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## SUMMARY

Apart from the sustained interest in the enhanced forms of activity in the nuclei of galaxies, other classes of phenomena occurring in the central regions of galaxies have also drawn considerable interest. One such aspect of investigations of the central regions of galaxies is the system of 'hot spots' described originally by Morgan. Though some enthusiastn was derived at least once from the speculation that these are the results of a split due to explosivel activity in the nucleus, the spectroscopic evidences obtained by the Burbidges and others proved that the hot spots are simply giant HII complexes.

Sersic and Pastoriza broadened the definition of this class of phenomena observed in the central regions of galaxies to include bright amorphous formations as well. The purpose of the present investigations is to test whether the objects from the lists of Sersic and Pastoriza form a homogeneous class, and to derive parameters which assist in understanding their structure and content.

We begin with a brief over view of the principle of superposition of quasi-independent subsystems in galaxies In Chapter 1. We will also describe some of the ciassification schemes describing the central fegions of galaxies.

Following Morgan's operational approach, we show in Chapter 2 that the central regions of galaxies listed by Sersic and Pastoriza are morphologically intermediate between the normal and Seyfert galaxies. We will also compile an additional list of similar central formations based on the descriptions published by various authors. The correlation of the occurrence of such 'perinuclear formations' with the morphology of the parent galaxies is also investigated.

The techniques of observation and of reduction are presented. They include direct and filter photography, and spectroscopy with a narrow as well as a wide siit. The techniques used in obtaining equal intensity contours are also presented.

A morphological classification of the central regions of 50 galaxies from the lists of Sersic and Pastoriza is proposed in Chapter 4. The classes range from the elliptical appearance of ' $\epsilon$ ' types, througln the formations with bright distinct hot spots (' $\sigma$ ') to the irregular pattern of hot spots of low surface brightness (' 2 '). Some correlations with the types of the parent galaxy are also investigated.

The aurface photometric data is presented in Chapter 5 in terms of the equal intensity contours in the


#### Abstract

integrated light ( $\lambda \lambda 4000-8700$ ) for 27 galaxies from the finding list. The equivalent luminosity profiles have been presented for all these galaxies.


The blue-infrared colour distribution across the nucleus and the 'perinuclear formation' have been presented in Chapter 6 for one galaxy of type $\in(N G C 210)$ and for five of class $\sigma$ (NGC 613, 1097, 1365, 1808 and 2903). The nucleus appears reddest in all the cases. The perinuclear formations of class $E$ possess neutral colour with respect to the main body of the galaxy. The hot spots of formations of class $\sigma$ are generally redder than the main body of the galaxy though a few hot spots are distinctly bluer. The perinuclear regions of NGC 1808 are the reddest among the galaxies investigated. The nucleus of NGC 2903 has been discovered on our infrared photographs.

The mean profiles for different classes of formations have also been computed in Chapter 6, and appear similar to each other in all the cases except in the class? The formations of class $Z$ have a lower luminosity gradient than the other classes. A small difference noticed in class $\sigma$ could be due to the existence of discrete hot spots.


#### Abstract

A method has been described in Chapter 7 for obtaining the emission line rotation curves of galaxies using spectrograms with a wide siit. The dispersed image can be compared with an undispersed image in the same emission line to evaluate the distortion due to the velocity field. The latter can then be calculated. The method is demonstrated with a dispersed image of the central regions of NGC 5236 in the emission lines of $H \alpha$ and $[N I X] \lambda 6584$. Since an undispersed imase was not available, a profile In the integrated light has been used after subtracting an estimated profile of the nucleus which is expected to be a bright continuum source especially in the red. The results are presented only as an illustration while necessary observations are planned for the future.

^[ The conclusions presented in Chapter 8 recognize that the formations in the central regions of the galaxies examined, are a single class of phenomenon if clas: $\mathcal{L}$ is excluded. The hot spots are possibly formed by a burst of star formation and evolve from class o'towards classe. While the $\sigma$ stage provides an opportunity to study the distribution and motion of gas in the central regions of galaxies, the $E$ stage enables the study of the structures of the central stellar subsystems. ]


## CHAPTER 1

THE CENTRAL REGIONS OF GALAXIES


#### Abstract

The discovery of galaxies is a fairly recent event in the history of science despite the fact that the 'great nebula in Andromeda', a naked-eye galaxy, was known even to the Arabs. Further, little attention has been paid to the central regions of galaxies even though the spectra of galaxies usually represent only the bright central parts. Investigations of the central regions of galaxies are vital to several problems of extragalactic research including the formation and evolution of galaxies. A study of the central regions of galaxies assists in building up the chain of events leading to the higher forms of activity as in the nuclei of Seyfert, $N$ and the radio galaxies as well as the quasi-stellar and.related objects. It is, therefore, not too optimistic a statement to make that the central regions may provide clues to the formation and sustenance of spiral arns in galaxies with discs.

We summarize in the present chapter the important concepts which have broadened our outlook and the


principal lines of investigation which have contributed much to our familiarity of the central regions of galaxies.
1.1 Coexistence of Different Subsystems in a Galaxy

Beginning with Baade's (1944) recognition of Population I (disc) and Population II (halo), it has become increasingly evident that a galaxy is constituted of several quasi-independent subsystems. Other examples of such subsystems are that of the globular clusters, the lobes of radio galaxies, and nuclear jets in galaxies like M 87 and NGC 3561. These subsystems have characteristics independent of the rest of the galaxy except for the dymamical interaction. This phenomenon of superposition of sub-systems (Ambartsumian, 1961) is one of the fundamental observational facts to be explained by any theory attempting to reveal the processes involved in the formation and the evolution of galaxies.

All the subsystems of galaxy are more or less concentric. The region of congruence of the centres of all the subsystems is also the region of maximum density and intensity. We refer to this region as the central part or the central region of a galaxy, following Ambartsumian (1971). Different subsystems can be

```
recognized in the central regions of galaxies as will be
discussed in the next section.
```


### 1.2 Subsystems in the Central Repions of Galaxies

'The term 'nucleus' has been used in the past variously and at times ambiguously to denote the main body of a galaxy, the central bulge or lens, as well as the compact subsystems that are several orders of magnitude smaller in spatial extent. It would be worthwhile to consider the problems of semantics in order to avoid confusion. We follow the terminology given below while dealing with the observations in the optical region. This terminology has been adopted mainly from the ideas expressed by Ambartsumian on various oocasions (Ambartsumian 1961. 1971; Morgen et al 1971).
(a) Nucleus: Structures presenting a starijke or almost starlike image superposed on the central region of maximal intensity, on photographs of moderate resolution (1-3 arcsec) obtained with ground-based telescopes.
(b) Perinuclear formation Most of the galaxies show a more extended subsysten roughly centred on the nucieus, with typical extents of several seconds of are to a few tens of seconds of arc. A nucleus may not be very conspim cuaus when superposed on a bright perinuclear formation.

This latter subsystem appears as a shoulder in the luminosity profiles, especially evident in Seyfert galaxies (Morgan et al. 1971). The perinuclear formation will be considered in greater detail throughout the rest of this work.

```
Since our definitions are bused on resolution, they may still have some residual ambiguities deperdent on distances to the objects under investigation. We are, however, restricting ourselves to the brighter of the Shapley-Ames galaxies outside the local group and mostly beyond the nearest groups. For a typical distance of a few tens of megaparseca, a nucleus would have an upper limit on its size of a few hundred parsecs and may include substructures of much smaller scales like the ones observed as the nuclei of nearby galaxies (M32; 2pc; M31:15pc; M33:17pc). The nucleus would thus be a collective term for all these subsystems.
```

[^1]Millimeter and radio observations, not being limited by the atmospheric effects, reach a resolution of $10^{-4}$ arcsec using intercontinental base-lines in the very long base-line interferometry (VLBI) technique. Compact sources of different spatial extents have been discovered in the central regions of different galaxies. Using the VLBI procedure. The $7 \mathrm{AU}+200 \mathrm{AU}$ source of 3.8 cm wavelength at the centre of our galaxy and the 1200 AU radio source in the nucleus of M81 are near the lower end of the 1inear sizes observed. Several ellipticals, radio galaxies, and quasars show a prominent 'radio nuclus' of a few tenths to a few units of parsecs, while the spirals generally possess compact sources ranging from a few tenths to a few kiloparsecs in extent (Ekers, 1978). The need to recognize different classes of events possibly operating at different spatial scales is obviaus. It is also desirable to devise an unambiguous terminology of classification. But this will not be attempted here since our major concern would be the structure of the optical nucleus and the perinuclear formations.

### 1.3 Classification and Description of Central Regions of Galaxies

Even though no classification soheme has been devised for the central regions of galexies based on the

```
relative importance of the nucleus and the perinuclear
formations relative to the outer regions of galaxies,
yet dirferent degrees of central condensations have been
noted. The earliest attempt to describe the central
regions of galaxies systematically is due to Morgan
(1958, 1959). Morgan classified 915 galaxies into 7
concentration classes a, af, f,fg, g, gk and k in increa-
sing order of central concentration of light. The terminom
logy is derived from a correlation discovered earlier by Morgan and Mayall (1957) between the central concentration of light and the dominant spectral type contributing to the blue light from the bright inner regions of galaxies. Morgan's notes to his classification tables describe some of the peculiarities noted by him in the central regions of galaxies; he also noted systems having small brilliant central formations superposed on a considerably fainter background. He called these \(N\) systems, a terminology borrowed later for a more active state of the nucleus.
```

A systematic survey of the central regions of
galaxies has been conducted at the Byurakan Observatory
mainly with a 20-21 inch Schmidt telescope with an image
scale of 114 arcsec man . A classification scheme has
been devised to denote the degree of central condensation
on an arbitrary scale of integers 1 through 5, in increasing order of central condensation. The galaxies of class 5 show a nucleus clearly discernible from the background and indistinguishable from the stellar images. Class 4 represents galaxies with semistarlike nuclei of measurable angular diameters. The presence of a nucleus is inferred from the high degree of central condensation in galaxies of class 3. Galaxies of class 2 probably contain a faint nucleus below the limit of detection while those of class 1 probably do not possess a nucleus.

Table 1.1 modified from Ambartsumian (1965) to include the class $2 s$ added subsequently, describes the observed and the inferred aspects of each class. The latter class appears similar to class 2 at relatively lower resolution, but individual 'hot spots' (s aplit nuclei) appear on the images at an increased resolution. The interpretation about the existence of a nucleus is based on various observations, especially our own to be reported in later chapters. While the Byurakan class 2s contains galaxies similar to the ones with 'hot spots' described by Morgan (1958, 1959), the galaxies of class 4 and 5 are similar to Morgan's $N$ types. A list of 711 galaxies classified at the Byurakan Observatory has been published by its directorate (Byurakan, 1975).

Table 1.1

Byurukan scheme of Classification of Central kegions of Galaxies

| Nuclear Typo | Pattern | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | No appreciable condensation ut the centre | No nucleus present |
| 2 | Weak condensation at the centre | Probably a nucleus exists |
| 3 | ```Strong concent ........the centre, but no star-like image``` | A nucleus definitely exists, but cannot be distinguished from the background |
| 4 | Starlike nuclear image at short exposures, but nobulous at long exposures | A nucleus is seen durrounded by the dense part of the bulge |
| 5 | Starlike nuclear image even when the exposure differ from the limiting | A bright nucleus which stancls out on the background |
| 2 s | Weak condeneation at low resolution, but split up into several bright condensations at moslerate resolution | Nucleus is hidden among the bright emistion line regions |


#### Abstract

Ambartsumian (1965) points out that some of the galaxies classified in the Byurakan scheme would exhibit higher degree of central condensation when the resolution is increased. A change in the classification is also prompted by employing a different region of the electromagnetic spectrum.


A qualitative description of the central regions of about 1150 galaxies has been given by de Vaucouleurs and de Vaucouleurs (1964; hereinafter RCBG). The descriptions include the relative brightness (extremely bright, very bright, bright, faint etc.), degree of compactness ('nucleus', core, barlike core, centre, etc.) and size (very small, small, large etc.) and also information on peculiarities in shape, presence of dark lanes and other complexities. A comparison of the brightness information with Byurakan class shows that the galaxies of Byurakan classes 3,4 and 5 possess bright central compact regions (referred to as 'nuclei' in RCBG) against the classes 1 and 2. The central brightness increases along the sequence 3 to 5 and 2s.

All the schemes of classification mentioned above come quite close to the descriptions of the presence or the absence of the nucleus and the perinuclear formations and their relative brightness when they are present.

As would be apparent in subsequent chapters, they can guide us in choosing the galaxies where these substructures are the most conspicuous.

### 1.4 Enhanced Activity in the Nuclei of Galaxies

The discovery of the nuclear jet in M87 by H.D. Curtis in 1918 and Seyfert's (1943) discovery of high excitation emission lines of large Doppler widths in the nuclei of some galaxies drew the attention towards the existence of enhanced form of activity in the nuclei of galaxies. Similar as well as more enhariced forms of activity have been discovered in the recent years in a large number of galaxies, Notable surveys inciude the UV bright galaxies discovered by Markarian et al (1978 and references therein) at the Byurakan Observatory, A large fraction of Markarian galaxies exhibit characteristics similar to the ones described by Seyfert. Discovery of the radio galexies, quasars, the OSQs (Optically Selected Quasars) and related objects, have also added enormously to the data on active nuclei.

Saveral lines of argument favour the interpretation that the Seyferts, radio galaxies and quasars present the same phenomena in increasing degrees. The intrinsic brightness of the nuclei increases and the energy distribution
becomes more and more non-thermal in the above order. Large changes in brightness over time scales of months and also the interstellar scintillation results restrict the region of highest activity to very amall absolute dimensions. The resulting high volume emissivity added to the other inferences like the rapid variability, large amount of relativistic particles under the influence of magnetic fields, and large mass motions make these objects most intriguing. Little is known about the central compact object which governs these processes. Black holes seem to be the current fancy, though models exist based on massive rotators (spinars) and more exotic objects.

There are two extreme possibilities regarding the formation of nuclei. The first one that suggests their formation by accretion during the evolution of a galaxy (Zwicky, 1967) has a large number of adherers. Ambartsumian $(1958,1960,1961,1971,1976)$ who has drawn attention towards various probable and possible manifestations of nuclear activity is inclined to believe in the second possibility that galaxies are formed by ejection fifn active nuclei. Different evidences for the ejection of gas from the active nuclej, though auggestive of this, could alternately result from accretion and subsequent explosion (Shields and Wheeler; 1978).


#### Abstract

If the active nuclei continue to lose mass at the rate suggested by the observed outflow of gas, the total mass lost by them in $10^{8}-10^{9}$ years will be comparable to the mass of the nuclei themselves. The extreme cases of activity like the quasars and $O S Q s$ radiate an energy equivalent to the rest mass of the nuclei, over the same time scales. These facts guggest that the active nuclei cannot have life times longer than $10^{8}-10^{9}$ years. Secondly, the fraction of galaxies with active nuclei is about a few per cent. If the nucleus of every galaxy passes through an active phase, this phase should last a few per cent of its age. The corresponding value of $10^{8}-10^{9}$ years is the same as the estimated life of an active nucleus, and hence suggests that all galaxies pass through a phase of enhanced nuclear activity.


While the morphological study of galaxies has been dominated by the outer regions like the spiral arms, the active nuclei have attracted attention to the very compact systems at the centres of galaxies. The struc* tures in the immediate surroundings of a nucleus have been studied in less detail. The investigations presented in this work deal with the formations around the nuclei of galaxies.

## CHAPTER 2

GALAXIES WITH BRIGHT PERINUCLEAR FOKMATIONS


#### Abstract

It has become increasingly evident in recent years that most and perhaps all galactic nuclei exhibit some degree of activity. The differing degrees and apparent forms of activity are based most probably on very few parameters and constitute a single class of phenomena. The classification of the forms of activity is logically the first atep towards understanding the nature of the activity.

The higher forms of activity occur less frequently in space. Hence the nearest galaxies with more active nuclei are, on an average, farther from us than the ones with less active nuclei. It is, therefore, necessary to know how nearby examples of lesser activity would appear at greater distances. particularly useful will be the identification of features which convert the less active ones into the more active ones when enhanced (Burbidge, 1971). Hence a morphological study of central regions of galaxies is imperative.


### 2.1 An Operational Approach for the Morphological

Study of the central Reglons of Galaxies

Morgan et al (1971), in a morphological comparison of non-Seyfert and Seyfert galaxies, noted that the Seyferts are characterized by a 'nucleus-shoulder-arms' structure; that is, the luminosity profiles of Seyferts exhibit three distinct substructures - a semistarlike or starlike nucleus, a pronounced shoulder and an exponential disc. We identify the shoulders to be due to the perinuclear formations. Morgan et al (1971), in an operational approack to establish the relationship between normal spirals and Seyferts, defined an operator F which yields Seyferts when it acts upon normal spirals. The operation of $F$ results in a brightening of the nucleus, enhancement of the perinuclear formation and a decrease in the brightness of spiral arms.

Since all the morphological changes between different types of galaxies are continuous, we postulate an operator $F^{\frac{1}{2}}$ which, when operated on normal galaxies, yields morphological characteristics intermediate between those of normal and of Seyfert galaxies. This means an intermediate degree of enhancement of nucleus and the perinuclear formations without a pronounced decrease in the brightness of the disc component. The most

```
conspicuous characteristic of these galaxies would be a
bright perinuclear formation with a nucleus embedded in
it without the high contrast typical of the Seyfert
galaxies. We will present finding lists of such galaxies
in the next section.
```


### 2.2 Galaxies with Bright Perinuclear Formations

Morgan discovered uultiple hot spots' in the central regions of several spiral ealaxies and noted these in the foot notes of his Yerkes classification tables (Morgan 1958, 1959). Sersic and pastoriza (1965) continued with this line of thought and extended the list of so called peculiar nuclei based on the photographs of southern galaxies obtained at Cordoba. They also recognized another class of formations, termed 'Amorphous Nuclei' which are 'gpherical' formations in the central regions of galaxies 'surrounded by diffuse and asymmetric structure'. They included the dumb bell-like formations also as a variant of this class of objects. Sersic extended the survey further (Sersic and Pastoriza, 1967. Sersic, 1973) by visual inspection of plates in the Hubble plate collection at the Hale Observatories.

Sersic (1968) states the main cxiterion of his classification of 'peculiar nuclei' as a 'luminosity

```
profile in which there is a change of slope and some
structure due to the existence of high excitation gas
clouds around the true nucleus of the galaxy'. Identify-
ing the change of slope in the luminosity profile with
the edge of the 'shoulder' described in the Seyfert
galaxies by Morgan et al (1971), we conclude that
Sersic's list comprises of galaxies with bright peri-
nuclear formations. These are the best available
candidates for ( }\mp@subsup{F}{}{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{ spirals. Sersic's final list of }6
galaxies (Sersic, 1973) together with four additional
galaxies from the list of Sersic and Pastoriza (1965) is
presented in Table 2.1.
Keel and Weedman (1978) recently observed the central regions of 931 galaxies photographically on a uniform system at an image scale of 99 arcsec \(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\). The list consists of (i) galaxies described in RCBG as containing a 'very bright nucleus' (VBN) or 'extremely bright nucleus' (eBN), or (ii) galaxies belonging to the Byurakan classes 4 or 5, with the common restriction that they are all north of declination \(-20^{\circ}\). The observed galaxies are classified into five classes based on the relative brightness of the spiral arms (from 1 - very bright to 5not seen). A rank is also assigned to the relative brightness of the central regions. Ten galaxies from
```

their list are stated to resemble Seyfert galaxies morphologically. Peculiarities in the central regions of some galaxies are described in the foot notes to the tables while special attention is drawn to three galaxies in the text itself.

We list in Table 2.2 galaxies which are not included in Sersic's list, which most probably resemble the former in their central formations. The list is based on the descriptions of Morgan $(1958,1959)$ Keel and Weedman (1978) and isolated cases drawn from other sources.

While Tables 2.1 and 2.2 together are far from complete and hence not amenable for absolute statiatics, they atill form a fairly large sample for relative statistical considerations which we investigate in the next two sections.

## 2. 2 Relation of Central Formations with the Outer

## Morphology

Sersic (1968) studied statistically twenty galaxies from his list which form a statistically complete sample to a limiting magnitude of 11.0 . He concluded that the 'peculiar nuclei' (as he termed them) prefer barred (SB) and intermediate (SAB) families (11 and 9 galaxies respectively) against non-barred, SA (None). He also noted a lack of preference for any Hubble type.

```
Heckman (1978) analysed a sample besed on the foot notes of Morgan (1958, 1959) and came to conclusions which differ from those of Sersic. Heckman's sample indicated that the parent galaxies of 'peculiar nuclei' do not favour barred or nombarred families while they are peaked around early Hubble types (so, SO/a). He attributed the difference with Sersic's results to 'subconscious biases' in the sample of the latter 'toward associating nuclear peculiarity with certain non-nuclear morphological types'.
```

Sersic has inspected more nonbarred galaxies than barred ones to a limiting magnitude of 11.0 (Sersic, 1968). Hence a subconscious bias of the type suggested by Heckman may not creep easily into Sersic's 1 ift. It is conceivable though, that the general term 'peculiarity' might have been used by different authors to denote different phenomena. Heckman's list includes a larger range of 'peculiarities' than the ones included by Sersic, and hence the conclusions of the former pertain to the complete range of events. Heckman's list includes the following central peculiarities in addition to bright perinuclear formations classified by Sersic:
(i) dust arcs and dust lanes (NGC 2855, 3593, 3626, 3628, 3957)
Table 2.1
Sersic's list of Galexies with Bright Perinuclear Formations

Table 2.1 - continued

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 2935 | g | ( $R^{\prime}$ ) SAB (s) $\mathrm{b}^{-}$ | I |  | ebN | AN 3 |
| 22 | 2997 | $\mathbf{f}$ | SAB (rs)c |  |  | vsvB complex N | HS |
| 23 | 3177 | E | SA (rs)b | II-III | 4 | vsvBN | HS |
| 24 | 3206 |  | SB (s) Cd |  |  | sh B bar | - |
| 25 | 3310 | $E$ | SAB(r)bep | II | 5 | vBviN | HS |
| 26 | 3346 | af | SB (rs) cd | II |  | vB sh central segment | HS |
| 27 | 3351 | $E$ | SB (r)b | II | 23 | eBN | HS |
| 28 | 3359 | a | SB (rs) C | II | 1 | vsN | HS |
| 29 | 3504 | g | (R) SAB (s) ab |  | 4 | eBN | - |
| 30 | 3611 | E | SA (s)ap |  | 4 | $\mathbf{s} \mathbf{V B N}$ | AN |
| 31 | 3627 | E | SAB (s) b | II | 3 | svBN | HS $?$ |
| 32 | 3682 |  | SA (st)o/a |  |  |  | - |
| 33 | 3955 |  | IO or pec | III | 2 | B complex bar | HS |
| 34 | 3956 | $\mathbf{T}$ | SA (5:)c | IV |  | svB bar or N | HS? |
| 35 | 4051 | $\mathbf{f}$ | SAB(re)be | II | 5 | vseBN | HS |
| 36 | 4064 | af | SB (s)asp | III | 3 | innermost vB segment | HS |
| 37 | 4124 | E | SA (r) ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | vevBN | AN 2 |
| 38 | 4151 | 8 | SAB (rs)ab |  | 5 | seBN | AN |
| 39 | 4178 | af | SB (rs)dm | II | 2 | sB narrow bar | HS |
| 40 | 4212 | $f$ | SA. (rs?) bc | III | 4 | BN | AN |
| 41 | 4245 | k | SB ( x ) | III | 3 | vsvBN | HS |
| 42 | 4250 |  | SAB 5 O $0^{+}$ |  |  | vsvBN | AN |
| 43 | 4258 | 8 | SAB 5 )bc |  | 3 |  | HS |
| 44 | 4303 | f | SAB(rs)bc | I | 4 | eBN | AN |
| 45 | 4314 | g | SB (rs)a |  | 4 | eBN | HS |

Table 2.1-continued

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 4321 | fg | SAB(s)bc | I | 2s | vBN | HS |
| 47 | 4369 | E | (R)SA (rg)a |  |  | vB complex centre | HS |
| 48 | 4394 | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | (R)SB (r)b | II | 3 | eBN |  |
| 49 | 4419 | gk | SB (s)a |  |  | B bar | AN? |
| 50 | 4446 |  |  |  |  |  | AN? |
| 51 | 4457 | k | (R)SAB ( B$) 0 / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  | vBN | - |
| 52 | 4501 | $E$ | SA (rs)b | I | $4$ | VsBN | AN |
| 53 | 4593 | 8 | (K)SB (rs) $b$ | II |  | vBN | - |
| 54 | 5236 | fg | SAB (a)c |  |  | EBN | AN |
| 55 | 5248 | $\mathbf{f}$ | SAB(rs) bc | I | 2 | eBN | HS |
| 56 | 5383 | $f g$ | SB (rs)p | II |  | eB complex N | HS |
| 57 | 5430 | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\text { SB } s) b$ |  | 4 | svBN | $\cdots$ |
| 58 | 5597 | Ef | SAB (s)cd |  |  | vsebN | HS |
| 59 | 5728 | fer | SAB $\times$ ( $\mathrm{az}^{\text {a }}$ | II |  | VBN | AN |
| 60 | 5850 | 8 | SB (r)b | I | 2 | จBN | AN |
| 61 | 6217 | af | $(R) S B(r s) b c$ |  | 5 | svBN | $\cdots$ |
| 62 | 6907 | $f$ | SB s)bc | $I-I I$ |  | veBN | AN |
| 63 | 6951 | $f$ | SAB (ra)bc |  | 3 | EBN | HS |
| 64 | 7410 |  | $\text { SB } \mathrm{E}^{0+}$ |  | 3 | eBN | HS |
| 65 | 7424 |  | $\mathrm{SAB}(\mathrm{rs}) \mathrm{Cd}$ |  |  | sB elong diff $N$ | AN |
| 66 |  |  | $\left(R^{\prime}\right) S B(s) a b$ |  | 5 | eBN | AN |
| $67$ | $7741$ |  | sB (s)cd | II | 2 | no EN | - |
| 68 | 7769 | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\left(R^{\prime}\right) S A(x s) b$ |  | 5 | eBN | - |

Table 2.1 - continued
Columns: (1) Serial Number, (2) NGC designation, (3) Yerkes type from Morgan (1958, 1959), (4) Revised Morphological Type from de Vaucouleurs (1963), (5) DDO type from van den Bergh (1960), (6) Byurakan type from Byurakan (1975), (7) Description of central region from RCBG, (8) Sersic type from Sersic (1973).
Table 2.2
Additional list of Galaxies with Bright Perinuclear Formations

Table 2.2-continued

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 4216 | E | SAB (58)b | II | 4 | sebn | Bright arms very close to nucleus (2) |
| 22 | 4274 | gk | (R) $\mathrm{SB}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{ab}$ | II-III | 3 | vBN | Double nucleus (2) |
| 23 | 4385 | $g$ | SB( ra ) $\mathrm{O}^{+}$: | II-III | 4 | vsvBN | Seyfert-like (2) |
| 24 | 4460 | gk | $\mathrm{SB}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{O}^{+}$? |  |  | B elong centre | Hot Spots (1) |
| 25 | 4500 |  | SB(s)a |  |  | eBN | Complex nucleus (2) |
| 26 | 4559 | $\mathbf{f g}$ | SAB (rs) cd | II-III | 5 | vs not | vBN Barlike nucleus (2) |
| 27 | 4569 | a | SAB (rs) ab |  | 5 | vsebN | Seyfert-like and 6th brightest in (2) |
| 28 | 4570 | k | SO |  | 3 | svBN | 9 th brightest (2) |
| 29 | 4691 | $\mathbf{1}$ | (R) $\mathrm{SB}(\mathrm{s}) 0 / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 2 s | vB complex bax | Hot spots (1) |
| 30 | 4736 | E | (R)SA(r)ab | II | 3 | eBN | Bright inner spiral structure; 4 th brightest in (2) |
| 31 | 4747 | f | SB ? (s)c: |  | $2$ |  | Hot spots (1) |
| 32 | 4800 | k | SA(rs)b |  | 4 | vBN | Distinct core; Seyfert-like (2) |
| 33 | 4861 | a | SB(8) mi | IV-V |  | B core | Hot spots (1) |
| 34 | 5005 | Ek | SAB(rs)bc | II | 4 | eBN | 17th brightest in (2) |
| 35 | 5055 | g | SA(rs)be | II | 5 | vsvB | Seyfert-1ike (2) |

Table 2.2 - continued

Table 2.2 - continued

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (6) Byurakan Classification } \\
& \text { from Eyurakan (1975) } \\
& \text { (7) RCBG descriptions }
\end{aligned}
$$


Keel and Keedman (1978)
(3) Sakka et al (1973)
(4) Bohuski et al (1972)
References:
(8) Descriptions of central
references indicated in
the brackets

```
    (ii) rings and ring-like structures (NGC 473, 3081,
4128, 4233, 4324, 4435, 5750)
    (iii) eccentric nuclei in a pair of interacting
galaxies (NGC 4782-4783).
If these galaxies are excluded, the list resembles Sersic's
list in the criteria of classification.
    We have reanalysed Heckman's data excluding the above
galaxies. We have also reanalysed Sersic's data (Figures
1 and 2 of Sersic, 1968) by converting them into the
fractions of total number of galaxies investigated in
each bin. The results are compared in Figuresz.1a and b
after normalization. The conclusions that follow are:
(a) bright central formations favour the families \(A B\) and \(B\) as noted by Sersic (1968), but probably to a sightly lesser degree.
(b) bright central formations favour revised Hubble types \(S a\) and \(S b\) which are slightiy later along the Hubble sequence than the ones indicated by Heckman (1978).
Despite the small numbers, the agreement between the two samples is clear.
```



Fig.2.1 Frequency distributions of bright perinuclear formations with(a)Family and (b) Revised Morphological Type +:Sersic's sample complete to 11m: and xi Heckman's sam
Sersic's formations.



Pg. 2.2 Sameas above; +igalaxies from Sersic's finding list (Table 2.1) x:galaxies from our supplementary ifst (Table,22).
We continue the analysia on similar lines, with the total sample of Sersic (Table 2.1) and the additional list in Table 2.2. Figures 2.2a and 2.2b show the frequency distribution in different families and different Hubble types ( $T$ ) respectively. The relevant data has been compiled from de Vaucouleurs (1963). An extension of the analysis into DDO luminosity types $L$ (Van den Bergh, 1960) and de Vaucouleurs Iuminosity index $(\Lambda=(L+T) / 10)$ is shown pictorially in Figures $2.3 a$ and 2.3b respectively.
The comparison of Figures 2.1a and $b$ with the Figures 2.2a and $b$ indicates a slight relative increase in the families $A$, and in types $S O$ and $S O / a$, in the latter sample. This may be an indication of the presence of abclasses in the perinuclear formations with a mixing, in differing proportions, of the different samples considered. This is a selection effect introduced in an incomplete sample. A subclass is possible at the lower luminosity end too as evidenced from Figures 2.3a and $b(L \sim 6$ and $\Lambda \sim 0.8)$. The classification of perinuclear formations are considered in detail in Chapter IV.

### 2.4 Perinuclear Formations and the Classification of

Central Regions

The Yerkes aystem of classification of central


Fig.2.3 Frequency distribution of bright perinuclear formations with (a)DDO tambinosity class and (b) deVaucouleurs' Iuminosity index.

```
condensation, Byurakan scheme of 'nuclear' classification
and RCBG descriptions provide useful qualitative indices
which are probably mutually related. One may form a
uniform classification scheme based on RCBG descriptions
with indices eHN ("extremely bright nucleus"), vBN ("very
bright nucleus"), BN ('bright nucleus'), N ('nucleus')
```

and NO ('faint nucleus' and 'nucleus' without brightness
information) and $O$ ('no bright nucleus'). The last class
'0' may include a large number of galaxies where the
absence of 'nucleus' is not explicitly mentioned, but the
discriptions only deal with short or small central bar or
a bright inner segment.

Figures 2.4a, $b$ and $c$ show the distribution of bright central formations with the Byurakan classes and RCBG descriptions as well as against Yerkes types. The class 0 In the second case includes only those galaxies for which the absence of RCBG 'nucleus' is explicitiy mentioned. The figures indicate that the galaxies with bright central formations are peaked around Yerkes type g, and more strongly so at the Byarakan classes 5 and 2s, and RCBG classes VBN and $\operatorname{ABN}$.

A subclass possibly exists at Yerkes class af, Byurakan 1 and 2 and RCBG $N$ and $O$. A glance through


Fig. 2.4 Frequency distributions of bright perinuclear formations with(a) Byurakan class, (b)RCBGdescriptions, and(c)Yerkes types.


#### Abstract

Tables 2.1 and 2.2 shows that the galaxies forming these secondary peaks in different figures are identical and are also of later luminosity class and higher luminosity index. This subgroup will be identified and described in detail in Chapter IV.


### 2.5 Observational Desideratum on the Perinuclear

## Formations


#### Abstract

Having recognized the substructures in the central regions of galaxies it is imperative to study their dimensions, content, form and dynamics, A few important requirements from optical observations have been noted below.


a) Morphology of perinuclear formations and its relationship with the outer structure is the easiest datum obtainable through photography. It can provide clues to the formation of this subsystem.
b) A knowledge of the luminosity distribution in the perinuclear fornations and the spatial scales assists in studying the structure and stability of such systems.
c) Spectroscopic data on stellar and nonstellar content of the nucleus and the perinuclear formations reveal the physical processes at play as well as provide an idea of present and past star formation rates in these regions.


#### Abstract

d) The spectroscopic observations also yield information on the noncircular and circular motions. While the latter help in estimating the mass of the central formations, the existence of the former may indicate distortions in the density distribution, or mass outflow.


The structures of relevance are of the order of several seconds of arc for the brighter Shapley-Ames galaxies. Conventional photoelectric photometry cannot easily achieve a resolution of a second of arc with an equivalent accuracy of centering. One needs a two dimensional storage medium like a photographic plate or the modern solid state detector arrays while deriving surface photometric parameters. Photoelectric calibration of such data is desirable to establish the zero point of the observations.

[^2]A sizeable number of central formations need to be observed to establish the class of events, to recognize subclasses, to establish the common features and to

```
study the dispersions about the average properties. An effort encompassing all these features would necessarily be a very ambitious one. Hence, we'limit our programme to the following:
```

i) High resolution relative photographic surface photometry in integrated light for a sizeable number of central regions of galaxies for studying the classes, structure and dimensions of formations.
ii) High resolution relative photographic surface photometry in wide bands in the blue and in the infrared for a few galaxies for deriving the colours of different Bubsystems.
iii) Emission line spectroscopy of some galaxies to detect the presence of and the extent of emission lines as an indicator for the existence of gas ionized by young stars.
iv) Wide slit spectroscopy of a few galaxies to obtain monochromatic pictures in the emission lines and to study their spatial distribution.

These observations would hopefully form a basis and provide a motivation for more detailed and far more accurate observations of a few representative galaxies in different classes of central formations.

```
The higher aurface brightness of the central regions of galaxies renders them relatively easy objects to observe. However, the spatial resolution required in studying the structures in the central parts is not achieved due to the limit get by the atmospheric 'seeing' effect. Fortunately the perinuclear formations (size \(1-4 \mathrm{kpc})\) can be studied with a fair degree of accuracy for the nearer ones among the Shapley-Ames galaxies.
We have used the Kavalur 1 m Ritchey-Chretian system for this investigation. All the observations were recorded photographically to utilize the multiplex effect of the photographic emulsion, as well as to reach the atmospheric limit on the resolution.
```


### 3.1 Photographic Surface Photometry

```
The photographic observations were obtained at the F/13 cassegrain focus of the 1 mm reflector. employing a Varo 8605 image tube. This is a single stage electroatatic focusing image tube with a fibre optic input and output of 40 mm diameter. The tube is operated in a cathode
```

grounded configuration which reduces the tube background considerably. A fibre optic extension of 1 cm is provided at the output for effective shielding.

The $S-20$ photocathode of the image tube has good response in the photographic infrared upto 8700 . The fibre optic input window cuts off the ultraviolet light of wavelengths lower than 4000\%. The $\mathrm{P}-20$ phospher screen emits predominantly in the green. Kodak IIaD plates were used to register the image both for this reason as well as to match the resolution of the image tube ( $64 \mathrm{Ip} \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ). The plates were exposed in contact with the output face of the fibre optic.extension.

The image scale of 15 arcsec $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ at the $\mathrm{F} / 13$ cassegrain focus of the Kavalux reflector implies that with a detector resolution of $641 p \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, a little over 4 resolution elements cover a second of arc or 18 elements for a square second of arc. This number ensures a good signal above the grain noise.

The radial decrease and the azimuthal variations in the efficiency of the electrostatic image tubes, as also the 'chickenmesh effect' of the fibre optics were not serious since the images of interest were small ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). A few galaxies were observed in two spectral bands.

A BG 12 filter of 1 mm thickness was used to isolate the blue region between 4000 \& and 4500 A. A Wratten 89B filter was used for the region 7000 - 8700 . The nuclei appeared more prominent in the infrared and the hot spots and other types of formations more distinct in the blue. A large number of galaxies was observed in "integrated" 1 ight ( $\lambda \lambda$ 4000-8700) to obtain a 'composite picture' where all the different components are clearly visible. The photographic data is listed in Table 3.1.

### 3.2 Photographic Isodensitometry

An image stored on a photographic emulsion needs to be converted to linear scale of intensity. The process of isodensitometry provides the contours of constant density. A photographic method is simpler and less oxpensive in comparison with those obtained by current isodensity tracing microphotometers. It. is adequate too in most of the cases including the present one where the variation of sky and other background is not significant over the image.

Early photographic isodensitometry was based either on the isohelic method employing a positive-negative combination, or on the Sabattier effect. Recentiy a

## Table 3.1

| NGC | NGC | NGC | NGC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 1433 | 3346 | 4258 |
| 210* | 1530 | 3351 | 4369 |
| 255 | 1672 | 3504 | 5236 |
| 613* | 1808* | 3611 | 5248 |
| 922 | 2196 | 3627 | 5597 |
| 925 | 2763 | 3955 | 5728 |
| 1087* | 2782 | 3956 | 5850 |
| 1097* | 2903* | 4064 | 6907 |
| 1140 | 2935 | 4124 | 6951 |
| 1300 | 2997. | 4151 | 7177* |
| 1326 | 3177 | 4212 | 7410 |
| 1365* | 3206 | 4245 | 7552 |
| 1415 | 3310 | 4250 | 7741 |

* also observed in blue and infrared bands

```
professional contour film is made available by the
Agfa-Gevaert Company. This Agfacontour film can provide
an equidensity contour by a single exposure.
```

The single layer emulsion consists of $95 \% \mathrm{AgCl}$ and 5\% AgBr with a few $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ seeds. The development is done in a special bromide-free developer. The silver chloride is reduced to silver by chemical development in the highly exposed regions, and by physical development in the region of lower exposure, The more sensitive silver bromide is reduced to silver in the regions of intermediate exposure. The resultant bromide ions prevent further development of silver chloride in this region and thus a less darkened contour is obtained.

A schematic characteristic curve of Agfa-contour is presented in Figure 3.1. The silver chloride is largely sensitive to blue while the silver bromide not much sensitive to colour, the use of a yellow filter moves the negative part (part due to the physical develapment) towards higher exposure. Thus narrow ranges of density can be contoured by introducing yellow filters of high density.

A contour of first order can be used second order contours where the original contours are


Fig. 3.1
split into two. The process can be repeated further, but may result in a degradation of photometric quality at every such step.


#### Abstract

We have employed 3 mm of $0 G 1$ in conjunction with a commercial yellow filter. A density width of 0.15 in the first order and 0.02 in the second were achieved. The third order contours were. used only in the regions of lowest intensity variation outside the perinuclear formations. We have restricted ourselves to a density range between 0.50 to $1.5 D$ and used original photographs of different exposures to span a larger range in intensity.


We have exposed the photographs onto Agfacontour film through an enlarger. A working magnification of 4 X was employed for facilitating the superposition of contours. This magnification also allows the original resolution of the photograph to be retained since the resolution of the Agfacontour film is lower ( $401 \mathrm{pmm}{ }^{-1}$ ). The superposition of different pairs of contours was achieved by centering the corresponding centourb of field stars. The centroid of the contours of stars can be superposed more accurately in this way than in the conventional method of superposing the uncontoured inages of the stars directly.

As an illustration of the method, we present in Figure 3.2 the isodensity contours of NGC 1097. The



#### Abstract

inner regions are based on our photographs while the outer regions are based on three published prints (Sandage, 1961 p.46; Wolstencroft and Zealey, 1975 plates $I$ and II). The sky noise has been suppressed physically with Kodak Opaque. Two of the four jets discovered by Wolstencroft and Zealey (1975) and Lorre (1978) (see also Arp, 1976) are clearly visible.


### 3.3 Calibration of Isodensity Contours

The isodensity contours can be calibrated by obtaining a microphotometric scan of the original image such that the scan cuts across all the densities presented in the composite contours picture. The densities at the position of each contour can be measured and reduced to relative intensity by the usual methods employed in photographic photometry.

We have used a Carl Zeiss microphotometer with a chart recorder, equipped with a rotatable platform for the plate. It is necessary to position the central region of maximal density accurately on the path of the scan. We used two field stars to set the path of the scan accurately as follows. Denoting the centroids of the two stars by $A$ and $B$, and the density peak at the centre of the galaxy by $C$, the distauces $A B, B C$ and $A C$ were
accurately measured on the contour picture using an Abbe comparator. The included angle at $A$ was then calculated. While setting the plate at the beginning of a scan, the star A was initially centred to an accuracy of a few $\mu \mathrm{m}$, the line AB was aligned with the direction of scan and the platform was turned through the angle A about the star $A$ to bring the line $A C$ in the direction of scan. With an optimum distance of a few mililmetres between A and $C$ and with the least count $0^{\circ} 1$ of the platform, it was posibible to reach an accuracy of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the alignment of the scan path. A scanning aperture of $33 \mu \mathrm{~m} x 33 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was used which corresponds to a square of sides 0.5 arceec at the image scale of 15 arcsec mm ${ }^{1}$.

The characteristic curves for the photographic plates were obtained using the exposures obtained at an auxiliary spectrograph employing a calibxated rotating sector in front of a wide siit. The image tube response was assumed Iinear.

```
The photometric accuracy was estimated by comparing the reduced scans of different exposures of the same galaxy. The probable exror is \(0^{m} .05\) which is partly due to the inaccuracies in the orientation of the scam path. A comparison of two scans of the same plate, with the orientation arranged independently each time, gave a typical exror of 0.025 for the latter.
```


### 3.4 The Presentation of Surface Photometric Data

The results of the surface photometry in the integrated light have been presented graphically in Chapter $V$ in the following ways:
a) Isophotal contours or the isodensity contours calibrated in terms of relative intensities.
b) Equivalent luminosity profiles or the luminosity profiles as a function of an equivalent radius defined as $(A / J K)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $A$ is the area of an isophote of given intensity level.

Some gelaxies were observed in the wide blue and infrared bands (cf. section 3.1). The luminosity profiles for these galexies have been presented in Chapter VI both in blue and in infrared. The profiles have been obtained from the microphotometric scana along a line close to the major axis of the outer isophotes whenever possible. An accurate positioning on the major axis was not possible since the presence of a field star is necessary on the path of the scan for an accurate centering of the central peak in intensity. An estimated accuracy of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or better is achieved in centering the nucleus on the scan path (of. section 3.3).

### 3.5 Spectroscopic Observations

Slit spectra of the central regions of 22 galaxies were observed in the region $\lambda \lambda 4500-7000$. The slit was oriented east-west in general. The details of observation are presented in Table 3.2.

The spectrograph designed by Dr. M.K.V. Bappu is equipped with a mirror slit which facilitates accurate centering of faint objects. A mirrar collimator of 70 cm focal length and a camera of 17.5 cm focal length give a reduction of 4 between the slit and the plate, in normal situations. The Varo 8605 image tube described in section 1 is placed at the focus of the carnera and the spectrograms are obtained with the IInad plates in contact at the output of the image tube.

A grating with 80 grooves $\operatorname{man}^{-1}$ blazed at $8700 \AA$ was used to obtain some spectrograms in the first order red at a dispersion of $607 \% \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Another grating with 300 grooves $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ blazed at $6400 \AA$ gave a dispersion of $166 \%$ $m^{-1}$ in the same region. The latter grating was used for sometime at negative angles (blaze direction towards the camera) which gave a dispersion of $1468 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. As Hollars and Reitsema (1975) have shown, the mounting at positive angles results in less light lass at the

## Table 3.2

## Details of Siit Spectrograms

| NGC | Dispersion $\left(\AA_{\mathrm{mm}^{-1}}\right)$ | Emission Lines detected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | 166 | None |
| 922 | 607, 146 | $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$, [NII] |
| 1140 | 166 | $H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta},[0 I I I]$, faint [NII], [SII] |
| 1326 | 607, 166 | $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha},[\mathrm{NII}]$ faint [SII] |
| 1808 | 607 | $\mathrm{H} \alpha+[\mathrm{NII}]$ [ [SII] |
| 2196 | 607, 166 | None |
| 2763 | 607, 166 | None |
| 2903 | 146 | $H_{\alpha},[N I I]$, faint [SII] |
| 2935 | 607. 166 | None |
| 2997 | 607, 166 | $H_{\alpha},[\mathrm{NII}]$, faint [SII $]$ |
| 3177 | 607 | $H \alpha+[N I I]$, faint [SII] |
| 3310 | 607 | $H_{\alpha}+[N I I],[S I I], f a i n t ~ H \beta,[0 I I I]$ |
| 3346 | 607 | faint $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}+$ NII Suspected |
| 3611 | 607 | $H_{\alpha}+[N I I]$, faint [SII] |
| 3627 | 607 | None |
| 3955 | 607 | faint $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}+[\mathrm{NII}]$ |
| 3956 | 607 | faint $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}+$ [NII] |
| 4064 | 607 | $\mathrm{H} \alpha+[\mathrm{NII}]$ |
| 5430 | 607 | faint H ${ }_{\text {c }}$ +[NII], [SII] |
| 5597 | 607 | $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}+[\mathrm{NII}],[S I I]$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5728 \\ & 5850 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 607,166 \\ & 607 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { None }}{\mathrm{H}_{a}}[\mathrm{NII}],[O I I I], \text { faint } H_{\beta},[S I I]$ |

```
gratings while giving slightly lower dispersion. This
method was adopted during rest of the observing
programme.
```

The radial velocities were measured for the observed galaxies whenever emission lines were detected. Our values (Prabhu, 1978) agree well with the values published earlier, whenever available. They also agree well with the values of Sandage (1978) who has used spectra with similar dispersion (see Table 3.3). The discordant value for NGC 5430 is most likely due to the faintness of the emission lines measured ( $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ by us, and $H$, by Sandage). Further spectra were not obtained after Sandage's (1978) results were published since they included most of the galaxies from our list for which no spectroscopic information was published previously.

The spectrograms were scanned to obtain the profiles of emission lines when present. The emission lines detected in the spectra of the observed galaxies have been listed in Table 3.2.

### 3.6 Spectroscopy with a wide slit

A spectrograph can be used to obtain monochromatic 1mages of high purity. The principle was first utilized

Table 3.3


$$
\text { TP: Prabhu (1978) ; }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.1) \text { Sandage }\left(\begin{array}{c}
1978) \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right) \text { Simkin } \begin{array}{l}
1975
\end{array}\right) \\
(1975)
\end{array}\right.
$$


#### Abstract

in solar prominence spectroscopy when a wide slit permits viewing the spatial aspects of a prominence. The spectrom scope used for such a purpose needs ofcourse high dispersive power. When it is required to obtain monochromatic images of objects of small angular dimensions in the light of bright emission lines, it is sufficient to widen the slit of a spectrograph to let the integrated image pass throughe The widening is consistent with the dispersion used, This technique is especially valuable when one wants to intercompare the surface intensity distribution In the light of different emission lines. Bappu et al (1974; see also Bappu, 1978) have obtained the monochromatic images of the nucleus of comet Kohoutek in the 1 ines $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ of sodium, by such a technique, while E111ot and Meaburn (1973) have studied the core of Orion nebula in the lines of $[O I I] \lambda \lambda 3726,3729$ in the same manner.


We have observed NGC 2903. 5236 and 5728 with similar techniques.

The velocity field in galaxies may distort the image even over the small extent of perinuclear formations. Hence, it is necessary to optimize the diepersion employed, We have chosen a dispersion of $1608 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ for the observation
of NGC 2903 and 5728. The velocity resolution at this dispersion $\left(20 \mu m=150 \mathrm{Km} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right.$ ) is low enough to keep the distortion to a minimum.

The distortion of the image due to the velocity field, on the other hand, can be used for an estimation of the velocity field itself. We illustrate this by the monochromatic images of NGC 5236 at a higher dispersion of $30 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ (Chapter VII).

Another source of distortion, which is common for all grating spectrographs, is Bowen's anamorphic reduction. This reduction $R$ from the silt to the image plane is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\cos \beta}{\cos \alpha} \frac{F_{\operatorname{col} 1}}{F_{c a m}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the direction of dispersion where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the angle of incidence and of diffraction at the grating, $F_{\text {coll }}$ the focal length of collimator and $F_{c a m}$ the focal length of the camera. The reduction along the length of the slit is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{F_{c o 11}}{F_{c a m}} \tag{3,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a contraction is introduced in the direction of dispersion by a factor of $\cos \beta / \cos \alpha$. The spectrom graph used by us has a fixed angle of $60^{\circ}$ between the collimated beam and the diffracted beam. One may then calculate the ratio $\cos \beta / \cos \alpha$ employing the grating formula and the condition $(\alpha+\beta)=60^{\circ}$. The corresponding value at the $1668 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion (grating with 300 grooves $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) amounts to 1.12 while at the $30 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion (grating with 1800 grooves $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) it is 3.35, a much higher value.

The largest possible slit width is fixed by the condition that the images of $H \alpha$ and [NII] $\lambda 6584$ should be resolved. This corresponds to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or 9 arcsec in the case of $166 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion (reduction: 4.5) and to 9 mm or 135 arcsec in the case of $308 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion (reduction: 13.4). While we have employed the limiting value at $166 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion we used only a 2 ma slit at the $30 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, to let in only the central not spots of NGC 5236, thus enhancing the contrast between the continuum and the emission lines, and not losing spatial resolution.

## GHAPTER 4

 A MORPHOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF PEKINUCLEAR FORMATIONSA morphological classification should precede any
further study of perinuclear formations, since it helps
to recognize the properties that are physically and
dynamically significant. We propose a morphological
classification scheme of perinuclear formations in the
following, based only on the geometrical aspects. We
will correlate it with the spectroscopic information on
the stellar and gaseous content. We will also look for
the correlations of different classes of objects with the
morphology of outer regions as well as with the descrip-
tions of central regions at lower resolution. Finally
we will compare the structure in the central region of
our galaxy with the perinuclear formations reported here
and classify the former.
4.1 A Classification scheme of the Perinuclear Formations

The seeing limited photographs of the central
regions of 50 galaxies listed in Table 3.1 show three
major types of formations:


#### Abstract

a) Elliptical formations of smooth intensity distribution (Class $\in$ ), of ten showing a bright nucleus superposed on these. The nucleus is generally redder than the perinuclear formation. Typical examples are NGC 210, NGC 1300 and NGC 2196.


b) 'Hot spots' arranged in certain degree of spiral symmetry about a relatively redder central spot (Class $\sigma$ )。 There is an underlying redder population of smooth intensity distribution which delineates this spiral pattern better. Typical exanples are NGC 1097, NGC 1365 and NGC 2903.
c) Irregular formations (Class 2 ) forming a short barlike pattern of non-uniform surface brightness. The surface brightness of this type of formations is generally much lower than that of type $\sigma$ or type $\epsilon$ formations. Typical examples are NGC 255, NGC 925 and NGC 7741.

The transitions from one type of perinuclear formations to another is rather smooth and it is easy to recognize the types of intermediate nature $\mathcal{E} \sigma$ and $\sigma 2$ The type EO shows some rudimentary spiral pattern (e.g. NGC 1326). The transition from $\sigma$ to 2 appears rather abrupt though some galaxies labelled $\sigma$ by us (e.g. NGC 1808) show a slight tendency towards the group $\sigma \eta$. The galaxies included by us in Class $\sigma$ ?

```
generally have too few 'hot spots' like the 'dumbbell like' formations (Sersic, 1973) in NGC 1087 and NGC 1140.
```

Finally, a few galaxies could not be classified according to the above scheme. These galaxies listed as ' $\mathcal{K}$ ' in Table 4.1 have compact perinuclear formations which appear similar to the type $N$ described by Morgan (1958) in that the formations are brilliant and small; our oxamples could be slightly more compact on an average. Some of these (e.g. NGC 7769) could be probably distant examples of types $\epsilon$ or $\sigma$, that have inadequate angular resolution, while some could be nuclei without bright perinuclear formation. Formations seen in NGC 1087 and NGC 1140 (Nucleus + one hot spot ?) could possibly be transitions between the classes $\sigma$ and $\mathcal{K}$, though placed in $\sigma 2$ by us.

Table 4.1 summarizes our classification of observed galaxies while contrast prints of all the observed galaxies are presented in Figure 4.1 through 4.6.

### 4.2 Stellar and Gaseous content of different Classes of

## Perinuclear Formations

The central hot spots of galaxies have been extensively observed since the early work by the Burbidges

## Table 4. 1

Galaxies with Different Types of Parinuclear Formations

| $E$ | $\in \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | 52 | 2 | $\mathcal{K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | 1326 | 613 | 922 | 255 | 1530 |
| 1300 | 1415 | 1097 | 1087 | 925 | 3504 |
| 1433 | 1672 | 1365 | 1140 | 3206 | 3611 |
| 2196 | 2763 | 1808 | 4369 | 3346 | 4151 |
| 2935 | 4258 | 2903 |  | 3955 | 4212 |
| 3627 | 5248 | 2997 |  | 3956 | 4250 |
| 4124 | 5597 | 3177 |  | 4064 | 7769 |
| 4245 | 6907 | 3310 |  | 7741 |  |
| 5850 | 7552 | 3351 |  |  |  |
| 6951 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7410 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5236 \\ & 5728 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
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```
and their associates (cf. Osmer et al. 1974 and references therein). Hot spots are observed to be similar to other extragalactic HIl regions, except that they are more reddened as indicated by the large \(H_{\alpha} / \mathrm{H} \beta\) ratio and strong absorption line of NaI D.
```

The Class $\sigma$ comprises of the brighter of the formations of hot spots while Class 2 consists of the fainter formations. The interpretation of published spectra of typical members of these classes is consistent with the idea that the formations $\sigma$ and 2 have undergone recent bursts of star formation resulting in bright ionized regions around young $O$ and $B$ type stars.

The formations of Class $\dot{E}$, on the other hand, generally present only absorption lines in their spectra, apart from the emission of [OII] $\lambda$ 3727. This fact is borne out by our observations listed in Table 3.2 and also by the observations of Sandage (1978) for NGC 2196, 2935 and 4124 and of de Vaucouleurs and de Vaucouleurs (1961) for NGC 1433. The Class $\in$ formation of NGC 6951 is the only known exception to this observation (Burbidge, 1962).

The Class $\in \sigma$ appears similar to class $\sigma$ in its apectroscopic properties. It may be noted, however, that

```
one member of this class, NGC 2763, does not show any
emission line (Table 3.2; Sandage, 1978).
    The differences between E formations and the
Classes \epsilon\sigma and \sigma are apparent in their colours too.
Adopting one-tenth of the face-on diameter (RCBG) as the
approximate size of the perinuclear formations, we find
that E formations are redder in both (U-B) o and (B-V)o
colours corrected for the absorption in our galaxy
(Figure 4.7).
```

4.3 Correlations with the Types of Parent Galaxies

We have noted in Section 3.3 that a subgroup associated with Sc and Scd galaxies with lower luminosity exists among the perinuclear formations. We will presently examine the mean types of the parent galaxies of different classes of formations in order to identify this class with one of the morphological classes described in Section 4.1. These investigations will also assist in the discoyery of possible correlations between the morphology of perinuclear formations and the outer morphology of galaxies.

We plot in Figure 4.8 a the average morphological types of the parent galaxies for the different perinuclear clusses. A correlation is evident; the mean type of the


Fig. 4.7 TWO COLOUR DIAGRAM FOR PERINUCLEAR FORMATIONS
parent galaxy varies smoothly from type Sab at $\in$ formations to the Sc at 2 formations. Correlations are also apparent with the DLO luminosity types (Figure 4.8b), Yerkes types (rigure 4.8c) and de Vaucouleur's luninosity index (Figure 4.8d). Mean lines are drawn in the figure taking note of the fact that class $\sigma \eta$ contains too few galaxies for estimating rellable average type, "and that NGC 2763 deviates greatly from the average of its Class $\in \mathbb{O}$; Class $\mathcal{K}$ is excluded since it does not follow the sequence $\in-Z$. All of these correlations may not be independent, since correlations of the morphological types with DDO types as well as Yerkes types have been noted already (cf. de Vaucouleurs, 1977; Morgan et al. 1971).

Finally, we plot mean byurakan types of different perinuclear formations in Figure $4.8 e$. We have treated the clasa 2s as similar to Class 2. The mean types are Indicated also when we follow the sequence of Byurakan classes 1-3-4-2-5-2s suggested by Tovmassian and Terzian (1973). Though weak correlations as shown are apparent one needs to be cautious since the low resolution employed for the Byurakan classification renders the latter as only indicative. Our results presented in Chapter $V$ show that the nucleus appears less and less


PERINUCLEAR TYPE
PERINUCLEAR TYPE
Fig. 4.8 Mean Morphological types (a), DDO types (b), Yerkes types (c), Luminosity Indices(d), and Byurakan types (e) for different classes of perinuclear formations. Crosses and the dashed line in (e)correspond to ordinates at left.

```
dominant as we move from Classes E to 2 ; the
central condensation within the perinuclear formations
also decreases in the same order.
```


### 4.4 Morphology of the Galactic Centre

The centre of our galaxy is a few thousand times closer to us than the galaxies investigated here. The structure around the galactic centre can consequently be studied at a high spatial resolution. Though our position in the plane of the disc forbids the observations in the optical rasge, investigations have been carried out at the infrared and the longer wavelengths (cf. Oort, 1977 for a review). The continuum radiation at a few microns wavelength is dominated by the stellar component, at $10-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ by the hot dust, at a few centimeters by the thermal radiation from ionized hydrogen, and at decimeter wavelengths by non-thermal radiation. The 21-cin observations yield the meutral hydrogen component while the molecular lines of hydroxyl, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide and others yield information on the molecular cloud complexes. The recombination lines, especially that of Nell line at $12.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $H 109 \alpha$ at 5010 MHz , have been used to map the nuclear HII complex.

The thermal radiation from the stellar component, hot dust and HII regions as well as the molecular lines and the recombination lines could be used to construct a composite picture of the galactic centre in the optical region. While the $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ observations of Becklin and Neugebauer (1968) cover only a radius of 50 pc from the centre, the thermal radiation at centimeter wavelengths has been observed over a larger spatial range (Downes and Maxwell, 1966). The latter observations reveal several HII regions, the brightest one centered at the 2.2 14 m peak and the brightest source at all the wavelengths -- Sagittarius $A$. While this peak resembles the nuclei observed in other galaxies, the remaining HII regions appear similar to the hot spots, seen around the nuclear hot spot.

We proceed to compare the 3.75 cm map of the galactic centre with our isophotes of the central region of NGC 2903, one of the nearest Class $\sigma$ formation in our list. The galactocentric radial velocity of the dynamical centre of NGC 2903 is $375 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ (Simkin, 1975). Assuming a Hubble constant of $50 \mathrm{kms}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, we obtain a distance estimate of 7.7 Mpc. At this distance one second of arc corresponds to 37.5 pc. The resolution employed by Downes and Maxwell (1966) is 4'. 2 or roughly


```
10 pc at an estimated distance of 8.7 kpc of the
galactic centre (Oort and Plaut, 1975). Thus there is
no great disparity in the resolutions of these two
pictures of the galactic centre and the centre of
NGC 2903.
```

We reproduce in Figure 4.9 the 3.75 cm map of Downes and Maxwell (1966) as well as the isophotes of NGC 2903. The spatial scale of the map of galactic centre is arranged to match the scale of the map of NGC 2903. The resemblance is striking.

The nucleus of NGC 2903 which is not noticeable on the blue pictures (cf. Figure 3 of Oka et al. 1974) is prominent in our isophotes. This nucleus is very red and appears on our photograph because of the $\mathrm{S}-20$ response of the Varo 8605 to wavelengths upto 8700\&.

## CHAPTER 5

## PHOTOGRAPHIC SURFACE PHOTOMETRY OF PERINUCLEAR FORMATLONS

The distribution of surface brightness is a very good indicator of the surface distribution of mass density when the contributions from gas and different types of stars stay constant relative to each other, within the area being investigated. Hence, the surface photometric parameters have been widely used for obtaining structural models of systems of stars and galaxies (King, 1966; Freeman, 1970).

The luminosity profiles of galaxies are also used for isolating different subsystems of spiral galaxies. The major subsystems like the bulge and the exponential disc can be identified very easily in the luminosity profiles. Likewise, the perinuclear formations are also apparent in the luminosity profiles and can be recognized by a point of inflexion in them. The surface photometric data also includes the shapes and orientations of the equal intensity contours which are useful, in the particular case of perinuclear formations, to oheck for the presence of triaxial, prolate spheroidal or ovoidal distortions.

### 2.1 Presentation of Data

We present in Figure 5.1 through 5.27, the calibrated equal intensity contouns of perinuclear formations of some of the salaxies from Table 3.1. The intensities relative to the central peak are tabulated in Tables 5.1 through 5.27 for all the galaxies. The 1sophotes are numbered in an increasing numerical oxder from the centre outwards.

The luminosity distribution is also presented graphically as equivalent luminosity profiles in figure 5.28 onwards. These profiles show the variation in the relative intensity as a function of the equivalent radius defined as $r^{*}=(A / \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $A$ is the area of a given isophotal contour. The equivalent radius defines mean radius and is useful also when the surface intensity distribution does not exhibit a circular or elliptical symuetry. The equivalent luminosity is obtained by integrating the intensities over an area. The equivalent luminosity profile thus represents the area with surface brightness higher than a given value. Keeping this aspect in mind, we have summed all the areas enclosed by different unconnected contours of a

given intensity while constructing equivalent luminosity profiles of formations with hot spots. However, the contrast between the hot spots and the background is lower in our pictures in integrated light ( $\lambda \lambda$ 40008700) than in the blue or $H_{a}$ pictures, generally published. Hence, such unconnected regions are few and account for less than ten per cent of the total area.

The following sections include notes on and descriptions of the individual objects.
5.2 NGC 210

This galaxy has a bright elliptical perinuclear formation typical of Class $\in$. A starlike nucleus is visible both in the blua and in the infrared; an example of Byurakan Class 3 that exhibits a nucleus at higher resolution. The nucleus is siightly displaced (~1 arcsec) from the centroid of the perinuclear formation. The latter presents a sliglatly oval shape.

The isophotes are presented in Figure 5.1 and the corresponding intensities listed in Table 5.1. The outer isophotes (lens and the spiral arms) are obtained from the print published by Sandage (1961) and hence could not be calibrated for intensity. The equivalent Luminosity profile is shown in Figure 5.28.


```
The contours within the perinuclear formation are nearly elliptical. The position angle of the major axes of these ellipses decreases from \(40^{\circ}\) at the semimajor axis \(a=5\) arcsec to \(350^{\circ}\) at \(a=25\) arcsec to rise again to \(0^{\circ}\) which is the same as the position angle of the lens. The ellipticity, e increases from \(e=0\) for the nucleus to \(e=0.9\) at \(a=60\) arcsec, decreasing thereafter to \(e=0.8\) at \(a=90\) arcsec, a value close to e \(=0.75\) suggested by the axial ratio 0.66 of RCBG.
```


### 5.3 NGC 255

This galaxy has a perinuclear formation typical of Class 2 in shape, though its surface brightness is above the average of its ciass. The formation is barlike and is displaced by about 4 arcsec to the east of the centre of the outer contours (Figure 5.2). There is an indication that the position angle of the bar and also the axial ratio vary from centre outwards as in the manner observed in NGC 210 (Section 5.2). Several condensations outside of the perinuclear formation appear to possess directional symmetry with respect to the latter. A starlike condensations, or a field star appears at 20 arcsec from the centre of the formation at a position angle of $259^{\circ}$.


```
The equal intensity contours are shown in Figure 5.2, the intensity values in Table 5.2 and the equiva1ent luminosity profile in Figure 5.32.
```


### 5.4 NGC 613

We have grouped this galaxy with class $\sigma$ though the central hot spots are not as distinot as in the typical examples. The contours are presented in Figure 5.3. The spiral pattern of the perinuclear formation appears rudinentary, but mimics the outer spiral structure of the galaxy. The outer contours of the formation are elliptical with an oval distortion. The position angle of the major axis and also the axial ratio appear to vary. The nucleus is identifiable, and is brighter in the infrared (cf. Figure 4.3). The equivalent intensity profile is shown in figure 5.30 and listed in Table 5.3.

### 5.5 NGC 922

A formation typical of class $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{2}$. The contours are presented in Figure 5.4, intensities listed in Table 5.4 and the equivalent luminosity profile shown in Figure 5.31. The irregular extension of the outer contours to the south-west has the effect of reducing the slope of the outer region of the equivalent luminosity profile.


### 5.6 NGC 1087

This galaxy has a formation similar to that of NGC 255 (class 2), but is classed $\sigma 2$ by us due to higher central condensation. The central peak, which probably contains an unresolved nucleus, is situated midway between the centre of the outer contours and the centre of the perinuclear formation itself. These are about 5 arcsec apart at a position angle of $130^{\circ}$. Several condensations in the main body of the galaxy have a directional symmetry about the intensity peak as in the case of NGC 255.

The contours are presented in Figure 5.5 and the intensities are listed in Figure 5.5. The equivalent luminosity profile is shown in Figure 5.31.

### 5.7 NGC 1097

This is a typical example of class $\sigma$ with bright hot spots arranged in a spiral pattern about a bright nucleus. The spiral pattern mimics the outer spiral arms of the galaxy except that the latter emerge from the end is of a central bar. The outer contours of the formation are oval.


The contours are presented in Figure 5.6. The centre of the formation is 13 arcsec to the South-East of the centre of the bar. This may in part be due to the interaction with NGC 1097A which is $3^{\prime} .5$ to the North-West along the same position angle. Disturbances of this nature are evident on photographs of this galaxy (cf. Wolstencroft and Zealey, 1975).

The intensity values corresponding to different contours are listed in Table 5.6 and the equivalent profile is shown in Figure 5.30.

### 5.8 NGC 1140

Described by Sersic (1973) as dumb bell-like, the central region of this galaxy has two bright condensations symmetrically placed with respect to the centre of the outer contours of the perinuclear formation. The separation between the peaks in intensity is only 1.6 arcsec. The outer contours show a silght spiral distortion. Our classification as $\sigma 2$ is rather uncertain and the formation appears more like a transition between $E$ and $\mathcal{K}$ with two nuclei instead of only one.

The contours appear in figure 5.7, the intensity Values in Table 5.7 and the equivalent luminosity profile in Figure 5.31.


### 5.9 NGC 1300


#### Abstract

The class $E$ perinuclear formation of this galaxy is similar to that of NGC 210 except that the nucleus is less bright in the former and the outer edge of the formation slightly diffuse. The position angle of the major axis and the ellipticities vary in a manner similar to that of NGC 210.


The contours presented in Figure 5.8 include the contours of the bar and the outer spiral arms obtained from the print published by Sandage (1961). We have not calibrated them for intensity. The intensities of all the other contours are listed in Table 5.8, while the equivalent luminosity profile is drawn in Figure 5.28.

### 5.10 NGC 1326

This galaxy has a perinuclear formation similar to those of NGC 210 and NGC 1300, but the edge of the formation is more diffuse than either of them, and the central condensation sonewhat less. These facts make it appear like a transition between $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$ and hence classed so by us.


The contours are presented in figure 5.9 and the intensity values listed in Table 5.9. There is a discontinuous change in the position angle of the major axis within the fornation and in the outer contours. The formation is most likely a triaxial one as it resembles in this fact the 'bulge' of M31 ( $\sim 2$ kpc) for which stark (1977) has fitted triaxial models.

The equivalent luminosity profile is drawn in Figure 5.29.
5.11 NGC 1365

This is another typical example of a class $\sigma$ perinuclear formation. The nucleus is, however, muoh brighter - the brightest umong the $\sigma$ formations Investigated. It is extremely red (cf. Chapter 6). It may be noted that soft x-rays are detected from this galaxy (Ward et al. 1978), the two facta could be correlated.

The contours are presented in Figure 5.10 and the intensity values are listed in Table 5.10. The spiral pattern of the formation resembles the outer spiral arms of the galaxy. The equivalent luminosity profile is plotted in Figure 5.30.


### 5.12 NGC 1415

This is another example of E $\boldsymbol{\text { type formation. }}$ The contours are presented in Figure 5.11 and the equivalent luminosity profile in Table 5.11 and Figure 5.29.

## $5.13 \quad$ NGC 1433

This galaxy has a class $\in$ formation with a slight distortion of the outer elliptical isophotes in the east. The major axis of the formation makes an angle of about $60^{\circ}$ with the major axis of the main body of the galaxy. Contours are presented in Figure 5.12, and the equivalent profiles in Table 5.12 and Figure 5.28.
5.14 NGC 1530

A weak $\sigma$ formation has a compact $\mathcal{K}$ type for the central region. The spiral pattern of the irner regions opens out in the same direction as the outer spiral arms, but is wound tighter. The contours appear in Figure 5.13, and the equivalent profile in Figure 5.30 and in Table 5.13.



### 2.15 NGC 1672

This galaxy is grouped with EO formations because of some indications of rudimentary spiral structure.in the outermost regions of the perinuclear part of the galaxy. The inner isophotes exhibit pronounced oval distortion with a variation of position angle. The contours are presented in Figure 5.14 while the equivalent luminosity profile appears in figure 5.29 and Table 5.14.

### 5.16 NGC 1808

This has a perinuclear formation somewhat similar to that of NGC 613, but it is more elongated. The nucleus is brighter relative to NGC 613. A rudimentary spiral structure is seen in the inner most region in the same direction as the outer spiral structure. Even the edge of the formation exhibits.slight spiral distortion. The contours are presented in Figure 5.15 and the equivalent profile in Table 5.15 and Figure 5*30.

### 5.17 NGC 2196

This galaxy has an $E$ formation whioh tends a little towards $\sigma$. The outer isophotes of the formation resemble the outermost isophote of the perinuclear formation of NGC 613. The oval distortion is apparent.


Contours appear in Figure 5.16 and profile in Table 5.16 and Figure 5.28.
5.18 NGC 2903


#### Abstract

The $\sigma$ type formation of this galaxy has already been compared with that of our galaxy, (Seotion 4.4). The hot spots are very bright and distinct. The central hot spot is very red and very much fainter than the other hot spots seen on blue photographs (Oka et al 1974 also cf. Chapter VI). The spiral pattern might be present with high inclination, but it is difficult to discern this due to the domination by a few bright not spots. The symmetry of the hot spots with respect to the central red nucleus is evident. The outermost contours of the formation are distorted in a fashion intermediate between oval and spiral distortion (Figure 5.17). If the identification of this distortion is taken to be a spiral pattern, its direction is opposite to that of the outer spiral arms.


The equivalent luminosity profile is presented in Figure 5.30 and Table 5.17.
5.19 NGC 2935

[^3]


#### Abstract

formation is at an angle of approximately $30^{\circ}$ with the major axis of the main body (figure 5.18). A field atar is superposed near the edge of the formation and has been excluded from the equivalent luminosity profile (Figure 5.28, Table 5.18).


5.20 NGC 2997

The perinuclear formation of this galaxy is marginally in the $\sigma$ class with a tendency towards $\in$. The outer isophote of the formation exhibits oval distortion. The nucleus is asymmetrically placed with respect to the centre of the intermediate contours (Figure 5.19). The equivalent luminosity profile appears in'Figure 5.30 and Table 5.19.

### 5.21 NGC 3177

The central region of this galaxy shows spiral distortion typical of a $\sigma$ type perinuclear formation. However, distinct hot spots are not visible. The contours are presented in Figure 5.20. The equivalent luminosity profile is shown in Figure 5.30 and the intensity values are iisted in Table 5.20.
5.22 NGC 3310
A.typical $\sigma$ type formation. Hot spots and spiral distortion are apparent in the contours presented



```
in Figure 5.21. The equivalent luminosity profile is
presented in Figure 5.30 and Table 5.21.
```

5.23 NGC 3351

Another example of $\sigma$ type perinuclear formation. Spiral distortion is, however, not very clear. Distinct hot spots are seen symmetrically placed with respect to the nucleus (Figure 5.22). The equivalent luminosity profile appears in Figure 5.30 and is listed in Table 5.22.
5.24 NGC 3627

Grouped with class $E$, the perinuclear formation of this galaxy is not a typical example of the class. The contours presented in Figure 5.23.show that though the central region has nearly elliptical isophotes, the outer regions of the formation exhibit spiral distortion. Considering the fact that the perinuclear formation of this galaxy is the brightest among those investigated by us, one might expect such spiral distortions to be present in all classes of perinuclear formations. Some of these may, however, be too faint to be easily noticed in members of the group.


The equivalent luninosity profile is presented in Figure 5.28 and Table 5.23.
5.25 NGC 3955

The perinuclear formation of this galaxy is typical of formations of class 2 . Hot spots are apparent in the contours presented in Figure 5.24. A. slight spiral distortion is also present. The equivalent luminosity profile is presented in Figure 5.32 and listed in Table 5.24.
$5.26 \quad$ NGC 4064

This is another example of $Z$ type formations. The contours presented in Figure 5.25 appear barlike. A few hot spots are seen in the central regions. The equivalent luminosity profile appears in Figure 5.32 and in Table 5.25.
5.27 NGC 5236

The perinuclear formation of this galaxy is a system with bright hot spots and is grouped with class $\sigma$ by us. The oval distortions can be seen on the contours presented in Figure 5.26. No apiral features are evident. NGC 5236 is a relatively nearby galaxy (distance of 5.5 Mpc from the velocity $275 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$

of Tuble 3.3 and $H_{0}=50$ kins ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$ ). One may thus be looking at only the inner-most regions of the formation. Most probably we would have grouped the perinuclear formation of this galaxy with class $\mathcal{K}$, if the galaxy were placed ten times farther, an average distance of the galaxies investigated by us. The equivalent luminosity profile presented in Figure 5.30 and in Table 5.26 favour this point of view.

### 5.28 NGC 7741

This is another example of 2 type formations. Several faint hot spots are visible in the barlike formation of low surface brightness (Figune 5.27). The equivalent luminosity profile appears in figure 5.32 and in Table 5.27.

## Table 5.1

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 210

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.61 | 0.747 |
| 2 | 1.25 | 0.569 |
| 3 | 2. 74 | 0.140 |
| 4 | 3.03 | 0.121 |
| 5 | 4.33 | -0.208 |
| 6 | 4.87 | -0.247 |
| 7 | 6.21 | -0.367 |
| 8 | 6,65 | -0.526 |
| 9 | 7.41 | -0.593 |
| 10 | 8.62 | -0.628 |
| 11 | 10.79 | -0.682 |
| 12 | 11.75 | -0.685 |
| 13 | 20.36 | -0.738 |
| 14 | 25.65 | -0.757 |

## Table 5.2

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 255

| Isophote | Equival ent <br> Radius <br> (arcsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 1.17 | 0.976 |
| 1 | 2.01 | 0.880 |
| 2 | 2.95 | 0.692 |
| 3 | 4.22 | 0.538 |
| 4 | 6.96 | 0.308 |
| 5 | 14.77 | 0.052 |
| 6 | 19.41 | 0.009 |

## Table 5.3

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 613

| Isophote | Equivalent Hadius r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.33 | 0.985 |
| 2 | 0.73 | 0.895 |
| 3 | 1.41 | 0.833 |
| 4 | 2.13 | 0.807 |
| 5 | 2.71 | 0.698 |
| 6 | 3.89 | 0.559 |
| 7 | 5.16 | 0.547 |
| 8 | 5.79 | 0.480 |
| 9 | 7.96 | 0.305 |
| 10 | 11.71 | 0.100 |

## Table 5.4

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 922

| Isophote | quival <br> Liadiu <br> (arc | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.46 | 0.815 |
| 2 | 3. 58 | 0.635 |
| 3 | 4.28 | 0.465 |
| 4 | 5.96 | 0.395 |
| 5 | 11.90 | 0.320 |
| 6 | 24.11 | 0.260 |

## Table 5.5

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1087

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. 11 | 0.943 |
| 2 | 1.62 | 0.828 |
| 3 | 1.95 | 0.785 |
| 4 | 2.77 | 0.642 |
| 5 | 3.88 | 0.550 |
| 6 | 5.61 | 0.422 |
| 7 | 8.63 | 0.405 |
| 8 | - | - |
| 9 | 21.84 | 0.365 |

## Table 5,6

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1097

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | 1.71 |  |
| 1 | 5.65 | 0.715 |
| 2 | 6.82 | 0.425 |
| 3 | 10.27 | 0.298 |
| 4 | 10.98 | 0.137 |
| 5 | 12.53 | 0.090 |
| 6 | 13.55 | 0.059 |
| 7 | 17.07 | -0.003 |
| 8 | 18.87 | -0.115 |
| 9 | 23.55 | -0.135 |
| 10 | 29.54 | -0.205 |
| 11 | 39.92 | -0.280 |
| 12 | 51.94 | -0.300 |
| 13 |  | -0.396 |

## Table 5.7

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1140

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.34 | 0.959 |
| 2 | 1.97 | 0.725 |
| 3 | 2.69 | 0.544 |
| 4 | 6.43 | 0.135 |
| 5 | 7.36 | 0.107 |

## Table 5.8

Equivalent Luninosity Profile of NGC 1300

| Isophote | Equivalent Kadiua <br> r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 1.000 |
| 2 | 2.83 | 0.701 |
| 3 | 4.36 | 0.597 |
| 4 | 5.61 | 0.482 |
| 5 | 8.44 | 0.373 |
| 6 | 12.01 | 0.193 |
| 7 | 19.17 | 0.111 |

## Table 5.9

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1326

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius $r^{*}$ (arcsec) | Log 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.23 | 0.893 |
| 2 | 3.10 | 0.724 |
| 3 | 4.50 | 0.555 |
| 4 | 5.68 | 0.380 |
| 5 | 8.05 | 0.190 |
| 6 | 15.83 | 0.002 |
| 7 | 29.17 | -0.148 |

## Table 5.10

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1365


## Table 5.11

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1415

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Kadius <br> r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.81 | 0.670 |
| 2 | 2.62 | 0.583 |
| 3 | 2.82 | 0.560 |
| 4 | 3.15 | 0.368 |
| 5 | $3 \cdot 50$ | 0.345 |
| 6 | 6.45 | 0.242 |
| 7 | 7.38 | 0.192 |
| 8 | 9.22 | 0.152 |
| 9 | 11.46 | 0.142 |
| 10 | 21.76 | 0.042 |

## Tab1e 5.12

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1433

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> (arcsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots$ | 2.31 |  |
| 1 | 2.61 | 0.820 |
| 2 | 4.50 | 0.798 |
| 3 | 4.68 | 0.635 |
| 4 | 5.46 | 0.620 |
| 5 | 6.03 | 0.575 |
| 6 | 8.12 | 0.561 |
| 7 | 8.37 | 0.499 |
| 8 | 10.74 | 0.438 |
| 9 | 13.07 | 0.310 |
| 10 | 30.48 | 0.213 |
| 11 | 43.80 | 0.119 |

## Table 5.13

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1530

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> (arcsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots$ | 1.49 |  |
| 1 | 2.13 | 0.889 |
| 2 | 3.04 | 0.810 |
| 3 | 4.03 | 0.693 |
| 4 | 7.36 | 0.643 |
| 5 | 8.26 | 0.583 |
| 6 | 10.15 | 0.563 |
| 7 | 13.37 | 0.513 |
| 8 | 34.76 | 0.491 |
| 9 |  | 0.403 |

## Table 5.14

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1672

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius $r^{*}$ (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.40 | 0.965 |
| 2 | 3.01 | 0.947 |
| 3 | 3.62 | 0.776 |
| 4 | 4.84 | 0.628 |
| 5 | 5.73 | 0.556 |
| 6 | 8.33 | 0.487 |
| 7 | 8.84 | 0.297 |
| 8 | 19.38 | 0.132 |
| 9 | 36.78 | Q. 122 |

## Table $5 \cdot 15$

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 1808

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> nadius | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r*(arcsec) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.51 | 0.958 |
| 2 | 1.70 | 0.783 |
| 3 | 4.73 | 0.630 |
| 4 | 6.60 | 0.263 |
| 5 | 10.96 | 0.153 |

## Table 5.16

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 2196

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> r* (ercsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0.47 |  |
| 1 | 2.11 | 0.922 |
| 2 | 5.54 | 0.597 |
| 3 | 9.07 | 0.504 |
| 4 | 18.80 | 0.367 |
| 5 | 34.58 | 0.056 |
| 6 |  | 0.006 |

## Table 5.17

Equivalent Luminosity Prafile of NGC 2903

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius r* (arcsec) | Log 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.40 | 0.950 |
| 2 | 1.45 | 0.833 |
| 3 | 2.92 | 0.728 |
| 4 | 5.05 | 0.652 |
| 5 | 6.23 | 0.600 |
| 6 | 8.89 | 0.450 |
| 7 | 12.25 | 0.385 |
| 8 | 13.58 | 0.380 |

## Table 5.18

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 2935

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 1 | 0.32 | 0.980 |
| 2 | 0.78 | 0.870 |
| 3 | 2.42 | 0.702 |
| 4 | 3.07 | 0.602 |
| 5 | 3.35 | 0.503 |
| 6 | 4.51 | 0.419 |
| 7 | 5.24 | 0.297 |
| 8 | 5.83 | 0.262 |
| 9 | 11.05 | 0.092 |
| 10 | 16.25 | 0.065 |

## Table 5,19

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 2997

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Kadius r* (arcsec) | $\boldsymbol{L o g} 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.69 | 0.897 |
| 2 | 1.47 | 0.759 |
| 3 | 2.68 | 0.614 |
| 4 | 4.37 | 0.567 |
| 5 | 5.29 | 0.457 |
| 6 | 6.22 | 0.422 |
| 7 | 8.77 | $0: 292$ |
| 8 | 15.67 | 0.177 |

## Table 5.20

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 3177

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> R* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  | 0.45 | 0.838 |
| 1 | 1.55 | 0.588 |
| 2 | 2.54 | 0.513 |
| 3 | 8.09 | 0.383 |

## Tab1e 5.21

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 3310

| Isophotes | Equivalent Kadius r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.62 | 0.676 |
| 2 | 1.77 | 0.522 |
| 3 | 2.57 | 0.322 |
| 4 | 4.60 | 0.215 |
| 5 | 8.69 | 0. 188 |
| 6 | 9.48 | 0.082 |
| 7 | 10.01 | 0.066 |
| 8 | 11.19 | 0.007 |
| 9 | 12.67 | -0.013 |
| 10 | 17.77 | -0.039 |

## Table 5,22

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 3351

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius r* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.46 | 0.956 |
| 2 | 1.29 | 0.906 |
| 3 | 1.80 | 0.832 |
| 4 | 2.51 | 0.778 |
| 5 | 3.76 | 0.565 |
| 6 | 4.15 | 0.488 |
| 7 | 5.01 | 0.408 |
| 8 | 6.02 | 0.328 |
| 9 | 7.65 | 0.278 |
| 10 | 10.95 | 0.178 |

## Table 5,23

## Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 3627

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> F* (arcaec) | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.61 | 0.825 |
| 2 | 1.94 | 0.762 |
| 3 | 3.43 | 0.584 |
| 4 | 3.64 | 0.569 |
| 5 | 6.54 | 0.377 |
| 6 | 7.71 | 0.353 |
| 7 | 11.14 | 0.227 |
| 8 | 13.06 | 0.175 |
| 9 | 20.14 | 0.142 |
| 10 | 22.56 | 0.102 |
| 11 | 43.51 | -0.043 |
| 12 | 58.36 | -0.063 |

## Table 5.24

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 3955


## Table 5.25

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 4064

| Isophote | Equivalent Radius $r^{*}$ (arcsec) | Log $I$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.86 | 0.985 |
| 2 | 2.62 | 0.910 |
| 3 | 3.33 | 0.889 |
| 4 | 3. 50 | 0.855 |
| 5 | 4.13 | 0.805 |
| 6 | 8. 19 | 0.732 |
| 7 | 10.99 | 0.690 |

Table 5.26

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 5236

| Isophote | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equivalent } \\ & \text { Radius } \\ & \text { r* (arcsec) } \end{aligned}$ | Log I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.38 | 0.625 |
| 2 | 5.40 | 0.370 |
| 3 | 7.36 | 0.090 |
| 4 | 11.31 | -0. 135 |

## Table 5.27

Equivalent Luminosity Profile of NGC 7741

| Isophote | Equivalent <br> Radius <br> R* (arcsec) | Log I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.42 |  |
| 2 | 1.76 | 0.965 |
| 3 | 3.40 | 0.948 |
| 4 | 5.11 | 0.900 |
| 5 | 6.61 | 0.872 |
| 6 | 7.04 | 0.860 |
|  |  | 0.835 |



Fig.5.28 Equivalent luminosity pfotile of formations of class


Fig.5.29 Equivalent luminosity prothes of the formationg of class Eb.


Fig.5.30 Equivalent luminosity profiles of the formations of class 6.The $k$ type NGC1530 is also incluaded


Fig. 5.30 Continued


Fig. 5.31 Equivalent luminosity profles of the farmatone of ciass 6.


Fig. 5.32 Equivalent profiles of the formations of sidss t

## Chapter 6

## STRUCTUKE OF THE CENTRAL REGIONS OF GALAXIES


#### Abstract

The central regions of galaxies investigated in Chapters 4 and 5 are characterized by two distinct components: (a) a nucleus clearly visible in class $E$ less prominent in class $\sigma$ and probably absent in class 2 , and (b) a perinuclear formation. These two subsystems give rise to abrupt changes in the gradient of the equivalent'luminosity profiles (Figures 5.28-5.32). The structure and the content of the two subsystems may be investigated by the study of their colours and luminosity distributions and appear distinctly different. We will examine in the following sections the colour distributions of the central regions of a few galaxies and the mean equivalent profiles of the individual classes of the perinuclear formations.


6. 1 Colour Distribution in the Central Formations of

## Galaxies

The colour distribution proves very useful in estimating different populations and different subsystems in galaxies. With this aspect in mind we have observed one galaxy of type $E$ and five of type $\sigma$ in the bands

as farthest apart as possible with the image tube camera used ( $\lambda_{\text {eff }} 4200 \AA$ and $7600 \%$ ).

The blue, infrared and the blue-infrared colour profiles of NGC 210 are shown in Figure 6.1 (a) scanned along the position angle of $21^{\circ}$. The nucleus of this galaxy is redder than the perinuclear formation by $0^{m}$. 8. There is no appreciable variation in colour in the perinuclear region within a radius of 15 arcsec.

The blue, infrared and colour profiles of NGC 613 shown in Figure 6.1 (b) are also similar to the pattern exhibited by NGC 210, with the nucleus $0^{m} .4$ redder than the perinuclear region.

While the nucleus of NGC 1097 (Figure 6.1 c) is $0^{m} .43$ brighter than the outer parts of the perinuclear region, the region within 10 arcsecs of the centre is also redder. The reddest region outside of the nucleus is a diffuse emission region 6 arcsecs South-East of nucleus in the position angle of $58^{\circ}$.

NGC 1365 (Figure 6.1d) has a nucleus which is redder than the outer perinuclear region by $0^{m} .4$. The inner part has a plateau at $0^{m} .1$ colour with a peak of $0^{m} .2$ between the two hot spots 3.5 and 6.5 arcsecs North-West of the nucleus in the position angle $25^{\circ}$.


Fia. 6.1 Continued, (c) NGC 1097, P, A. $32^{\circ}$. (d) NGC 1365, P.A. $25^{\circ}$.



#### Abstract

The perinuclear region of NGC 1808 (figure 6.le) is the reddest among the galaxies investigated. It is redder by more than $0^{m} .25$ to $0^{m} .30$ with individual hot spots appearing still redder. The nucleus is the reddest of all and reaches $0^{\mathrm{m}} .37$ of colour. It is to be noted that large amount of interstellar dust present in this region from the large observed equivalent widths of NaID lines in this region (Burbidge et al 1968). Also, this is the reddest galaxy among the ones presented in Figure 4.7.


The photographs of NGC 2903 were scanned in two directions. The scan in P.A. B2 ${ }^{\circ}$ (Figure 6.1f) passes through the brightest hot spots at $\pm 4$ arcsec from the nucleus. The second scan in P.A. $145^{\circ}$ (Figure 6,1g) passes through two bright hot spats at -8 and +10 arcsecs from the nucleus. The second scan does not pass exactly through the nucleus but its closest approach is about O. 5 second of arc away from it. The nucleus is very red ( $0^{\mathrm{m}} .6$ ) relative to the perinuclear formation and it was this fact that has helped us in identifying it. An interesting feature of NGC 2903 is that there are regions that are much bluer than the average colour. One of these shown in Figure 6. If is a hot spot at 5 arcsec to the Southeeast of the nucleus, which is also the brightest In both the blue and the infrared bands. The region


Fig. 6.1 Continued, NGC 2903 (f) P.A. $18^{\circ}$ and (g) P.A. $168^{\circ}$.

```
between the hot spot 10 arcsec to the South-West and
the complex around the nucleus also appears bluer by
-0m}\cdot3\mathrm{ with respect to the average value for the peri-
nuclear region. The blue hot spot of Figure 6.1f
also has the same colour.
In sunmary, all the five galaxies investigated possess a nucleus redder than the perinuclear formation by \(0^{m} .4\) to \(0^{m} .8\). The latter is neutral with respect to the immediate surroundings in NGC 210, probably a common feature of \(\in\) type formations. The hot spot complexes, on the other hand, generally appear red, with very few exceptionally blue. The reddening of the hot spots is most likely due to dust, as evidenced from the other independent observations of NGC 1808 mentioned above. It would be interesting to investigate the nature of reddening in the nuclei of these galaxies. Observations of compact radio sources congruent with several of these nuclei (de Bruyn and Willis, 1974; Van der Kruit, 1971) and definite detection of soft X-rays from NGC 1365 (Ward et al. 1978) are suggestive of mild activity in these nuclei.
```


### 6.2 Mean Profiles and the Structure of Perinuclear <br> Formations

The majority of the profiles presented in
Figures 5.28 to 5.32 appear similar to each other and
clearly show the systems of the nucleus, perinuclear
formation and the main body of the galaxy. Excluding
the 2 type (NGC 3955,4064 and 7741 ) formations which
have a smaller gradient in luminosity, the gradients of
corresponding regions of different galaxies appear
similar too. It is instructive to compare the mean
profiles of individual classes. It is to be noted that
the r* expressed in seconds of arc corresponds to
different spatial extent at different distances and
hence it is necessary to convert them to constant
distance to make the comparison realistic, and to check
the possibility that different formations are of
comparable spatial scales.

[^4]magnitude in the surface brightness of the perinuclear formations with respect to the nucleus. The surface brightness of the main body has a range of about 1.5 magnitudes with respect to the nucleus.

Mean profiles were obtained for individual classes ( $\epsilon$ to 2). NGC 255 was excluded from the class 2, since its behaviour was non-typical of its class. It was seen that the mean profiles of the classes $\mathcal{E}$, $E \sigma$ and $\sigma$ are very nearly the same (cf. our reservation about the class $\sigma_{2}$ in Chapter 4). Hence a mean was obtained for these classes ( $\epsilon_{1}$ ). The final average profiles are listed in Table 6.1.

There are systematic differences in the central regions of the three classes of formations. With respect to the nucleus the perinuclear formations of class $\sigma$ are 0.5 magnitudes fainter than the $C 1 a s s \in$. The gradient in the luminosity of 2 type formations is rather slow with respect to both $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$. If we assume that the mean surface brightness of the main body of different classes of galaxies is the same, the nuclear region of class $\sigma$ is $0^{m} .4$ brighter and the perinuclear region $0^{m}$. 1 fainter than the objects of class E. On the other hand, the formations of type 2 have their central brightness $0^{m} .8$ lower while the

## Table 6.1

## Mean Equivalent Luminosity Profiles for Different Classes of Perinuclear Pormations.

| Lo | $\underline{*}$ | Log I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LO8 | 30pc | $E_{1}$ | $\sigma$ | 2 |
| 0.5 |  | . 93 | . 81 | -97 |
| 0.6 |  | .91 | .76 | +96 |
| 0.7 |  | . 89 | . 72 | .96 |
| 0.8 |  | . 85 | . 65 | . 94 |
| 0.9 |  | . 82 | . 57 | . 92 |
| 1.0 |  | .77 | . 52 | .88 |
| 1.1 |  | . 67 | . 45 | . 86 |
| 1.2 |  | . 62 | . 37 | .80 |
| 1.3 |  | .51 | - 30 | . 75 |
| 1.4 |  | . 45 | . 26 | .70 |
| 1.5 |  | -35 | . 20 | . 69 |
| 1.7 |  | -22 | . 09 |  |
| 1.8 |  | .16 | . 05 |  |

perinuclear region $0^{m} \cdot 25$ to $0^{m} \cdot 5$ fainter.

The above resultg for the $\sigma$ types should be treated with some caution. The spiral patterns generally observed in these formations have the effect of rendering the equivalent radius smaller than a physically meaningful radius like the edge of the spiral pattern. The small difference between the $\in$ and $\sigma$ profiles could possibly arise from this. The low surface brightness formations of class 2 , however, possess a very low central concentration.

Central formations of all galaxies investigated, excluding the types $Z$ are relatively compact and King models with the logarithm of ratio of tidal to core radius, $\log \frac{r_{t}}{r_{0}}=0.5$ to $1.25 f i t$ the luminosity profiles well. The perinuclear formations are similar to dwarf ellipticals at least: in this aspect. Since our observations do not span a sufficient range of the main body of the galaxy, an accurate subtraction of this component was not possible. An attempt with NGC 210 gave a best fit of $\log \frac{r_{t}}{r_{c}}=1.00, \log r_{c}=.30$ and $\log f_{o}=.85$ after a model of the main body with $\log \frac{r_{t}}{r_{c}}=$ 2.25, $\log r_{0}=0.80$ and log $f_{0}=-0.70$ was subtracted. Similarly NGC 255 fitted well with a king model of $\log \frac{r_{t}}{r_{c}}=$ 1.00, $\log r_{c}=0.40$ and $\log f_{0}=1.00$ after an exponential

## $\log I=0.25-0.01315 x^{*}$ was subtracted.

It may be suspected that the 2 type formations also possess a nucleus which could be too faint to be detected. Excluding this class, all the other galaxies presented here possess a bright and very red starlike or semi-starlike nucleus and a compact perinuclear formation resembling the dwarf ellipticals in structure.

## CHAPTER 7

SLITLESS SPECTRUSCOPY OF GALAXIES WITH HOT SPOTS

```
    The multiplex aspect of the photographic plate is
generally reduced to a single dimension when one
obtains slit spectra of emission line regions. This
is especially so when the velocity dispersion or varia-
tions within the region of the object passing through
the slit is lower than the resolution employed. Two
dimensional aspects of emission regions can be obtained by
procedures known to solar physicists for over a
century. We widen the slit sufficiently to allow only
the region of interest with the required degree of
spectral purity and thus minimuze the interference from
the continuum sources. We describe in the following
one such aspect of spectroscopy with a wide slit applied
to the investigation of galaxies with hot spots.
```


## I. 1 Estimation of the Velocity Field in Galaxies

```
Employing slitless spectral image.
A spectroscopic image of a galaxy in a particular emission line is a mapped version of the monochromatic image of the galaxy in the same line. If the \(x\)-axis is chosen along the direction of dispersion, the monochromatic
```

intensity at a position $(x, y)$ is mapped to a position $(x+\Delta x, y)$ where $\Delta x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x=\beta V(x, y) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $V(x, y)$ is the line of sight component of the velocity at the position $(x, y)$ and $\beta$ is a constant of the spectrograph. If $x$ is expressed in terms of seconds of arc on the sky plane, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{e D} s \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}$ is the rest wavelength of the spectral line, c the velocity of light, $D$ the reciprocal dispersion in the spectrograph and $s$ the image scale on the plate.

We will examine a slitless spectral image in the following, with the assumption that the velocity field consists only of rotation.

The mapping given by eq. (7.1) will have one-to-one correspondence only when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta x} \neq-\frac{1}{\beta} \tag{7,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
for any two points with the same y coordinates a
difference }\Deltax\mathrm{ in the }x\mathrm{ coordinate and a difference
\DeltaVin the line of sight component of the rotation velocity. Since the right hand side of eq. (7.3) depende only on the spectrograph used and the negative sign puts a restriction on the direction of dispersion, it. is always possible to choose a proper arrangement to assure the one-to-one correspondence.
```


#### Abstract

Typically, the rotation curves of galaxies rise to a maximum from zero at the centre and then decline beyond the turnoff point. When the direction of dispersion is such that the line of nodes passes through the first quadrant, the slope $\Delta V / \Delta x$ becomes negative for the region beyond the turn-off point; when the line of nodes falls in the second quadrant, it becomes negative for the region before the turnoff point. In either case, there is only small range in $\beta$ which may not fulfill the condition (7.3) for a particular rotation curve.


Thus, if one obtains a monochromatic image of a galaxy in an emission line and a slitless spectral image in the same line, it is possible to map the velocity field in the most general situation. We will examine the potentiality of the method in the next section and illustrate with preliminary results on a specific example in section 7.3.

### 7.2 Distortion of a slitiess spectral image by the

## Circular notation field in a galaxy

With the assumption that the galaxies are single inclined planes, one writes the observed velocity field as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {obs }}=V_{s y s}+V_{\theta} \sin i \cos \theta+V_{R} \sin i \sin \theta \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, Vobs is the observed line of sight component of velocity at a point ( $R, \theta$ ) in the plane of the galaxy, $V_{\text {sys }}$ the systemic radial velocity of the galaxy, $V_{\theta}$ and $V_{R}$ the azimuthal and the radial components of the velocity field at the position ( $R, \theta$ ). The angle $i$ is the inclination of the normal to the galaxy plane with the line of sight.

We will assume that non-circular motions are
absent and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\theta}=\Omega R ; \quad V_{R}=0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is the rotation velocity at the point $R$. The transformation from the plane of the galaxy ( $R, \theta$ )
to the plane of the sky $(r, \phi)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cos \theta=r \cos \left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}$ is the position angle of the line of nodes. Substituting equations (7.5) and (7.6) in (7.4) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V \equiv V_{\text {obs }}-V_{\text {sys }}=\Omega r \cos \left(\phi-\phi_{o}\right) \sin i \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the $x$-axis on the slitless spectrim of the galaxy in the direction of dispersion and measure all position angles from this direction. With the $y$-axis at right angles to the $x$-axis in a right handed system, we may transform equation (7.7) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V=\Omega \sin i\left(x \cos \phi_{0}+y \sin \phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

While the systemic velocity shifts, the centre of the image in the direction of dispersion, $\Delta V$ distorts the image by transforming each position ( $x, y$ ) to new
position ( $x, y$ ) given by the transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=x+\Omega \beta \sin i\left(x \cos \phi_{0}+y \sin \phi_{0}\right) \\
& y^{\prime}=y \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the constant of the spectrograph given by equation (7.2). Since this mapping is one-tomone in general, one can easily establish correspondence between a monochromatic image and a spectral image to effect estimation of the velocity field. The values of $\Omega \sin i$ and $\phi_{0}$ can be obtained as function of the radial distance from the centre of the galaxy.

Since under the transformations (7.9) an equal intensity contour transforms into another, it is instructive to see how an area transforms with these equations. The transformation is actually an affine geometric one as it can be split into a shear,

$$
x_{1}=x+\left(\Omega \beta \sin i \sin \phi_{0}\right) y
$$

and a compression

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=x_{1}+\left(\Omega \beta \sin i \cos \phi_{0}\right) x \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the ordinates unchanged. An area transforms into itself under shear while the compression changes it according to the equation

$$
A^{\prime}=A\left(1+\Omega \beta \sin i \cos \phi_{0}\right)
$$

(cf. Modenov and Parkhomenko, 1965), where $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are the original and the transformed areas. Defining the equivalent radius $r^{*}$ by

$$
r^{*}=(A / \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{s p}^{*}=r_{p g}^{*}\left(1+\Omega \beta \sin i \cos \phi_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the equivalent radii of the monochromatic image
 comparison of the two yields $\Omega$ sinicos $\phi_{0}$. This relation provides an easy method of obtaining the velocity field averaged over the length of a contour. However, $\emptyset_{0}$ will have to be estimated independently.


## 7. 3 The Rotation of the Perinuclear Formation of

NGC 5236

We have obtained the slitless spectral images of NGC 5236 in the emission lines of $H_{\alpha}$ and [NII] $\lambda 6584$ 。 The spectrogram was obtained at a dispersion of $30 \% \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ with the spectrograph described in Section 3.6. The slit was widened to let in only the image of the perinuclear formation and not the light from the main body of the galaxy.

```
    The equal intensity contours presented in Figure 7oi(a)
bear a striking resemblance to the contours obtained from
the picture in the integrated light (Figure 5.26). The contours are reproduced in Figure 7.1 (b) to facilitate comparison.
```

The equivalent luminosity profiles of the images in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and in [NII] $\lambda 6584$ are shown in Figure 7.2. A photograph in the light of these lines and another in adjacent continuum are required for an estimation of the monochromatic luminosity distribution of the formation. These observations are planned for the future, and we will use the picture in the integrated light for the present to demonstrate the method.


Fig. 7.1 Equivalent luminosity profiles of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $[\mathbb{N} \mathbb{I}]$ dispersed images of NGC 5236. Also shown are the profile in the intergraled light (iNT) and the estimated profile (EST) of the undispersed monochromatic image in $H_{\alpha}$ and $\left.\mathbb{N} \mathbb{I}\right]$. Observed ratio of $H_{\alpha}$ and $[\mathbb{N} \mathbb{I}]$ intensities are shown at the top, and also corrected for the distortion due to the velocity field.
The equivalent luminosity profile of the relevant region of the galaxy is also shown in Figure 7.2. The image in the integrated light is dominated by the nuclear region of high luminosity in the red. There is no evidence of such a structure in the profile of $H_{\alpha}$. Subtracting an estimated profile of the nuclear regi from the observed profile in the integrated light we derive an approximate profile expected for the monochromatic picture in the emission lines of $H_{\alpha}$ and [NII] We were guided in this by the change of slope at a radius of $r^{*}=6.5$ arcsec (Figure 7.2).
Assuming that the dynamical centre'is congruent With the maximum in intensity we normalize the profiles of $H_{\alpha}$. [NII] and the expected monochromatic one to a central intensity of 1.00 . These are plotted in Figure 7.3(a) on a logarithmic scale of $r^{*}$. The difference between the abscissae of the expected monochromatic profile and the mean of the $H_{\alpha}$ and [NII] profiles gives the value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\Omega \beta \sin \cos \phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 7.3 (a) The equivalent luminosity profiles of Fig; 7.2 drawn on logarithmic scale $\mathrm{r}^{*}$. (b) The rotation curve for the central region of NGC 5236 obtained as explained in the text. The cross denotes the value obtained by direct comparison of second isophote of $\mathbb{N}[]$ and the direct picture.
from which $\Omega \sin i \cos \phi_{0} \quad$ can be derived.
A dispersion of $30 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ at $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and a scale of 200 arcsec $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ in the direction of dispersion yield a value of $6.9 \mathrm{kms}^{-1} \operatorname{arcsec}^{-1}$ for $\beta^{-1}$. We adopt a distance of 5.5 MpC for NGC 5236 based on the velocity of $275 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ obtained by the measurement of the centres of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and [NII] $\lambda 6584$ lines on the spectrogram discussed ( $H_{0}=50 \mathrm{kms}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ ). The above value of $\beta^{-1}$ then corresponds to $251 \mathrm{kms}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$. Using this value of $\beta^{-1}$ we have obtained the rotation velocities as a function of the equivalent radius $r$ *. The curve has been plotted in Figure 7.3(b), where the ordinates correspond to $\Omega r^{*} \operatorname{sini} \cos \phi_{0}$. The equivalent radius r* requires a scaling factor for conversion to a physically significant distance in the plane of the galaxy, For elliptical contours due to inclined discs this factor is simply $(\cos 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

We note that the second contour from the centre of $\lambda 6584$ resembles the second contour from the centre of the picture in the integrated light. Both the contours are bounded by the lines $y= \pm 6$ arcsec. Hence, they correspond to each other. The ratio of the equivalent radii of these two contours gives $r^{*}{ }_{s p} / r^{*}{ }_{p g}=$ 2.05 which corresponds to $\Omega r^{*} \operatorname{sinicos} \phi_{0}=119 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ at

```
r* = 149 pc. This value agrees well with the curve
in Figure 7.3(b).
```

The value of $\phi_{0}$ can be estimated easily due to the fortuitious coincidence of a hot spot $10^{\prime \prime}$ South-East of the centre with the $y$ axis in the spectrum. Thus $x^{\prime}=0$ in equation (7.9), and one obtains,

$$
x\left(1+\cos \phi_{0}\right)+y \sin \phi_{0}=0
$$

or

$$
\tan \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}=-\frac{x}{y}
$$

Taking into account the anamorphic reduction factor 3.35 (cf. Section 3.6) in the $x$ direction, we obtain $\phi_{0}=20^{\circ}$.

The inclination $i$ can be estimated to be $27^{\circ}$
from the axial ratio of 1.22 tabulated in RCBG. With
these values one may estimate the mass $M$ of the
perinuclear formation using the equation

$$
M=\frac{a V_{\max }^{2}}{G \alpha}
$$

where a is the semi-major axis of the formation, $\alpha$ is a constant dependent on the true axial ratio $c / a$, and $G$ the constant of gravitation. For $c / a=0.5$, $a=1.418$ (Burbidge et al 1964). Using $a=360 \mathrm{pc}$
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corresponding to $r^{*}=240 \mathrm{pc}$ and iclination $i=27^{\circ}$, we obtain a mass of $1.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}$ for the central region of NGC 5236. This value is comparable to the mass estimates of similar formations in NGC 613, 1808 and 2903 (e.g. cf. Burbidge et al 1964).

Finally, we may calculate the ratio of $H \alpha /[N I I]$ $\lambda 6584$ as a function of equivalent radius. The values so obtained are plotted against the equivalent radius corrected for the distortion due to velocity field In Figure 7.2. It is interesting to note that the ratio stays constant at a value of 1.75 for $r^{*} \leqslant 5$ arcsec and rises beyond to assume a value of $\sim 3$ beyond $r *=8$ arcsec. This variation agrees with the variation of $H_{\alpha} /[\mathrm{NII}]$ in other spiral galaxies. Burbidge and Burbidge (1962) have shown that the ratio is constant at $\sim 3$ in the outer regions of a wide range of galaxies while it reaches a value of $\sim 1$ or even <1 in the nuclei of several galaxies.


## CHAPTER 8

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

```
    The foregoing investigations show that the central
regions of some spiral galaxies contain two bright
substructures: (a) a starlike or semistarlike nucieus,
and (b) a perinuclear formation. The galaxies listed by
Sersic (1973) belong to this class of objects with
possible exceptions, or transition cases of a few grouped
as class }\eta\mathrm{ by us (Chapter 5). While Sersic's finding
Iists (Sersic and Pastoriza 1965, 1967; Sersic; 1973)
include sixtyfour of such galaxies, It may be possible to
find an equal number of such galaxies more among bright
galaxies (Table 2.2).
The nucleus is, in general, bright and red. In the case of NGC 2903, it is hardly visible in the blue, appears as a faint patch in the red (Oka et al 1974), but appears very bright in the near infrared (Figure 6.1f). Its resolved size is about 80pc \(x\) 150pc with possible exten sions from the major axis. It is thus only slightly larger (cf. Figure 4.9) than the Sagittarius A complex and the HII arc in the central region of the galaxy.
```

Its dimensions and reddening compare well with the $60 \times 170 \mathrm{pc}$ region of 'Super clusters' in the centre of M82 (Van den Bergh, 1971).

Around the nucleus of the galaxies investigated, w ight formations appear with radii ranging from about 600 parsecs to 2 kiloparsecs. The luminosity profiles of these 'perinuclear formations" indicate that they resemble dwarf ellipticals in their compactness (Section 7.2). At one extreme, the visual appearance is dominated by 'hot spots' or giant HII regions arranged in rudimentary apiral pattern which resembles the outer spiral arms in their orientation and shape (class $\sigma$, Chapter 4). From a typical example of NGC 1097 for this class, the appearance varies through less distinct hot spots (e.g. NGC 613, class $\sigma$ ), diffuse elliptical-1ike formation with emission lines (NGC 1326, Class $\in \sigma$ ) and finally to distinct elliptical images in the case of galaxies of class ( (e.g. NGC 210). The Iuminosity distribution among these different classes of formations are not significantly different indicating that they all belong to a single class of phenomenon.

The spectroscopic information on the 'not spots" indicates that they are giant HII regions ionized altogether by more than $10^{4} 0$ stars. The continuum energy distribution
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shows a high contribution from stars of spectral types earlier than F, (Osmer et al. 1974; Pastoriza, 1975). These facts point out that the perinuclear subsystems under consideration have undergone a recent burst in star formation. The statistics of galaxies with such central regions shows that they occur in only about $15 \%$ of all the spiral galaxies (Sersic and Pastoriza, 1965) suggesting that such events are only transient events in the life of a typical galaxy. One may envisage a sequemoe of evolution beginning from the brightest of the type $\sigma$ to faintest of the types $E$ and then fading out as the stars evolve. The enrichment of heavy elements spans a large range in these formations suggesting possible recurrences of such phenomena. The correlations obtainea In Chapter 2, of different classes of formations with different types of the parent galaxies arises probably due to differences in the rate of evolution.

Another spectroscopic aspect of importance is the high ratio of $H_{\alpha} / H_{\beta}$ and strong NaI D lines typically observed. These facts are indicative of high reddening by dust. The extreme example is NGC 1808 with $H_{\alpha} / H \beta \sim 7$ (Osmer et al. 1974) and NaI D equivalent width of 13.2 R (Pastoriza, 1975). The U-B and B-V colours of the central region of NGC 1808 differs from the mean colours of its


 bright, nuclei appears signtficant.
The situation of the perinuclear formations within
the typical radius of the inner Lindblad resonance (ILR)
certainly has its dymanical implications. The ovoidal and
barlike distortions often seen in the outer contours of the
formations are supporting evidences for this fact.

The sporadic bursts of star formation in the central regions of spiral galaxies renders the central parts bright enough for detalled observations to be possible. Thus, the bright perinuclear formations provide an opportunity to examine the gravitational field in the central regions of galaxies. The structural models of ellipsoidal formations of classe need to be constructed taking into

```
account systematic variation of the position angles,
ollipticities and centres of equal intensity contours.
The adrupt change in the position angle of the formation
and that of the main body, observed in NGC 2196 and
other members of class }\in\mathrm{ (Chapter 5) is also an important
fact. The triaxial models like the one devised by Stark
(1977) for the 'nuclear bulge' of M31, or possibly more
complicated ones incorporating ovoidal distortions mav
need to be examined.
```

The emission lines from hot spot formations assist
in mapping the velocity fields. The lack of importance
of the optical thickness, and the relative ease of the
measurements renders them especially valuable. The errors
involved in positioning the slit need to be minimized,
however, for deriving accurate velocity fields. The
potential of the spectroscopic technique employing a
wide slit (Chapter 7 ) need to be realized fully in order
to achieve the best possible positioning accuracy and to
minimize the observing time so as to extend such informa-
tion to a large number of objects. Methods may be devised
for its application to a wider range of situations.

The need for observing the nuclei and the perinuclear formations at a higher resolution, with a large space telescope need hardly be stressed. Apart from the

```
advantages of improving the accuracy of the data, it
would assist also in bridging the gap between the two
extreme cases of nuclear activity as the centre of our
galaxy and the nuclei of radio galaxies and quasars.
The interest in the central structures of the
galaxies examined by us is certainly manifold. Apart
from an interest in their own right, they would contribute
much to our understanding of the central regions of
galaxies that exhibit a much wider range of activity.
```
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[^1]:    The distinction between the nucleus and the perinuclear formation would be obliterated as we approach distances of $\sim 100 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and this may be considered a limit for ground-based telescopes in the study of the perinuclear formations.

[^2]:    The best possible image scale is essential for obtaining both the surface photometric and the spectroscopic data on the perinuclear formations, and one needs to work at the limit of atmospheric seeing. Observations from a space telescope would certainly improve our knowledge on these formations.

[^3]:    The $\in$ type formation of this galaxy shows a
    alight spiral distortion. The major axis of the

[^4]:    Among the galaxies for which we have obtained the luminosity profiles, anly two do not have information on radial velocity. Estimating the distance to the galaxies from the radial velocity values published either in RCBG or by Sandage, 1978, we have converted the equivalent luminosity profiles to $r^{*}$ expressed in parsecs. The change in the luminosity gradient marking the edge of the perinuclear formation occurs between 600 and 2000 parsecs for different galaxies with a mean around one kiloparsec. There is a range of about one

