Corona 1		Corona 2		Whole cluster	
$V \max$	$(M_{\odot})$	$\log(M/M_{\odot})$	$N_i$	$\log \phi$	$N_i$	$\log \phi$	$N_i$	$\log \phi$	$N_i$	$\log \phi$
12 - 13	2.48 - 2.00	0.350	56.32	2.780	42.00	2.653	35.00	2.574	133.32	3.154
13 - 14	2.00 - 1.58	0.253	62.46	2.785	79.65	2.891	39.87	2.590	181.98	3.250
14 - 15	1.58 - 1.28	0.155	41.43	2.656	75.44	2.916	33.41	2.563	150.28	3.216
15 - 16	1.28 - 1.08	0.072	37.08	2.701	101.97	3.140	64.85	2.944	203.90	3.441
16 - 17	1.08 - 0.90	-0.004	28.26	2.553	95.28	3.080	101.60	3.108	225.14	3.454
17 - 18	0.90 - 0.77	-0.078	38.94	2.759	96.04	3.152	94.85	3.146	229.83	3.530
18 - 19	0.77 – 0.68	-0.140	27.77	2.711	71.48	3.122	63.34	3.021	155.89	3.461
19 - 20	0.68 - 0.60	-0.194	22.34	2.614	86.50	3.202	74.61	3.138	183.45	3.528
MF slope			0.22 =	$\pm 0.15$	-0.89 :	$\pm 0.16$	-1.24	$\pm 0.25$	-0.67 =	$\pm 0.12$

least a combination of (a) the measuring error (internal error), (b) the intrinsic error involved in the standard stars used for calibration, and (c) the uncertainties in the transformations to the standard system; the scatter,  $\sigma_{\rm E}$ , expected in (B - V) and (V - I) at any given V, will arise purely from (a) which is listed in Table 3 as a function of

brightness. Assuming a Gaussian distribution for  $\sigma_O$  and  $\sigma_E$ , intrinsic widths  $\sigma_I$  of the MS in (B-V) and (V-I) are estimated as  $\sigma_I^2 = \sigma_O^2 - \sigma_E^2$ . It is observed that the intrinsic colour dispersion in cluster MS is ~0.06 mag in both (B-V) and (V-I) colours and is also independent of brightness. As the extinction is uniform across the cluster



**Fig. 6.** A plot of the mass function for the entire cluster region i.e.,  $r \leq 1000''$  (uppermost panel). Other three panels show the mass functions in three subregions of the cluster. The bars represent  $1/\sqrt{N}$  Poisson errors.

face and the bulk of field stars are well separated from the cluster stars, we consider the presence of unresolved binaries, intrinsic variables and peculiar stars etc. in CMDs responsible for the intrinsic scatter in the MS, though it is not possible from this study to assess their relative contributions to such a spread. Radial velocity measurements by Mermilliod et al. (1996) indicate about 30% binaries in the cluster. The isochorone fitting shown in Fig. 5 also indicates that most probably the presence of physical/optical binaries is the main factor causing MS broadening in the cluster NGC 2099.

### 6. Luminosity function and mass function

As we know that one of the fundamental and most important properties that provides a link between the easilyobservable population of luminous stars in a stellar system and the fainter, but dynamically more important low mass stars is the MF. For NGC 2099, the MF can be obtained reliably because our deep observations cover coronal regions of the cluster also where presence of low mass stars is expected. The first step in MF determination is finding the luminosity function (LF) from the observed CMD corrected for both data incompleteness given in Table 6 and field star contamination listed in Table 7 using the following relation for determining number of cluster members  $(N_i)$  in a cluster region:  $N_i = \frac{N_0}{CFBC} - NF$ ; where  $N_0$ and NF are the number of observed stars in the cluster and field regions respectively and CFBC is the completeness factor for the considered MS brightness in the V, (V-I) diagram. We prefer this diagram over other observed CMD, as it is deeper. Following the discussions by Sagar & Richtler (1991), Banks et al. (1995) and Sagar & Griffiths (1998) on the procedures used for the determination of the CF value in a CMD at a given brightness level, the minimum of CF values in V and I is taken as CFBC. To convert true LF into MF, the cluster reddening, metallicity and age along with the appropriate theoretical stellar evolutionary model are taken from the present work. Uncertainties in these parameters and in data incompleteness and field star contamination correction affect the slope of MF (Sagar 2000, 2001). We plot the MF in Fig. 6. For different cluster regions, the MFs and their slope are given in Table 8. The slope of the whole cluster region (r = 1000'') is  $-0.67 \pm 0.12$ , which does not match with the Salpeter (1955) value, rather, it shows a flat slope. On the other hand, West (1967) states that the cluster MS LF agrees with the Salpeter LF up to  $M_v = 6$  mag. This seems to be due to not accounting for the data incompleteness and field star contamination by West (1967), as the value of the cluster MF slope derived from the present data without applying these corrections turns out to be  $-1.14 \pm 0.19$ . This, in agreement with our earlier findings (cf. Sagar & Richtler 1991; Sagar & Griffiths 1998), again shows the importance of data incompleteness and field star contamination corrections in the determination of cluster MF. As it is an intermediate age (400 Myr) cluster, the flatness of the MF's slope is not a surprise and it may be due to dynamical evolution rather than the intrinsic difference in the initial MF (Sagar 2000, 2001). However, from Fig. 6 and Table 8, we notice that the slope becomes steeper as we move from core to corona 1 and corona 2.

# 7. Dynamical state of the cluster

The spatial stellar mass distribution changes as a cluster evolves dynamically if the cluster has a uniform spatial stellar mass distribution at the time of formation. As a consequence, massive stars concentrated towards the cluster center and low-mass stars attain a high random velocity and move away towards the outer region of the cluster. Both theory and simulations show that significant mass segregation among heaviest stars in the cluster core occurs in the local relaxation time, but affecting a large fraction of the mass of the cluster requires a time comparable to the average relaxation time averaged over the inner half of the mass (cf. Inagaki & Saslaw 1985; Chernoff & Weinberg 1990; Meylan & Heggie 1997). The dynamical relaxation time,  $T_{\rm e}$ , is the time in which the individual stars exchange energies and their velocity distribution approaches a Maxwellian equilibrium. It is given by Spitzer & Hart (1971) as

$$T_{\rm e} = 8.9 \times \frac{R_{\rm h}^2 \sqrt{N}}{\log(0.4N) \sqrt{}}$$

where N is the number of cluster members,  $R_{\rm h}$  is the radius containing half of the cluster mass and < m > is the average mass of the cluster stars. To determine  $T_{\rm e}$ , we estimate the total number of cluster stars in the mass range



Fig. 7. Cumulative distribution function for different MS mass intervals in NGC 2099.

 $2.48 \ge M/M_{\odot} \ge 0.6$  as 1475 which yields a total cluster mass of ~1750  $M_{\odot}$ . The value of 531  $M_{\odot}$  indicated by Bruch & Sanders (1983) as the total cluster mass is thus underestimated at least by a factor of 3. For the halfmass radius, we used half of the cluster extent i.e.,  $R_{\rm h} =$ 3.1 pc due to inability to estimate  $R_{\rm h}$  from the present data. Using these numbers  $T_{\rm e}$  comes out to be  ${\sim}70$  Myr. However, it can be remarked that the data considered here is limited up to a certain brightness. Inclusion of cluster members fainter than the limiting V magnitude will decrease the value of < m > and increase the value of N. This will result in higher values of  $T_{\rm e}$ . Hence the value  $T_{\rm e} = 70$  Myr may be considered as the lower limit. As  $T_{\rm e}$ is significantly less than the age of cluster, we conclude that the cluster is dynamically evolved and consequently, we expect concentration of higher mass stars towards the cluster center. The spatial distribution of MS and evolved stars studied below, in fact, provides support for this.

## 7.1. Radial distribution of MS stars

To study the radial distribution of MS stars in NGC 2099, we divide the MS stars into three mass groups (2.48 >  $M/M_{\odot} \geq 1.28$ ;  $1.28 > M/M_{\odot} \geq 0.77$  and  $0.77 > M/M_{\odot} \geq 0.60$ ). The cumulative radial distribution of cluster members in these mass bins is outlined in Fig. 7. This shows that massive stars tend to lie near the cluster center. The Kolomogorov-Smirnov test also substantiates our conclusion in the sense that the cumulative distribution of the most massive stars (2.48 >  $M/M_{\odot} \geq 1.28$ ) is different at the confidence level better than 99% from the cumulative distribution of relatively low mass stars (0.77 >  $M/M_{\odot} \geq 0.60$ ).



**Fig. 8.** Spatial distribution of evolved stars in NGC 2099. The (X, Y) are the CCD pixel co-ordinates of the cluster region as shown in Fig. 1.

#### 7.2. Radial distribution of evolved stars

The stars located in the 9 < V < 12 and 0.4 < (B - V) < 1.7 part of the CMD (see Fig. 5) have been considered as evolved stars and mostly are red giants, as they fall on the red giant branch of the theoretical isochrone of Girardi et al. (2000). The spatial distribution of these stars is shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that a large number of them accumulate in the core of the cluster, although they have also extended in corona 1. In corona 2 only a negligible fraction of them are present. This may be due to dynamical state of the cluster.

## 8. Discussion and conclusion

The BVI CCD photometric observations are presented for a sample of ~12000 stars in the cluster region and ~2180 stars in the field region up to a limiting magnitude of  $V \sim 22$  mag. The data form the basis for a study of spatial structure and radial variation of MF in the cluster region. Field star contaminations are estimated from a region located ~45' away from the cluster center. The data completeness is empirically determined as a function of brightness and stellar crowding. The cluster parameters are determined by fitting the theoretical isochrones given by Girardi et al. (2000) in the V, (B - V) and V, (V - I)diagrams of the cluster. The Main conclusions of the present work are:

1. The MF of the entire cluster appears to be one of the flattest with a value of  $-0.67 \pm 0.12$  in the mass range  $0.6 < M/M_{\odot} < 2.5$ . The radial velocity measurements

by Mermilliod et al. (1996) indicate that the binary frequency in NGC 2099 can be  $\sim 30\%$ . The effect of such optical/physical binaries is to flatten the intrinsic MF slope. We use Table 10 of Sagar & Richtler (1991) to evaluate the amount of flattening for 30% of binary contents and derive a value of  $\sim -0.9$  for the initial MF slope of NGC 2099. This value moves closer to the Salpeter (1955) value of -1.35, if the extent of the cluster is beyond 1000", as a relatively large number of low-mass cluster members are expected to be located there due to the dynamically relaxed state of the NGC 2099.

- 2. The value of the core radius is about 185'' while the extent of the cluster is about 5 times larger with a value of  $\sim 1000''$ .
- 3. A well-defined cluster MS along with a red giant clump is clearly visible in the CMDs. The MS has an intrinsic colour spread of  $\sim 0.06$  mag over the entire range of observed brightness. As the interstellar extinction is uniform across the cluster face and field stars are not severely contaminating the cluster MS, we consider the presence of optical/physical binaries in the cluster mainly responsible for the intrinsic colour spread in the MS.
- 4. The cluster distance estimated from a wide range  $(\sim 7 \text{ mag})$  of cluster sequences is  $1360 \pm 100$  pc. It agrees well with earlier determinations. Fitting of the theoretical isochrones given by Girardi et al. (2000) for Z = 0.008 to the CMDs yield an age of 400 Myr for the cluster and a reddening value of  $E(B V) = 0.30 \pm 0.04$  mag.
- 5. The cluster appears to be dynamically relaxed as the relaxation time (70 Myr) is much shorter than the cluster age (400 Myr). The observed mass segregation in the cluster can therefore be a result of the dynamical evolution of the system.
- 6. The distinct separation of cluster MS from the bulk of field stars in the CMDs provides a unique opportunity to confirm the uniformity of distribution of field stars at least up to 45' away from the cluster center.

Acknowledgements. The valuable comments/suggestions provided by the referee Dr. A. Moitinho improved the presentation of the paper significantly. We are grateful to Drs. A. K. Pandey and T. Richtler for useful discussions. The present research has used the WEBDA open cluster database Website at http://obswww.unige.ch/webda/ maintained by Dr. J.-C. Mermilliod.

#### References

- Banks, T., Dodd, R. J., & Sullivan, D. J. 1995, MNRAS, 274, 1225
- Baumgardt, H., Dettbarn, C., & Wielen, R. 2000, A&AS, 146, 251
- Blanco, V. M., & Nassan, J. J. 1957, ApJ, 125, 408
- Brosterhus, E. 1963, Astron. Ahb. Hamb. Sternw., 7, 13

- Bruch, A., & Sanders, W. L. 1983, A&A, 121, 237
- Chernoff, D. F., & Weinberg, M. D. 1990, ApJ, 351, 121
- Coleman, L. A. 1982, AJ, 87, 369
- Girardi, L., Bressan, A., Bertelli, G., & Chiosi, C. 2000, A&AS, 141, 371
- Glushkova, E. V., & Rastorguev, A. S. 1991, SvA Lett., 17, 13
- Hoag, A. A., Johnson, H. L., Iriarte, B., et al. 1961, in Publications of United States Naval Observatory, Second Ser., vol. xvii, Part 7
- Inagaki, S., & Saslaw, W. C. 1985, ApJ, 292, 339
- Janes, K. A. 1979, ApJS, 39,135
- Jefferys III, W. H. 1962, AJ, 67, 532
- Jennens, P. A., & Helfer, H. L. 1975, MNRAS, 172, 681
- Joy, A. H. 1916, AJ, 29, 101
- Kiss, L. L., Szabó, Gy. M., Sziládi, K., et al. 2001, A&A, 376, 561
- Landolt, A. U. 1992, AJ, 104, 340
- Lee, T. A. 1970, ApJ, 162, 217
- Lindblad, P. O. 1954, Stockholm. Ann., 18, 1
- Lyngå, G. 1987, in Catalog of Open Cluster Data, Computer Based Catalogue available through the CDS, Strasbourg, France and through NASA Data Center, GreenBelt, MaryLand, USA, 5th edition
- Mathis, J. S. 1990, ARA&A, 28, 37
- Melotte, P. J. 1915, Mem. R. A. S., 60, 180
- Mermilliod, J.-C. 1995, in Information and On-Line Data in Astronomy, ed. D. Egret & M. A. Albrecht (Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht), 127.
- Mermilliod, J.-C., Huestamendia, G., del Rio, G., & Mayor, M. 1996, A&A, 307, 80
- Moitinho, A. 2001, A&A, 370, 436
- Meylan, G., & Heggie, D. C. 1997, A&AR, 8, 1
- Pandey, A. K., Nilakshi, Ogura, K., Sagar, R., & Tarusawa, K. 2001, A&A, 374, 504
- Nilakshi, Sagar, R., Pandey, A. K., & Mohan, V. 2002, A&A, accepted
- Robin, A. 1982, A&AS, 50, 251
- Sagar, R. 2000, BASI, 28, 56
- Sagar, R. 2001, in Extragalactic star clusters, ed. E. K. Grebel, D. Geisler & D. Minnits, IAU Symp., 207, in press
- Sagar, R., & Griffiths, W. K. 1998, MNRAS, 299, 777
- Sagar, R., & Richtler, T. 1991, A&A, 250, 324
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sanders, W. L. 1972, A&A, 16, 58
- Schmidt-Kaler, T. 1982, in Landolt-Bornstein, Numerical Data and Fundamental Relationship in Science and Technology, New Ser., Group VI, vol. 2b, ed. K. Scaifers, & H. H. Voigt (Springer-Verlag, Berlin), 14
- Spitzer, L. Jr., & Hart, M. H. 1971, ApJ, 164, 399
- Stetson, P. B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Stetson, P. B. 1992, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and System I, ed. D. M. Worrall, C. Biemesderfer, & J. Barnes, ASP Conf. Ser., 25, 297
- Upgren, A. R. 1966, AJ, 71, 736
- Walker, A. R. 1985, MNRAS, 213, 889
- West, F. R. 1967, ApJS, 14, 359
- White, V. F. 1933, MNRAS, 93, 647
- van Zeipel, H., & Lindgren, J. 1921, Kungl. Sven. Vet. Handl., 61, 15
- Zhao, J., Tian, K., Jing, J., & Yin, M. 1985, Special Issue for Tables of Membership for 42 open clusters, Shanghai Observatory, Akademia Sinica, Shanghai